Standing Committee on Public Accounts, Independent Officers and Other Entities' Review of the 2015-16 Annual Report of the Information and Privacy Commissioner of Nunavut **Iqaluit, Nunavut** **September 13, 2016**	**ᓴᓇᐅᔭᖅᑐᐅᑎᒥᓂᕐᓂ�, ᐃᒻᒥᒃᑯᖅᑐᑦ ᐃᖅᑲᓇᐃᔭᖅᑎᓂᒃ ᐊᓯᖏᓐᓂᓗ ᑎᒍᐊᔭᓂᒃ ᑲᒪᒋᔭᖏᑦ ᖁᕕᖅᓱᓂᖕᓂᑦ 2015-16-ᒥ ᐊᕐᕌᒍᑕᒫᖅᓯᐅᑎᑦ ᐅᓂᒃᖃᖅ ᑐᓴᐳᑎᖃᖅᑎᑕᓂᖏᓐᑦ ᑲᒪᔪᓇᖅᑎᑕᐅᔪᑦᓕᓂᖕᓂᓪᓂᓗ ᑲᒥᓯᓇᒃ ᓄᓇᕗᒥ** **ᐃᖅᑐᐃᑦ, ᓄᓇᕗᑦ** **ᓯᑎᐱᕆ 13, 2016**

Members Present:
Tony Akoak
Pat Angnakak, Chair
Joe Enook
David Joanasie
Steve Mapsalak
Simeon Mikkungwak
Paul Okalik
Emiliano Qirngnuq
Allan Rumbolt
Alexander Sammurtok
Tom Sammurtok
Isaac Shooyook

Staff Members:
Karen Aglukark
Stephen Innuksuk

Interpreters:
Allen Makhagak
James Panioyak
Andrew Dialla
Mary Nashook
Philip Paneak
Blandina Tulugarjuk

Witnesses:
Elaine Keenan Bengts, Information and
    Privacy Commissioner

>>*Committee commenced at 13:30*

Chairperson (Ms. Angnakak): Good afternoon, everybody. (interpretation) Welcome. Before we begin, I would like to ask Mr. Qirngnuq to say the opening prayer.

ᓚᓕᓚᑕᐅᖅᏐᑦ ᐅᐸᒃᑐᑦ:
ᑑᓂ ᐊᖅᑯᐊᖅ
ᐸᑦ ᐊᖅᓇᒃᒃᖅ, ᐃᒃᓯᕙᐅᑕᖅ
ᔪ ᐃᓅᒃ
ᑕᐄᕕᑦ ᔪᐊᓇᓯ
ᓯᐱᐤ ᒪᖤᓚᒃ
ᓯᒥᐅᐊ ᒥᑯᖕᒎᐊᖅ
ᐹᓪ ᐅᑲᓕᖅ
ᐃᒥᓕᐊᓄ ᖁᕐᖕᒎᖅ
ᐋᓚᐾ ᕐᒻᐳᑦ
ᐊᓚᒃᓵᓐᑐ ᓴᒻᒧᖅᑐᖅ
ᑖᒻ ᓴᒻᒧᖅᑐᖅ
ᐊᐃᓵᒃ ᓲᔫᒃ

ᐃᖅᑲᓇᐃᔭᖅᑏᑦ:
ᑭᐅᕐᓐ ᐊᒡᓗᒃᑲᖅ
ᓯᑏᕘᓐ ᐃᓄᒃᓱᒃ

ᑐᓯᖅᑏᑦ:
ᐋᓚᐾ ᒪᒃᖤᒐᒃ
ᔭᐃᒻᔅ ᐸᓂᐅᔭᒃ
ᐋᖕᑐᔫ ᑎᐊᓚ
ᒣᕆ ᓇᓱᒃ
ᕕᓕᑉ ᐸᓂᐊᒃ
ᐸᓕᐊᖕᑎᓇ ᑐᓗᒏᕐᔪᒃ

ᐊᐱᖅᓱᖅᑕᐅᔪᑦ:
ᐃᓚᐃᓐ ᑮᓇᐊ ᐸᐃᖕᑦᔅ, ᑕᓴᐳᑎᖃᖅᑎᑎᓂᖏᓐᑦ ᑲᒪᔪᓇᖅᑎᑕᐅᔪᑦᓕᓂᖕᓂᓪᓂᓗ ᑲᒥᓯᓇ

>>ᑲᑎᒪᓯᒋᕐᖁᑦ 13:30ᒧᐊᖅᑎᓪᓗᒍ

ᐃᒃᓯᕙᐅᑕᖅ (ᐊᖅᓇᒃᒃᖅ): ᐅᓪᓗᒃᑯᑦ. ᑐᖑᖓᓱᒡᕗᑦᓯ. ᓄᓪᖃᖅ, ᒥᔅᑕ ᖁᖅᖕᒍᖅ, ᑐᒃᓯᐊᒐᓇᖅᐳᑦ.

>>*Prayer*

Chairperson (interpretation): Thank you. (interpretation ends) Welcome, everybody. Good afternoon and thank you for being here with us today.

Before proceeding, I ask Members, witnesses, and visitors to put their cellphones, BlackBerrys, and other electronic devices on silent mode, please.

I would like to formally welcome everyone present to this meeting of the Legislative Assembly's Standing Committee on Public Accounts, Independent Officers and Other Entities. We are meeting today on the occasion of our Standing Committee's televised hearing on the 2015-16 annual report to the Legislative Assembly of the Information and Privacy Commissioner of Nunavut, Ms. Elaine Keenan Bengts.

I would first like to introduce my Standing Committee colleagues:

- Tony Akoak, Member for Gjoa Haven;
- Joe Enook, Member for Tununiq;
- David Joanasie, Member for South Baffin;
- Steve Mapsalak, Member for Aivilik;
- Simeon Mikkungwak, Member for Baker Lake;
- Paul Okalik, Member for Iqaluit-Sinaa;
- Emiliano Qirngnuq, Member for Netsilik;
- Allan Rumbolt, Member for Hudson Bay;
- Alexander Sammurtok, Member for Rankin Inlet South;
- Tom Sammurtok, Member for Rankin Inlet North-Chesterfield Inlet; and
- Isaac Shooyook, Member for

>>ᑐᒃᓯᐊᕐᑐᑦ

ᐃᒃᓯᕙᐅᑕᖅ: ᖁᔭᓐᓇᒦᒃ. (ᑐᓵᔨᑎᒍᑦ) ᑐᙵᓱᒋᑦᓯ ᐃᓗᖕᓇᓯ. ᐅᓐᓄᒃᓴᒃᑯᑦ. ᖁᔭᓐᓇᒦᒃ ᕼᕗᓇᓪᐊᖁᔭᓯ ᐅᑦᓘᒥ.

ᑲᑎᒪᒋᐊᓪᓗᐋᐅᖕᓂᖅᓂᑦᑎᓂ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᐟ, ᐅᖅᑲᑦᓯᕐᐊᖅᑐᖅᔭᕐᒐᐟᓯ ᐳᐃᓂᐊᖅᔭᕐᒐᐟᓯ ᐅᖅᑲᓕᑐᓂᕈᑦᖏᐟ ᑕᓪᑯᐊ ᖅᒡᓕᑎᐟ ᖅᐸᕗᑎᖅᓐᒌᖕᓂᕈᑦᓘ ᐅᖯᓄᓂᖓᑦ ᓂᐱᖅᓴᖃᖃᓐᖕᑎᓄᕈᑦ, ᐋᕐᓯᓄᖓᑦᓘ ᑐᓴᐅᑎᓯᕐᔨᓄᖕ.

ᐃᓗᖕᓇᓯᓄᖕ ᑐᙵᓱᒃᑎᑎᓂᕝᓕᖅᖕᓂ ᒪᑕᓕᑐᐟᖁᑦ ᓗᕐᓕᖃᑯᑦ ᐊᐳᓕᓄᖕᓂᓇᐗᓄᑦ, ᐃᓯᒥᒐᔨᖅᑐᖕ ᑲᓕᐊᐆᓇᐟ ᐊᒻᓗ ᐋᕐᓯᓄᑦ ᑎᒥᖃᖅᓐᑎᑕᐹᕐᓕᕈᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᖕᓇᖃᖅᓐᒌᐟ ᑲᑎᒪᓂᖅᓯᐟᖓᑦ. ᐅᓚᒥ ᑲᑎᒪᔪᐟ ᑲᑎᒪᖕᓇᐊᖅᓐᒥᐹᑦ ᑕᑕᐊᕕᖅᓐᓯᖕᓂ ᐆᓕᖅᓐᒥᖕᓇᐊᒎᒃ ᐱᔪᕐᓐᓐᖕᓂᖕ 2015-ᒥᖕ-2016-ᒍᖕ ᐊᕐᙳᒎᑦᐱᕐᖯᖃᖅᐅᕐᑎᓐᒡᕈᖕ ᐅᓂᖃᐅᕋᒦᓄᕐᕈᑦᖏᐟ ᒪᓕᓕᑐᑦᖢᒎ ᐸᖅᓯᒪᖕ ᑐᓴᐅᒪᔪᕝᑎᓄᑦ ᖃᖕᕗᖅᐅᕐᑎᓄᓂᑦ ᒎᑦᕗᐅᕐᑎᖓᑦ ᓄᓇᕗᒥᖕ, ᒦᕐ ᐃᓕᐊᒦ ᑮᓇᓐ ᐸᒫᓯ.

ᓯᕗᓪᓕᕐᖯᒌᓕᖕ ᓇᓄᐃᔪᖅᑲᑦᒃᑎᓕᖅᖃᖕ ᑲᑎᒪᖕᓇᕝᓂ ᑲᑎᒪᔪᖃᖕᓂᑦᑉ:

- ᑑᓂ ᐊᕐᖃᐊᖅ, ᒪᓕᓕᑐᖅᑎ ᐅᖅᓕᖅᑑᓘᒃ;
- ᔪ ᐃᓄᒃ, ᒪᓕᓕᑐᖅᑎ ᑐᓄᓂᕈᒃ;
- ᑕᐃᕖᑦ ᔪᐋᓇᓯ, ᒪᓕᓕᑐᖅᑎ ᖅᑭᖅᖄᒃᔫᕐ ᓂᒋᐊᓄᑦ;
- ᓯᑏᕝ ᒪᕐᖯᓕᓐᖅ, ᒪᓕᓕᑐᖅᑎ ᐊᐃᕕᓕᖕᓄᑦ;
- ᓯᒦᐊᓐ ᒥᒃᑯᖕᒐᖅ, ᒪᓕᓕᑐᖅᑎ ᖅᒡᒥᖅᑐᐊᖅᓘᒃ;
- ᑟᑦ ᐅᑲᓕᖅ, ᒪᓕᓕᑐᖅᑎ ᐃᖅᒍᐃᑦ-ᓯᓈᓄᑦ;
- ᐃᒦᓕᐋᓄ ᖅᑮᖅᒍᖅ, ᒪᓕᓕᑐᖅᑎ ᓇᑦᓕᒃᓄᑦ;
- ᐋᓚᓐ ᕋᒻᑉᒃ, ᒪᓕᓕᑐᖅᑎ ᑕᑉᓯᕐᕙᒡᖯᒃ;
- ᐋᓕᒃᓵᓄᑐ ᓴᒻᒍᕐᑐᖅ, ᒪᓕᓕᑐᖅᑎ ᑲᖕᒑᑦᓄᐹᕐ ᓂᒋᐊᓄᑦ;
- ᒡᒃ ᓴᒻᒍᕐᑐᖅ, ᒪᓕᓕᑐᖅᑎ ᑲᖕᒑᑦᓄᐹᕐ ᐅᐊᖕᓇᒥᖕ-ᐃᖕᒍᕈᓂᓈᕚᒃᓘᖓ; ᐊᒻᓗ
- ᐊᐃᓴᖅ ᓲᔪᖅ, ᒪᓕᓕᑐᖅᑎ

Quttiktuq.

As an independent officer of the House, the Information and Privacy Commissioner is required to prepare and submit an annual report to the Legislative Assembly.

The *Access to Information and Protection of Privacy Act* exists to achieve two broad goals: ensuring that the public has access to government information while preventing the unauthorized use or disclosure of personal information held by government departments and other public bodies. The Information and Privacy Commissioner plays a key role in maintaining this balance.

In addition to providing independent reviews of decisions made by public bodies concerning requests made under the legislation, the Information and Privacy Commissioner may offer formal comments on proposed legislation, as well as providing public education on the principles of the *Access to Information and Protection of Privacy Act*.

Ms. Elaine Keenan Bengts was appointed Nunavut's first Information and Privacy Commissioner in 1999. She was reappointed in February of this year for a fourth five-year term of office.

I would also like to note for the record that she has served as the Information and Privacy Commissioner of the Northwest Territories since 1996, when that jurisdiction's legislation originally came into effect.

The Information and Privacy Commissioner's most recent appearance before this Standing Committee took

ᖁᑦᑎᒃᑐᔪᓪᑦ.

ᑕᐃᒪᓕ ᐃᒻᒥᒃᑯᔪᖅᑐᓂ ᒪᓕᓕᕐᐅᖅᑕᐅᓪᓚᕿᐅ ᐱᓕᕆᐊᐸᒻᓐᓂᓂ, ᓴᖁ ᑐᓴᐅᒪᔾᓴᑎᓕᕆᓂᕐᒥᒃ ᑲᖏᔭᖅᑐᑕᓕᓂᕐᒥᑦᓗ ᑲᒻᕿᓂ ᐱᖁᑎᐊᖅᑲᓂᖅᑯᓪᒃ ᐊᑐᐊᖂᐱᖕᑲᐊᓂᕆᒃ ᑐᓂᔪᓂᕐᒃᓗ ᐊᕿᔭᒐᓪᒃ ᐅᓂᒃᑲᐊᒥᓂᖅᓯᓂᒃ ᒪᓕᓕᕐᐅᖅᑕᐅᓪᓚᕿᐅᒃ.

ᓴᖁ ᑎᕆᐅᑎᕐᖃᓕᕐᓂᕐᔾᓕᒃ ᑐᓴᐅᒪᔾᖁᑎᓂᒃ ᐊᕐᓗ ᓴᐳᔪᐊᕐᓂᕐᒃ ᑲᖏᔭᖅᑐᖅᑯᑎᐅᑕᖅ ᒪᓕᓕᒃᑯ ᓴᖃᒻᕿᓕᒃ ᒪᕐᔪᕋᖕᒃ ᐃᓄᑕᖅᑐᔾᖕᒃ ᑐᖅᖃᖅᖃᖅᑐᖏ; ᖃᐅᓪᒧᒃᑲᒃᓯᕐᕿᖅᓂᕐᖅ ᐳᒃᑐᐃᐊᖏᐊᐃᒃ ᐱᕿᖁᐊᕐᑯᐊᕿᐊᖕᒃᓐᒃ ᓴᕿᒃᖃᑕ ᑐᓴᐅᒪᓕᓐᑎᖕᒃᑎᖃᖕᖁᐊᖕᒃ ᑕᕐᕿᐃᐊᖕᑲᖅᖏᓐᑎᓐᓕᑐᖕ ᐃᖁᐊᖕᒃ ᐱᕐᔾᑎᓕᖕᓐᖕ ᑐᓴᐅᒪᔾᖁᓂᖅᒃ ᐸᕿᑕᐅᔭᖕᓕᖅ ᓴᕿᑯᕐᖕᒃ ᐱᓕᕆᐊᖕᖁᓐᖕ ᐊᕐᓗ ᐊᓯᖕᖁᖏᒃ ᓴᕿᑯᕐᖃᓐᖕ ᑐᖕᓗᕐᐊᐃᖕᖁᕆᖕ ᑎᒃᑐᖁᖃᒃ. ᓴᖁ ᑐᓴᐅᒪᔾᓴᑎᓕᕆᓂᕐᒃ ᑲᖏᔭᖅᑐᑕᓕᓂᕐᒃᓗ ᑲᒻᕿᓂ ᐱᕿᒻᓕᒻᕕᕐᒃ ᐱᓕᕆᐊᖅᓐᖏ ᖃᓐᕿᓐᑎᓐᕿᖁᕐᐊᕿᓐᒃᕿᖕᒃ ᑎᒃᑯᓂᖕᓂᑭ.

ᓴᖁ ᔪᓕᐻᖁᕐᖃᖅᖃᑐᓂ ᖃᕐᒃᕐᖁᖅᑲᖁᐊᕿᓐᖕᔭᓐᓗᖕᒃᓂ ᐃᖁᓪᕿᑮᒻᓂᓐᕐᖕᖕ ᓴᕿᑯᕐᖕᖁ ᐱᓕᕆᐊᖕᖁᖏᒃᑲᓐᖁ ᐱᕐᔾᑎᓕᖕᓐᖕ ᑐᖕᓕᕋᖕᒃᔭᖕᓕᐊᖕ ᑕᖕᒻᖃ ᒪᓕᓕᖕᒃᑕᒃ, ᓴᖁ ᑐᓴᐅᒪᔾᓴᑎᓕᕆᓂᕐᒃ ᑲᖏᔭᖅᑐᑕᓕᓂᕐᒃᓗ ᑲᒻᕿᓂ ᑲᖕᓕᖏᒃ ᐅᖅᖃᖅᕿᐻᐊᕿᖅᑐᖕᖅ ᒪᓕᓕᕐᐊᔮᕿᖕᒃᕐᖕ, ᐊᕐᓗ ᐳᒃᑐᐃᐊᖏᕐᖕᒃ ᐃᖁᖁᓂᐊᓐᑎᖅᑕᕿᖃᓂ ᑐᕿᖕᖕᒃ ᓴᕿ ᑎᕆᐅᑎᕐᖃᖁᓕᖕᒃ ᑐᓴᐅᒪᔾᖁᓂᖅᒃ ᑲᖏᔭᖅᑐᑕᓕᓂᕐᒃᓗ ᒪᓕᑕᐅᒃ.

ᒻᕿ ᐃᓕᐃᐊ ᕿᖁᐊ ᐸᐃᕐᕿ ᑎᒃᑯᐊᖅᑕᖕᑕᑐᖕᓕᐅᒃ ᖁᖕᕿᖏ ᔪᐻᒃᑐᖕᕿᖅᔾᒻᖁᖁᓐ ᑐᓴᐅᒪᔾᓴᑎᓕᓂᕐᒃ ᑲᖏᔭᖅᑐᑕᓕᓂᕐᒃᓗ ᑲᒻᕿᐊᐅᕿᖁᓐ 1999-ᒻ. ᑎᒃᑯᐊᖅᑕᖕᑕᓕᕐᕿᖅᑐᖕᖅ ᖃᕐᔾᐊᑎᒻ ᑕᕐᕿᓂ ᐊᕿᔾᓐᕿᓐ ᑎᖕᓕᕐᕿᓕᐊᖅᕿᖕᓂᖕᕿᖕᒃ ᑕᕐᓕᖁᕿᒃ ᐊᕿᔾᖁᓐᕿ ᑎᒃᑯᐊᑕᐅᔾᓕᓂᕐᒃ.

ᑲᖏᔾᖁᖕᑎᓂᕐᖁᓐᓂᑕᐅᖕ ᑎᑎᕋᖅᑕᐅᔾᖁᓕᐊᕿᒃ ᐅᖅᕿᓕᒻᕐᔾᖕᓕ ᑕᖕᒻᖃ ᐱᕐᔾᑎᖅᖃᔾᓕᖕᒻᕿᒃ ᑐᓴᐅᒪᔾᓴᑎᓕᕆᓂᕐᒃ ᑲᖏᔭᖅᑐᑕᓕᓂᕐᒃᓗ ᑲᒻᕿᐊᐅᕐᓕᖕᓂ ᖁᖕᕿᕐᐊᔾᖕᑎᖕᔾ 1996-ᒻᓂᕐᒃ, ᑕᐃᑲᖕᒻᕿᖕᒃ ᖁᖕᕿᑎᐊᖅ ᐻᒃᕿᒻᕐᖕ ᒪᓕᓕᕐᒃ ᐊᔪᓕᖕᑎᓐᓕᐻᖁᕿᒻᒃᕿᒃ.

ᐻᒃᕿᓕᑕ ᑐᓴᐅᒪᔾᓴᑎᓕᓂᕐᒃ ᑲᖏᔭᖅᑐᑕᓕᓂᕐᒃᓗ ᑲᒻᕿᐊᐻᕿ ᓕᒻᖁᒻᐻᐻᖕᖕ ᑲᖕᓕᐊᖕᕿᖃ ᕿᖕᓕᖕ ᓴᖁᕿᖏᕿᐅᕿᒻᕐᓕᖕᓕ ᑕᐃᑲᓂᐅᕐᖁᖕᖕ ᔾᓐᖕᕿ 28,

place on September 28, 2015 on the occasion of our televised hearing on her 2014-15 annual report to the Legislative Assembly.

Officials from the Government of Nunavut's Department of Executive and Intergovernmental Affairs and Department of Justice subsequently appeared before the Standing Committee.

The Standing Committee's report on its hearing was subsequently presented to the Legislative Assembly on November 4, 2015. The Government of Nunavut's response to the Standing Committee's report was tabled in the Legislative Assembly on March 15, 2016. The Minister of Family Services tabled his department's updated response to the Standing Committee's report on June 7, 2016.

The Information and Privacy Commissioner's 2015-16 annual report was backdoor tabled under the provisions of Rule 44(2) of the *Rules of the Legislative Assembly of Nunavut* on July 24, 2016. It will be formally tabled in the House during the upcoming fall sitting of the House.

The Government of Nunavut's Department of Executive and Intergovernmental Affairs has overarching responsibility for the government's administration of the *Access to Information and Protection of Privacy Act*. The government's 2014-15 annual report on the administration of the *Access to Information and Protection of Privacy Act* was tabled in the Legislative Assembly on November 3, 2015.

Amendments to the *Access to Information and Protection of Privacy*

2015−Γ, ᑕᐃᕝᕙᒥᓂ ᑕᑕᐊᖅ�观ᑐᑎᒃ ᓄᓚᖕᓂᖅᑲ�᷀ᒪᓛᑕ ᐱᓐᑐᑎᕐᒃ ᓴᖐᕐᒥᕐᒥᕐᒃ 2014-2015 ᐊᕐᒍᑕᓕᖅᔭᐅᑏᓐᒃ ᐅᓂ�|ᑲᑦᐊᒥᓄᖕᕐᒃ ᒪᓕᒐᓕᐅᕐᖏ᷀ᓪᒍᒃ ᑐᕐᓯᓚᔪᑦ.

ᓄᓇ�?ᒃ ᒐᕙᒃᖏᒃᑯᒃ ᐃᑲᐊᓂᐊᖅᑐᑕᓂᓂᕐᒡᒃ ᒐᕙᒪᓕᓐᖕᑇᖅᑐᑦᓗ ᐱᓐᕐᐅ᷀ᖁᕐᒃ ᐊᕐᒪ ᒪᓕᓐᕐᐅᕐᑯᒃ ᐱᓐᐊᒃ ᐱᒃᔪᖕᓕᑎᓗᓂ ᑲᑎᒪᕐᕿᓯᐅ᷀ᖁ᷀ᓂᖕᑐᒃ ᖏᕐᕐᓄ᷀ᐊᑐᓄᑎᐅᖅᐱᓕᕐᒃ.

ᑲᑎᒪᕐᕿᓕ᷀ᓄᑕ ᐅᓂᑲᑯᐊᕿᕐᒃ ᐱᖐᐊᓐᒃᒃ ᓄᓚᖕᐅᑐᕐᐅᐅᖅᑐᒐᒃ ᑭᕐᑯᐊᒃᔪᒃ ᑐᓂᑏᑐᑦᓚᐅᖅᑐᒃ ᒪᓕᒐᓕᐅᕐᖏ᷀ᓪᒍᒃ ᓄᐛᓐᓐ 4, 2015−Γ. ᓄᓇᕾᒃ ᒐᕙᒃᖏᓕᕤᒃᒃ ᑭᐅᕾᖅᑎᓕᕐᒃ ᑲᑎᒪᕐᕿᓕᒃ ᐅᓂᑲᑯᐊᒃᕐᖏᕐᕐᓄᓄᒃ ᒪᓕᒐᓕᐅᕐᖏ᷀ᓪᒍᒃ ᓲᖃᓵᑕᕐᒐᓕᖅᑐᒃ ᒪᕿᓯ 15, 2016−Γ. ᐃᓄᓕᕐᖏᕐᒃ ᒥᕐᑎᕐᕝᓕᕤᒃ ᒪᓕᒐᓕᐅᕐᖏ᷀ᒃᒃ ᐱᓐᕐᐅ᷀ᐊᑕ ᐅᐛ᷀ᔪᐊᖅᖐᓕᕐᕐᐊᓂᖅ ᑲᑎᒪᕐᕿᓕᕤᒃ ᑭᐅᕾᖅᑎᕐᕐᐊᓄᖕ ᓲᖃᕐᑎᓐᐅᑐᖅᖐᓕᕾᖅ ᐅᓄ 7, 2016−Γ.

ᑐᓯᐅᓕᕾᑕᓐᓂᖕᖏᕐᒃ ᑲᖐᖐᔪᐊᖅᑐᑕᓐᖏᕐᒡᒃᓗ ᑲᓕᕾᖐᐅᕐᒃ 2015-16 ᐊᕐᒍᑕᓕᖅᔭᐅᑎᕾᕐᒃ ᐅᓂᑲᑯᐊᕿᕐᒃ ᑐᐛᐊᕤᒃ ᕾᕐᕤᐆᒃ ᒪᓕᒐᓕᐅᕐᖏ᷀ᓪᒍᒃ ᓲᖃᖅᐅᑐᕾᖅᑐᒃ ᒪᓕᖅᑐᓐᒃ ᒪᓕᖕᕐᒡᒃ ᖐᓇᐅᐅᑎᕐᒡᒃ 44(2) ᓄᓇᕾᒥ *ᒪᓕᒐᓕᐅᕐᖏᐊᐅᕾ ᒪᓕᓐᕐ᷀ᖏᒃ ᕾᒐᐃ 24, 2016-Γ.* ᑲᑎᒪᕾᔪᖅᓂᒪ ᒪᓕᒐᓕᐅᕐᖏ᷀ᐃᒫ ᓲᖃᖅᑕᐅᑕ᷀ᓄᐛᓐᖅᑐᖅ ᒪᓇᐅᓄᐊᖅᑐᖅ ᐅᐱᑖᓐᒪ ᑲᑎᒪᕾᔭᐊᖐᕐ<<ᑕ ᒪᓕᒐᓕᐅᕐᖏᒫ.

ᓄᓇᕾᒃ ᒐᕙᒃᖏᒫ ᐱᓐᕐᐊ᷀ᖐ ᕾᕾ᷀ᖅᖐᓄᖕᑕ ᒐᕾᓕᐅᕐᑲᖐᑲ᷀ᓗᕤᓂᖐ ᐱᓐᕐᑲᐃᖃᖅᑇᖐᒃ ᕾ᷀ᐃᐅᐅᑎᓗᕐᐅᑐᖕᕐᑎᓐ ᐱᓐᕐᐊᒃᖐᐃᐊᖅᕾᐊᒃᑯᓂ᷀ᒃᒃ ᒐᕾᒃᑯᒃ ᐊᑕᐅᒃᑎᓐᑎᓄᖕᒃ ᕾᖐᒥᖐᐅ ᖐᖐᐅᑎᖕ᷀ᐊᒃᕾᐊᖕᒍᒃ ᑐᓯᐅᓕᕾᑎᖐᐊᒃ ᑲᖐᖐᔪᐊᖅᑐᑕᓐᖏᕐᒡᒃᓗ ᒪᓕᒃᕾᒃ. ᕾᕾᒃ ᒐᕾᐅᖐ 2014-15 ᐊᕐᒍᑕᓕᖅᔭᐅᑎᕾᕐᒃ ᐅᓂᑲᑯᐊᕿᕐᒃ ᐱᖐᐊᓐᒃᒃ ᐊᐅᐅᑐᖐᓂᖕᒃ ᕾᕾᒃ ᒪᓕᐅᖐ ᒪᓕᒐᓕᐅᕐᖏ᷀ᒃᒃ ᓲᖃᖅᑕᐅᓕᖅᑐᖅ ᐆᖐᕤ 3, 2015-Γ.

ᐊᖅᑭᕐᐊᓕᕾᐅᖐᒃ ᕾᕾᒥᖐᐊ ᖐᖐᐅᑎᖕ᷀ᐊᒃᕾᐊᖕᒍᒃ ᑐᓯᐅᓕᕾᑎᓄᖕᒃ ᑲᖐᖐᔪᐊᖅᑐᑕᓐᖏᕐᒡᒃᓗ ᒪᓕᒃᑯᒃ

Act were passed by the Legislative Assembly in 2012 and came into force in May of 2013. These amendments provide the Information and Privacy Commissioner with clear authority to undertake privacy-related reviews concerning personal information held by public bodies. The amendments also establish a statutory requirement for public bodies to notify her office where a material breach of privacy has occurred with respect to personal information under their control. The Government of Nunavut's Department of Executive and Intergovernmental Affairs has recently produced a formal *Privacy Breach and Incident Policy*.

Amendments to the *Access to Information and Protection of Privacy Regulations* were published in the April 2015 edition of Part II of the *Nunavut Gazette*. The most significant amendment is the inclusion of housing associations and housing authorities under the definition of "public body." This means that the *Access to Information and Protection of Privacy Act* now applies to local housing organizations. This is an issue that received considerable attention during the Standing Committee's 2015 televised hearing.

In recent years, a number of important themes and issues have emerged during consideration of the Information and Privacy Commissioner's annual reports to the Legislative Assembly. These include:

- Public disclosure of contracting, procurement and leasing activities undertaken by Government of Nunavut departments, Crown agencies, and territorial corporations;
- The application of access to

ᑲᔪᓯᑎᑕᐅᒋᐊᖅᑐᓐ ᒪᓕᒐᓕᐅᖅᕕᒻᒥ 2012-ᒥ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐊᑐᓕᖅᑐᓐ ᒪᐃ 2013-ᒥ. ᑖᒃᑯᐊ ᐊᖅᑭᒋᐊᕈᑎᒃ ᐱᓂᖅᑎᕐᔪᒃ ᑐᓴᐅᒪᔾᔪᑎᓄᓂᓐᔾᓗᑦ ᑲᒪᒋᔭᖃᖅᑐᓐᓯᓐᒻᓗ ᑲᒻᒥᐊᒻᒥ ᑐᑭᓯᐊᕐᑎᐊᖅᑐᓐ ᐱᔪᖕᓇᐅᑎᓄᑦ ᖄᒥᕐᒥᐊᖄᓂᑎᐊᕐᓄᑦ ᑲᒻᒥᐊᖅᑐᔾᑎᓄᑦ ᑐᕐᓂᔾᓂᑐᑕ ᑎᑎᖅᑲᓂᑐ ᐱᔾᑎᓐᓂᓄᑦ ᐃᖅᑲᐅᒪᔾᑎᐅᔾᓂᑐᑦ ᓴᖅᓐᑯᑐᓄᑦ ᑎᒋᕐᒻᐊᖅᑕᐅᔾᑐᑦ ᓴᖅᓐᑯᑐᓄᑦ ᑎᒻᔾᑎᐅᔾᑐᑦ. ᑖᓐᓇᑔᑐᑦ ᐊᖅᑭᒋᐊᕐᒻᔾᑎ ᖅᖅᒻᕐᒐᖅ ᒪᓕᖅᑎᒍᑦ ᐱᕐᐊᖅᖅᓐᑎᓐᑎᐅᔾᒻᒃ ᓴᖅᒻᑯᑐᓄᑦ ᑎᒻᔾᑎᐅᔾᑐᑦ ᖅᑫᐱᖅᐊᖅᖅᓐᑕᓐᔾᒻᔾᓂᑐᑦ ᑲᒻᒥᐊᔾᒻᕐ ᐊᓇᑄᓇᓂᑐᑦ ᑲᒻᒥᐊᖅᑐᔾᑎᐅᔾᒻᑐᑦ ᐱᔾᑐᕐᑫᓂᓂᓴᕐᔾᔾᑐᑦ ᐊᐅᓐᑔᕐᓐᓂᓂᑐᑦ. ᓄᓇᕐᑐᑦ ᓴᖅᒻᒻᓂᓐ ᔾᔾᓐᑕᖅᓐᓂᑐᑦ ᓴᖅᑐᕐᑫᓐᒻᔾᕐᒻᓄ ᐱᓂᓐᖅᑎᕐᖅᖅᓐᑎᕐᖅ ᒻᒻᐊᔾᓐᐅᒐᖅᖅᑐᖅ ᖅᒻᕐᔾᖅᕐᒻᑐᑔᕐᑎᒻᒻᖅᐸᑐᑦ ᒪᓐᑔᑎᕐᐊᓐᒻᕐ ᑲᒻᒥᐊᖅᑐᔾᑔᖅ ᕐᔾᖅᕐᑕᑔᓐᒻᒻᑔ ᖅᑫᐊᑎᑐᕐᓂᕐᓗᑔᑐᖅᖅᑎᒻᒻᖅ ᐊᔾᐊᓇᓂᑐᑦ.

ᐊᖅᑭᒋᐊᕐᒻᔾᑎᑔᑔ ᑔᕐᔾᒻᓐ ᑎᕐᔾᒻᓐᑕ ᑎᕐᓐᑎᕐᒻᓇᓂᑐᑦ ᑐᖅᕐᔾᒻᓂᑐᑦ ᑲᒻᒥᐊᖅᑐᖅᑎᓂᓂᓐᒻᓂᓗ ᒪᓕᒻᒻᒻᓗᑦ ᖅᖅᕐᖅᑔᑐᑔᑐᑔᑔᑔ ᐄᖗᑭ 2015ᒻ ᐃᔾᑎᕐᒻᒻᒻᓐ ᐊᐃᓐᔾᓐ ᒪᓕᒻᓐᑕᔾᒻᖅᕐᒻ ᑐᖅᑔᑎᕐᒻᒻᓐᓐ. ᐊᓐᑎᒻᓐᓐᐸᔾᒻᔾᒻᖅ ᐊᖅᑭᒋᐊᑔᓐᑎᕐᑔᑔᖅ ᑕᒻᒻᓇᐅᕐᔾᖅ ᐃᑔᓐᑔᕐᔾᐊᓐᒻᖅᖅ ᐃᓐᔾᓐᑎᕐᓐᔾᒃᑔᑐᑦ ᑎᒻᔾᑎᕐᒻᒻᓐᑐᑦ ᐊᐅᓐᑔᑎᔾᕐᒻᓂᓐᒻᓗ ᑐᕐᑔᑎᕐᒻᓐᑔᓗᓐᑔ ᐊᔾᐊᓐᑔᑔᖅᑔᕐᐊᓐᑔᓐᑔᒻᒃ "ᓴᖅᒻᑯᑔᑦ ᑎᒻᔾᑎᕐᒻᒻᒻᓄᑦ." ᑕᒻᒻᓇ ᑐᖅᑔᖅᖅᑐᖅ ᑖᓐᓇ ᑎᕐᔾᒻᑎᒻᒻᓇᓂᓗᑦ ᑐᖅᕐᔾᒻᓂᑐᑦ ᑲᒻᒥᐊᖅᑐᖅᑎᓂᓂᓐᒻᓂᓗ ᒪᓕᒻᖅ ᒪᓐᑔᑎᕐᐊᖅᑔᓂᓐᑔᔾᕐᒻᓐᑔ ᐃᑔᓐᑔᕐᔾᒻᓄᑦ. ᑕᒻᒻᓇᐃᔾᒻᒃ ᐊᔾᑎᔾᒻᑔ ᐊᔾᒻᔾᒻᑔᑔᔾᑔᓐᔾᓐ ᐅᖅᑔᑐᔾᕐᖅᑔᓇᓄᑦ ᑲᑎᒻᔾᓇᒻᒻᑔ 2015−ᒻ ᑕᑔᔾᔾᒻᒻᔾᖅᑔᓐᑔ ᐊᓐᓇᓂᕐᖅᖅᓐᑔᓂᔾᓐᑔ.

ᒻᒻᓐᑔᒻᔾᒻᔾᕐᕐᑔ ᐊᕐᑔᑐᓇ ᐊᓐᑔᑔᖅᑔᕐᔾᒻᑔᓇ, ᐊᒻᒻᑔᒻᓐᑔᐃᑔ ᐃᔾᒻᒻᔾᖅᑔᑔᔾᕐᑔᒻᒃ ᐊᔾᑔᓂᓇᖅᑔᑐᑔᓄ ᖅᖅᒻᒻᒃᑔᑔᔾᒻᔾᒻᒻᑔᑔ ᐃᔾᑔᓐᒻᖅᒻᔾᒻᕐᑔᑎᓇᕐᔾᕐᑔᕐᒻᓐᓂᓂᓐᑔ ᑐᖅᒻᔾᒻᓂᑐᑦ ᑲᒻᒥᐊᖅᑐᖅᑎᓂᓂᓐᒻᓂᓗ ᑲᒻᒥᐊᖅᑔᖅ ᐊᕐᑔᑐᑔᓐᒻᔾᓐᑔᑔᓇ ᐅᓄᒻᕐᔾᕐᒻᓇᔾᓇᑔᕐᒻ ᒪᓕᒐᓕᐅᖅᕕᒻᒻᓗᑦ. ᑖᒃᑔᐊ ᐅᑔᓇᒻᓇ ᐃᓇᔾᒻᔾᒻᑔᑐᑦ:

- ᑕᖅᒻᑔᑔᒻᓇ ᖃᕐᔾᒻᔾᒻᓇᑔ ᖅᖅᕐᔾᕐᑔᑔᖅᖅᑔᓐᑔᖅᕐᓇᑔᕐᔾᒻ ᖃᒻᔾᑔᖅᑔᖅᑔᑎᑔᓐᑔ, ᖃᒻᔾᑔᑔᓐᑔᔾᑔᑔ ᐊᒻᒻᓗ ᐊᑐᖅᕐᔾᐊᑔᓇᔾᑔ ᐱᓂᔾᒻᒻᐅᑔᑔ ᓄᓇᕐᑔ ᓴᖅᒻᒻᑔ ᐱᓂᔾᒻᔾᒻᖅᒻᓐᑔ, ᑎᒻᔾᑎᕐᒻᒻᒻᓗ ᐊᒻᒻᓗ ᓄᓇᕐᑔ ᖅᑔᖅᒻᔾᖅᒻᔾᒻᒃᓄᑦ;
- ᐊᑐᖅᒻᓇᖅᑎᑔᔾᑔᓐᑔ ᓄᓇᔾᑔ ᓴᖅᒻᓇᔾᒻᕐᑔ

information and protection of privacy legislation to municipalities;

- The management of electronic health records and the development of health-specific privacy legislation; and

- The application of access to information and protection of privacy legislation to district education authorities.

During the Standing Committee's 2015 televised hearing to review the 2014-15 annual report of the Information and Privacy Commissioner, Members raised concerns regarding the disclosure practices of the Nunavut Business Credit Corporation. At that time, the Information and Privacy Commissioner indicated that "in most cases, the entity, whether it's an individual or a company who receives money from a government agency, whether it's by way of a loan or a grant or any other form, should be disclosed."

During the Standing Committee's 2015 televised hearing to review the most recent annual reports and corporate plans of the Nunavut Business Credit Corporation, Members took the opportunity to raise these concerns with the corporation. In its subsequent report to the Legislative Assembly, the Standing Committee recommended that the Nunavut Business Credit Corporation "fully disclose the identities of recipients of loans and other financial assistance provided to recipients." In its response, the government indicated that, at that time, it wished to seek a formal review and ruling from the Information and Privacy Commissioner.

Today's televised hearing provides an opportunity for the Information and

ᑕ᠇ᔅ᠊ᒋᒧ᠆ᐅ ᑎᑭᐅᑎᕐᐁᓪᓗᓂᓇ᠇ᓪᓗᑲ ᑐᖅᐅᒣᔮᓅᓇ᠆ᓪᒪ᠆ᓂᓇ᠆᠊ᓯᓐᓕ᠆ᕐ;

- ᐊᐅᓚᑕᐅᓇ᠆ᓂ᠆ᓯ ᖃᖓᑦᐹᕿᓛᑦᑐᑦ ᐃᓗᐃᑦ ᐋᓐᓂᐊᕋᑦᑕᐋᔅᑐᓪᔪᐅᑎᖕᐹᓐ᠆ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᓴᖅᖃᒃᒥᐅᐊᔅᕐᓗᓂ ᐋᓐᓯᐊᖅᖃᖅᐱᓪᑐᑎᓇ᠆᠊ᓯᓐᓕ ᑐᓀᙳᓕᑦᐊᓂ᠊ ᑲᓄ᠆ᖅᖃᑐᓇ᠆ᓯᔅᐱᓯᓐᑦ ᒪ᠆ᓕᒐ᠆ᓂ;

ᐊᒻᒪ

- ᑐᖅᐅᓕᔅᐁᑎᓇᑉ ᐊᑐᐊ᠆ᒪ᠆ᖃᖅᖃᒃᓂ᠆ᓯᔅ ᐃᒻᒪᓇᔅᓇ᠆ᓗ ᑐᓀᙳᓕᑦᐊᓂ᠆ ᓴ᠆᠊ᒪ᠆ᕐᑐᕐᒥᖅᕐᐱᕐᓕᓇ᠆ᔅᓯᓐ ᒪᓀᙳᒃ ᐊᑐᓚᙳᑦᑎᐅᕆ᠆ᔅᐊᙱᑑᔅ ᓄᓇᓪᒥᖕᓂ ᐃᓚᙳ᠆ᒪ᠆ᔅᒃ᠆ᐅᓚᑎᓇ᠆ᔂ᠆ᒃᔅᓯᐅᙳᒦ ᑲᓀᙳ᠆ᔅᐱᙳ᠆ᓇ᠆᠊ᓂ.

ᑲᓀᙳᔅᖃᒃᑦᑦᑦ 2015-ᒥ ᑕᑕᐃᙳᖅᔅᔅᑦ ᓴ᠊ᕿᐱᔅ᠆ᐃᑉᐅᐊᖅᐱ᠆᠊ᔅᑦᓀᙳ ᐁᑉ᠆ᙳᔅᓕᙳ, ᑲᓀᙳᒧᖅᕐᑥᖃᒃᑎᓇ᠆᠊ᓗᓂᑦ ᐱᙳ᠆ᕐ᠆ᓕᙳᖅᔅᑐᙳᒃᕐ ᑐᖅᐅᓕᔅᐁᑎᓇ᠆ᕐᓇ᠆᠊ᓂ ᑲᓄ᠆ᖅᖃᑐᓇᑎᓇ᠆ᔅᓯᓇᑲ᠆ᓯᒃ᠆ᓕᓇ᠆ᔂᕐᑕᕐᕿ᠆ᒫᐅᔅᒃ ᐊᔅᕗᒃᑎᓀ᠆᠊ᕐᕗᑦᐊᑦ ᐅᓇ᠆ᓕᙳᕐᖕᙳᓇ, ᒪᓀᙳᓚᓕᐅᐅᖅᕝᕿᓀ᠆᠊ᔅ ᐃᓀᙳᔅᔂᖅᕐᒃᓇᙳᐅᙳᑦᑎᐊᑐᖅᔅᖕᒦ ᓄᓇᕘᒥ ᐱᔅᓯᕿᓯᕆᖕ᠆᠊ᓇ᠆᠊ᔅ ᐊᑐᓪᒃᖕᕿᖕᓕᐋᐅᐹ ᑐᖅᐅᓕᔅᐁᑎ᠆ᓕᙳᙳᒃ ᓴ᠆᠊ᕿᑐᙳᔅᔂᔂᒃ᠆᠊ᓇ᠆ᒦᓇ᠆᠊ᒦ. ᑕᐁᔅᔂᒧᓇᑉᐅᓇᑲ᠆ᓗᔅ, ᑐᖅᐅᓕᔅᐁᑎ᠆ᕐᓇ᠆᠊ᓂᑦᑐᑲ᠆ᔅ ᑲᓄ᠆ᖅᖃᑐᓇᑎᓇ᠆ᔅᓯᓇᑲ᠆ᓗ ᑲᓀᙳᖕ ᐅᖃᒃ᠆᠊ᐅᖕᕝᕿᓕᙳᖕ "ᐊᑐᙳᔅᕿᙳᑐᑉᒃ, ᑎᓀᑏᐁᖅᕐᖕᙳᒃ, ᐃᓇᑉ ᐊᑕᐅᔅᕿᐅᙳᓇ᠆ᑐᐊᖅ᠆᠊᠊ᔅᑐ ᐅᖅᖃ᠆ᔂᕿᖕᓇᒃ ᑲᔂᙳᐅᙳ᠆ᓇ᠆᠊ᑐᐊᖅᖅᐅᔅ ᓕ᠆ᓱᕐᒃᒃᑕᑉ ᑎᓀᙳᔅᒃᑎᕐᓕᙳᕐᖕᕘᙳ ᑳᐁᐅᔅᕗᖅᕐᕿ᠆ᓇᖅᐱ, ᐊᑐᖅᔂᕿᙳᖅᕐᑉᑭᑲᑉ ᐅᖅᖃ᠆ᔂᕿᖕᓇᒃ ᖃᒃ᠆ᓇᐃᖅᔅᔂᔂ᠆᠊ᒪ᠆ᖅᙳᒃ᠆᠊ᓂᑉ ᐅᑎᖅᔅᑎᑐᕐᐊᑐ᠆ᔅᕐᖕᙳᓇᑉ ᑳᐁᐅᔅᕗᕐᕿ᠆᠊ᔅᑎᑐᐊᙳᕆᖅᖕᕿᑉ, ᑐᖅᐅᓕᔅᐁᑎᑉ ᓴ᠆᠊ᕿᖅᖃᑕᐅᓕᐊᕗ᠆᠊."

ᑲᓀᙳᔅᖃᒃᑦᑦᑦ 2015-ᒥ ᑕᑕᐃᙳᖅᔅᔅᑦ ᓴ᠆᠊ᕿᐱᔅ᠆ᐃᑉᐅᐊᖅᐱ᠆᠊ᔅᑦᓀᙳ ᑲᓀᙳᒧᖅᕐᑥᖃᒃᑐᐅᔅᔂᓕᕘᑦ ᓄᑕᐅᙳᖅᖕᙳ᠆᠊ᕟᒦ ᐊᔅᕗᒃᑎᓀ᠆᠊ᕐᕗᑦᐊᑦ ᐅᓇ᠆ᓕᙳᕐᖕᙳᓇ ᐊᒻᒪ ᖕᙳᓇᐅᔅᕟᐊ᠆ᓇᔅᒦᕐᐁᖅᕐ᠆᠆ᓄᓇᕘᒥ ᐱᔅᓯᕿᓯ᠆᠊ᓂ᠆ᔅ ᐊᑐᖅᖃᑐᕟᐹᑉ ᒃᐊᐁᐱᙳᖕᕟᙳᒃ, ᐊᒻᒪ ᒪᓕᓕᐅᐅᖅᕝᕿ᠆ᔅ ᐃᓀᙳᔅᔂᑎᖕᕟᒦᖅᕟᒃ ᐅᖅᐅᔅᕐᔂᒃᖕᙳ᠆᠊ᖅᔂᓯᑐᖅᔅᖕᙳᒃ. ᑕᒫᙳ ᔅᐱᒋᔂᙳᖕᕿᖅᕐ ᒪᓕᓕᐅᐅᖅᕟᓴᙳᓂ᠆ᔅ ᐅᖕᙳᐅᐅᔅᕟᐁᔂᐅᖕᕟ᠆᠊᠊ᔅ, ᑲᓀᙳᔅᖃᒃᔅᑦ ᐊᑐᖕᕟᕘ᠆ᓚ᠆ᕘᐅᐅᖅᕝᕿᓕᕘᑉ ᓄᓇᕘᒥ ᐱᔅᓯᕿᓯᕟᔂ᠆᠊ᓂ᠆ᔅ ᐊᑐᖅᔂᔅᔂ᠆᠊ᖅ ᔅᐊᐁᑉᐁᔂᙳ "ᓇ᠆᠊ᓄᓇᐃᖅᔅᕐᑎᙳᔂ᠆ᖃᖅᔂ᠆ᒃᔅᔂᖕᕿᙳᖕᕟᒃ᠆᠊ᓂᑉ ᐸᒃ᠆᠊ᐁᑉ ᐊᑐᖅᔂᕿᐊᓕᖕᕘᒃ ᐊᔅᙳᕐᑎᖕᕘᖅ᠆᠊ᓇᖅᕟᒃ ᑳᐁᐅᔅᒃᕿᖕᒃ ᐊᑐᖅᔅᖕᕿᖅᕟᐁᐅᔅᖕᕿᕿᖕᕟᙳᐁᔂᑉᕟᙳ᠆᠊᠊ᓯᑭᑦᕿᙳᒃ." ᓕ᠆᠊ᖅᖕᕟᕘᒃ ᒃᐃᐅᓕᕟᕘᒃ, ᑕᐁᔅᔂᒧᐅᓇᑐᐅᔅᔂᔂᖕᕟᖅᕘᕿᕿᖕᕟᒃ, ᔅᐱᒋᔂᙳᑕᐃᐁᐹᓚᑎᐅᔅᔂᔂᖕᕟᖅᕟ᠆᠊ᔅ ᑐᖅᐅᓕᔅᐁᑎᑉᑦᓇᓇᙳᒦᖅᕟᖅᕝᕿ᠆᠊ᔅ ᑲᓄ᠆ᖅᖃᑐᓇᑎᓇ᠆ᔅᓯᓇᑲ᠆ᓗ ᐊᔅᙳᒪᑎᐊᑲᑐᐅᔅᔂᔂᖕᕟᖅᕘᖕᕟᒦ᠆ᓂᑉᖕᕟ.

ᐅᓪᓗᒥ ᑕᑕᐃᙳᖅᔂᔅᑐᑐᑲ᠆ᖃ᠆ᖕᙳᓇᐁᖕᕟᓇᖕᙳᐁᐅᖂᑉ ᐱᓀᙳᖅᖕᙳᖕᙳᑭᑉᑭ᠆ᑉᕟᑐ ᐅᔅᕐᔂᒃᖕᙳ᠆᠊᠊ᖅ᠆᠊ᒪ᠆ᖅᖕᙳᑐᑲ᠆

Privacy Commissioner's observations, concerns, and recommendations to be discussed in public. The Standing Committee looks forward to a productive exchange this afternoon with the Information and Privacy Commissioner.

Tomorrow morning, senior officials from the Government of Nunavut will appear before the standing committee to publicly account for the government's actions in response to recommendations from the Information and Privacy Commissioner and standing committees of the Legislative Assembly.

This hearing is being televised live across Nunavut on local community cable stations and direct-to-home satellite service. Transcripts of the hearing will be posted on the Legislative Assembly's website.

In keeping with parliamentary practice, the Standing Committee anticipates reporting its findings and recommendations to the Legislative Assembly during the upcoming fall sitting. Under Rule 91(5) of the Rules of the Legislative Assembly, the government will be required to table a formal response to our report within 120 days of its presentation.

I would like to conclude by addressing some housekeeping matters.

For the benefit of our recording system, I ask witnesses to wait until I invite you to speak before activating your microphone.

I also ask witnesses to always go through the Chair when responding to Members' questions and interventions.

Members of the Standing Committee

ᑐᓴᐅᒪᔭᐅᑎᑦᓯᓂᕐᒧ�tᔭᐅᒪᔭᐅᑎᑦᓯᓂᕐᒧᐊ ᑲᓐᓴᖃᖅᑐᑕᓂᓂᕐᒡᔪᑖᓗ ᑲᒥᓯᐊᐅ�About ᑕᑦᓲᖓᓂᖅᓕᓐᓂᖅᑎ, ᐃᓯᒪᓗᑐᑎᖅᓗᓂᖅᑎ ᐊᑐᖅᑎᑦᓯᑐᐊᖅᓗᕐᑖᓂ ᖃᐅᑐᑎᑦᓯ ᑐᓴᖅᑐᓂᑦᐃᑕ. ᑲᓐᓴᖃᐃᓪᓕᑦ ᑲᒥ Aᓂᑕᐅᑐᐃᑑ ᐅᖃᖃᑎᖅᑕᒪᐅᓂ Aᖃᓂ ᐅᓂᑦ, ᑐᑦᐅᓕᑐᑎᑦᓯᓂᕐᒡᔪᑖᓗ ᑲᒥᓯᐊᒥᖕ.

ᖃᐅᓐᒡᒡᑦ ᐅᑦᓯᑖᓂᑖᓗ, ᐊᑐᐅᐃᖃᖃᐅᑦᕐᑕᐅᖓᐊ ᓄᓇᕐᒥ ᒪᕝᒡᔪᒡᒡᑖᓂ ᐃᓕᓂᐅᐃᖃᖃᓂᑎᑦᓯᐊᒡᔪᓂᑖᒥᒐᓂᑕ ᔭᖅᒡᑦᓚᓚᒐᐃᖃᖃᑐᐅᑦᑕᐃ ᑲᓐᓴᖃᐃᓪᓕᑦ ᔭᖅᒡᑦᓚᒡᓇ ᖃᐅᓐᒡᔭᐅᒡᔪᓐᓂᑐᑕ ᑐᑦᐅᐃᒡᔪᑐᔭᓂ ᖃᐅᑦᓚᖃᖅᑐᓂᒡᕈᓇᑕ ᓯᑐᓕᑖᓂᒐ ᔭᖅᓚᐃᒡᔪᑖ ᑕᐃᒪᐃᐃᑐᐅᓂᕐᒐᒡᔪᑦᓚᖃᖓᑖᓂᑖᓂ ᖃᐅᐅᑦᓚᐃᒡᒡᖃᖃᐃᑖᓂᑐᕐᒡᑦ ᐊᑐᖅᑎᑦᓯᑐᐊᖅᓗᕐᒡᓂᕐ ᑐᑦᐅᓕᑐᑎᑦᓯᓂᕐᒡᔪᑦ ᑲᓐᓴᖃᖅᑐᑕᓂᓂᕐᒡᔪᑖᓗ ᑲᒥ Aᒡᒥᓂᖅ ᐊᒡᔪᓚ ᑲᓐᓴᖃᐃᓪᓕᑦᔭᖅᖃᒥᖅ ᒪᓕᒐᓕᐅᖅᓚᕝᒥ.

ᐅᓇ ᐊᑕᐅᒡᓇᖅᑐ ᑕᑕᐃᒡᒡᑖᑦ ᑕᒡᕐᑕᐅᑎᒐ ᓯᖅᖃᒡᔭᖃᖃᐃᒐ ᓄᓇᖃᖓᒡᔪᒥ ᔭᖅᑎᑦᖃᖅᑐᑕᓂ ᓄᓇᑦᓇ ᑕᑕᐃᒡᒡᑖᓇᑎᓂ Aᒡᒥᓚ ᔭᖅ Aᒡᕐᒥ ᖃᐅᒡᕐᒡᔪᑕᐅᐃᕈᒡᔪᑎᒐ ᑕᑕᐃᒡᒡᓂᒐᐅᓂ. ᑲᓐᓴᑖᓂ ᐅᖃᐅᐅᒡᔭᖃᖃᓂᖃ ᓯᖅᖃᖃᑕᐅᑕᒐᒡᕈ ᒪᓕᒐᓕᐅᖅᓚᐅᐃ ᖃᐅᒡᑎᑦᓚᑖᓂᒐᑖ ᔭᖃᖅᐃᖃᐃᑖᒥᓂ.

ᑕᐃᒪᑎ ᒪᓕᑦᒡᑐᑎᒐ ᑲᓐᓴᕝᔭᒡᔪᓂᕐᒥ ᒪᒡᓚᒐᒡᔪᐃᓂᖓ, ᑖᒡᒡᐊ ᑲᓐᓴᖃᐃᓪᓕᑦ ᓂᑎᐅᒡᔭᒡ ᐅᓯᐅᒡᕈᑖᓂᖓᓂᖅᑐᑖ ᑖᓚᒡᕐᒥ ᖃᐅᒡᐃᒡᕚᒡ ᐊᑐᖅᑎᑦᓯᑐᐊᖅᓗᕐᒡᓂᐃᒡ ᒪᓕᒐᐃᑐᖅᑖᓚᐃᒡᔪᑦ ᐅᐃᐊᒡᔪᖃ ᑲᓐᓴᕝᔭᒡᔪᐃᒡᒡᐅᐃᒡᕚᒡᒥᒐ. ᒪᒡᓚᒡᔪᑖᓂ ᒪᒡᓚᐃᑖᒥᒐ ᐊᖅᖃᓂᑦᒡᑖ 91(5) ᒪᓕᒐᓕᐅᖅᓚᐃᒡ ᒪᓕᐃᒡᖃᒡᔪᐃᓂᖓᒡ, ᒪᓕᒐᐃᑐᖅᑖᒥ ᒪᓕᒐᓕᐅᖅᓚᐃᒡᔪᑦ ᖃᐅᐅᒡᒡᐊᒡᔭᖃᖅᒡᔪᖅᑐᑖ ᐅᑐᐅᒡᕐ 120 ᐊᓂᔭᓕᖃᖃᖅᐅᖅᓂᖃᖓᓂ ᓯᖅᖃᖅᑕᐅᐅᒡᔭᒡᖃᐃᖓᓂᒡ.

ᒥᒐ ᐊᓯᑐᐃᔭᕐᕝᒐ ᑐᖅᖃᖅᑖᔭᐃᖃᒡᕈᓂᒡ ᐅᖃᐅᒡᖃᑖᐃᖃᖃᓂᒡᔪᒥ.

ᑖᒡᒡ ᓂᐊᓕᐅᒡᖃᖃᑐᐅᑖᓂ, ᓂᐊᓕᐅᖅᖃᖃᑐᐅᑖᓂᐃᖃᒡᑐᒡᔭᒡ, ᐅᖃᑲᐃᖃᖅᖃᓇᐅᑦᑐᖅᑐᑎᖅ ᐅᑐᖃᖅᖃᐃᑐᐅᐃᖃᖅᐃᒐ ᐅᖃᖃᑐᓕᑦᖃᖃᐅᒡ ᑭᓇ ᓂᐊᓂ ᓂᐃᖃᖅᑐᑐᖅᔭᑎᒐ ᐃᖃᖃᐃᑐᐅᐃᖃᖅᐅᖅ.

ᐊᒡᔪᓚ ᐅᖃᓂᐊᒡᔭᒡᖃᖃᑐᐅᑖᓂᖃᒡ ᐃᖅᒡᐅᖃᐃᖃᒡᕈᓂᒡ ᐅᖃᐅᒡᓚᖃᒡᕐᕚᐃᖃᖅ Aᒐ ᒪᓕᒐᓂᑐᖅᖃ ᐊᐃᖃᖅᖃᓂᖓᓂᒡ ᑭᐅᑐᒐᐃᖃᖃ.

ᑲᓐᓴᖃᐃᓪᓕᓂ ᑲᓐᓴᐃᒡᖃᖃᑐᐅᑖᒥ ᐃᖃᑖᐃᓚᖃᓂ

have been provided with a number of reports and other documents for their ease of reference during this hearing. For the benefit of our witnesses and interpreters, I ask Members to be precise when quoting from or making reference to specific documents.

With that, I will again welcome the Information and Privacy Commissioner to this hearing and invite her to make her opening statement. Thank you very much.

Ms. Keenan Bengts: Thank you, Madam Chairperson. Good afternoon, everybody. I am pleased to once again be able to appear before you to update you on the activities of my office during the 2015-16 fiscal year.

I feel like a bit of a broken record when, during each of my appearances before this Committee, I report that it has been a busy year. Once again, I received a record number of requests for review. In addition, however, I have undertaken a number of projects that address more systemic issues and which are, therefore, far more time-consuming to deal with. In 2015-16, my office opened a total of 34 files from Nunavut, a 40 percent increase from the number of files opened in the previous year. The number of recommendations issued, however, was down from a record 18 in 2014-15 to 7 in 2015-16.

Once again, the majority of the files which came to my office were requests that I review the responses received to access to information requests. I also received five breach notifications from public bodies under the new breach notification provisions of the Act, advising me of breaches of privacy by

ᐊᑕᐅᓯᐅᖅᑐᑎᒃ ᐅᓂᒃᑲᑕᐊᒥᓂᖅᓴᒃ ᐊᓯᖏᓐᓂᒡᓗ ᑎᑎᕋᖅᓯᒪᔪᑦ ᐱᓯᒪᐊᑭᓇᓗᑎᒃ ᖃᐅᔨᓴᖅᑕᒋᓛᖑᓇᓱᐊᕐᓪLᑦ ᐃᓚᖏᓂᖅᑭᓐᑦᓚᑦ. ᑕᒪᒃᑯᐊ ᐅᖃᑕᓕᓯᔪᖅᓘᒃᐅᑦ ᑐᖏᐊᓐᓗ ᐱᓯᒪᐊᑭᓇᓯᐊᕐᓪLᑦ ᒪᓕᒋᐅᖅᖏᑦ ᐊᓇᓇᐃᖅᖅᑕᖅᖅᑕᒋᑦᒐ᷉ᒃ ᐊᓄᐊᓐᓂᒃ ᑎᑎᕋᖅᔭᓚᒥᒃ ᐅᖃᐅᔭᖅᓚ᷉ᓂᑦ.

ᑕᐃᒪᓂ, ᑐᓕᖅᓕᕐᑎᓐᑲᓐᓂᖅᑲᕐᓚ ᑐᒃᐅᓛᓕᔾᑎᓕᓂᖅᑦ ᑲᓕᐊᖅᓈᖅᑐᑕᓂᖅᔾᓯᒃ ᑲᒥᒃᓯ ᐅᑦᓯ᷉ᓇ ᖃᓇᐊᓂᖅᑦ ᐊᓪLᒃ ᒪᑐᖅᑦᐅᔾᑦᑐᖅ᷉ᑦ ᐅᖃᐅᔨᖅᑦᑦ ᐱᒐᐊᐃᑭᖅᔾᑦᓯᖅ: ᖅᑯᖅᓐᐊᒪᕼᓱᑦ.

ᑭᓇ ᐸᐃᐊᓐᔅ (ᑐᓂᔾᔾᑎᔾ): ᖅᑯᖅᓇᒪᕼᕼ, ᐃᑭᕿᐧᐅᑦᓯᖅ. ᐅᓂᓯᕼᑐᑦ ᑕᓚᔾᒃ, ᖅᑯᓄᐊᕐᑭᓐᐊᖅᑦᖏᒃ ᕼᔾᓄᐅᐊᑭᐊᓇᖃᓚᒃᒐᕼᐧ ᐅᓂᒃᑲᓂᐊᖅᑐᖅᔾᐅᓐᑦ ᐱᓯᓕᖅᑦᑐᓚᐃᐅᓚᖅᑦᒃ ᑎᑎᕼᕼᐊᒪᓂ 2015-2016 ᖅᑐᑐᕿᖓᐊᓃᐅᒪᐃ᷉ᑭᒃᑦ ᐊᕿᔾᒐᐊᓂ.

ᓯᐱᐅᑦᐧᓂᖅᔾᒃᑦᑕᐊᐅᑦ ᐅᑎᖅᖅᑐᐃᐅᑦ ᐃᑭ᷉ᕼᐧᐅᑦᑭᓂᐊᓂᒃ, ᑕᐃᒪᓇᐃᕼᑕᓕᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᐃᔭᕿᐅᑦ ᕼᕻᓄ᷉ᐅᐊ᷉ᑭᑦᕼᕼ, ᐅᓂᒃᑕᓚᐅᖅᑦᑕᐊᖅᕼᖅᕼᐊ ᐱᓯᓕᐊᖅᓪ᷉ᐅᖅᕼᐧᕼᑕᐅᑐᖅ᷉ᐊᑦᓂᑦ. ᕼᐅᑦ, ᑐ᷉ᒐᐧᐅᓂᖅᑦᓪᕼᒃ ᐅᐧᐊᖅᑦᑕᑦᓂ ᑐᑦᓪᕿᐅᖅᑦᐧᓯᓂᖅ ᖅᒐᕐᖅᓇᕼᑦᐅᖅᓯ᷉ᖅ. ᐃᓕᖅᕼᓂᒐ, ᖅᑯᐟᓪᕼᓪᑦ ᐱᓯᓕᐊᔾᓪ ᐱᓯᓕ᷉ᐊᑦᑦᑦᑕᐊᐅᖅᑦᓪᑦᕼᕻᐅᖅᑦᓯᖅ᷉ᑦ ᐅᐊᑭᒃᑦᐧᑐᖅᒃ ᑭᐅᔾᑦᓯᐊᖅᑐᒃᒃ ᐊᑎᑦᑦᑦᓂᐧᓪᕼᓪ ᐱᕻᕻᑎᑎᕼᕻᐊᑦᑦᑦᑦᒃᒃᒃ ᑕᒃᑕ᷉ᑦ, ᐊᒃᓂᖅᓪᕼᒃ ᐱᓯᓕᖅᓪᑦᐧᕼᑦᑕᐅᑦᓪᖅᕻ. ᐊᕿᔾᑦᐊᓂ 2015-16, ᑎᑎᕼᕿᐊᕼᐧᕼᕻ ᒪᑐᐊᖅᑦᕻᑦᐅᑦᑦᓪ 34-ᓂᖅᑦ ᑎᑎᒃᕻᓂᖅᕻ ᑐ᷉ᒃᑦᑦ᷉᷉ᒃᑦᑕᖅᒃ᷉ᑦ᷉ᓂᖅ᷉ᑦ᷉ᑯ ᕻᓇ᷉᷉ᕿᓂᖅ − ᑕ᷉ᑯᐊ 40 ᐳᕼ᷉᷉ᓂᖅ᷉ ᐅᓯᒃ᷉ᕼᕼᐧᕼᕼᑦᕼᑦᑕᐧᑦᑦᑦᑦ ᑎᑎᕼᕿᐊᑦᑦ ᑐᖅᕼᑕᐊ᷉᷉ᑦ᷉᷉ᑦ ᒪᑐᐃᓕᖅᑦᐧᑦᑦᑦᕼᓪᕼᑭᖅᕼᕻᑦᑦ᷉ ᐊᕿᔾᑦᐧᐊᓂ᷉ᑦ 2014-15. ᐅ᷉ᕼᕼᒃ᷉᷉ᑦ᷉ᑕ᷉ᐊᐧᑦ ᐊᑦᑦᕼᓪᑦᕿᐅᖅ᷉᷉ᑦ᷉ ᑐᓯᒃᓕᖅᑦ᷉ᑦᑦ᷉ᑕᐅᑦᑦᕼᕼᒃᑦ, ᑭᕿᐊᑦᐧᓂ, ᐊᖅ᷉ᕼᓂᐊᓕᐅᖅ᷉ᑦᑦ᷉ᑦᑦᕼ ᐅᓪᓂᖅᕼᕼᑕᐅᖅᒃ᷉᷉ᑦᑦᓕᖅ᷉᷉᷉ᑦᕻ 18−ᓂᖅᕻ ᐊᕿᔾᑦᐧᐊᓂ᷉ᑦ 2014-15 ᐅᓪᕼᕼᕼᑕᐊ᷉ᓕᖅᕻᑦᑦᒃᑦᑦᑦᓕᖅᕼᐧᑦᑦᑦ 7−ᓂᖅᕻ ᐊᕿᔾᑦᐧᐊᓂ 2015-16.

ᕿᒃᑕ᷉ᐧᓂᖅ, ᐅᓕᓂᖅᕼᕼᓂᖅᑕᐅᔾᕼᕼᑦ ᑎᑎᒃᕻᕿᐊᑕᑦ ᑎᑎᕼᕿᐊᑭᖅ᷉ᓄᐊᖅᑦᐧᑕᐅᕼᔾᕼᑦ ᑐᖅᕼᕻᖅᕼᕻᑕᐅᕼᐅᑦᑦᑦᒃᕻ ᖅᒐ᷉ᕿᖅ᷉ᖅ᷉ᑦᕼᐅᖅᕻ᷉᷉ᑦᕼ᷉ᐅᓂᖅ᷉ ᑭᐅᔾᑦᕼᕼᑕᐅᑦᑦᑦ ᑎᑯᔾᕼᕼᕿᓇᖅ᷉ᑦᕿᐅᑦᑦᒃ ᑎᑎᕼᕿᐊᖅᑦᕿᓂᖅ. ᑐᖅᕼᐅᕼᐅᑭᐅ᷉ᖅᕻᕼᕼᕼᒃᕼᓕ ᑕᑦᓪᕼᓕᖅᕻᓂᖅᕻ ᑐᕼᕼᖅᑦᕻᓂᑦᕼᐧᓂᖅᕻ ᐅᓚᕼᕼᕼᓂᖅᕻ ᕿᖅᑦᕼᕼᑎᖅᕼᕼᐅᑦᒐᕻᐅᖅᕼ ᑕᐧᓕᖅᕼᑦᕼᒃᑦᕻᕿᐅᑦᕼᕼᑦᑕᐊᓐᓂᕿᓂᖅ᷉ᐅᑦ ᐅᖅᕼᕻᐅᑦᕿᑭᐅᑦᕿᐅᖅᕼᕼᕼᓕ ᕿᖅᑦᕼᕼᑎᑦᑦᕼᕿᕼᕼᕼᑦᓚᖅᕼᕼᒐᕻᓕᖅ ᖅᒐᕿᑦᑦᑦ᷉ᓄᐊᖅᑦᑕᐅᖅᕿᕼᕿᖅᑦᒃ ᒪᓕᖅᕻᓂᖅ᷉ᓂᖅᕻ

ᐃᓄᐊᑦᐅᖅᑐᖅᕻ ᒪᓕᕼᕿᐊᒃᕼ. ᑕᕼᕼᑕᐊ᷉ᕼᕼ ᐱᓯᓕᐊᑦᕼᓂᐊᑦᑦᑦᕻᐅᖅ᷉ᑦᕻᕼ

departments. Of these, most were dealt with without any report or recommendation being issued by my office because I was satisfied that the public body had addressed the issues appropriately.

In addition to the breach notifications that came directly from public bodies, there were three additional complaints about breaches of privacy from individuals who felt that their personal information had been improperly collected, used, or disclosed. Seven of the files opened were in relation to proposed new legislation for which the public body was seeking my input or I undertook to provide input of my own accord.

A lot of time was spent this year attempting to address the recommendations of this Committee arising out of my appearance last year. As noted in my annual report, I made every effort to address all of the recommendations made, but time limitations and keeping up to date on the day-to-day work of the office meant I was not successful in completing all of the projects recommended. This said, I am actually quite pleased with what I was able to accomplish given that the Committee's report did not come out until November.

One of the recommendations made was that, in addition to posting my review recommendations on my website, I also include the public body's response to each recommendation. By way of brief background, when I complete an investigation, I am required to provide a written report and make recommendations to the head of a public body. Those reports are published on my website. The head of a public body who

ᐅᓂᒃᑲᑕᐅᐱᐊᐧᑐᓇᖕᓄ ᐅᕐᕿᔪᖕᓄᖕ ᐊᑐᓕᖅᑕᑕᐅᐱᐊᐧᑐᓇᖕᓄ ᑎᑎᕋᖅᐊᖕᓇᖕᒥᖅᑐᓄ ᐱᕐᕿᑎᕆᓗᒍ ᑎᑎᕋᖅᐊᖕᓇ ᓂᓪᓕᖕᓚᑐᖅᓕᑦ ᓗᕈᖕᑯᑦ ᑎᒡᕿᑎᖕᖕᓕᕐᑦ ᑭᐅᓂᕐᖕᓗᓂᖕ ᐱᕐᒃᑕᐅᕐᓗᑦ. Ċᐸᑕᓂ, ᐅᓗᓯᖕᖕᓯᐊᕐᑦ ᐱᓕᕆᕐᐅᑲᑐᖅᐱᑐᒡᑦ ᐅᓂᒃᑲᑕᐅᐱᐊᐧᑐᓇᖕᒥ ᐊᓪᒪ ᐊᑐᓕᖅᑕᕐᑕᐅᐱᐊᐧᑐᓇᖕᒃ ᑎᑎᕋᖅᐊᖕᓚ ᐱᕐᔪᑎᕐᒃᓗᒍ ᓂᓪᓕᕈᑐᐱᖕᖕᒃᒃᒍ ᓗᕈᖕᑯᑦ ᑎᒡᕿᑎᖕᖕᓕᕐᑦ ᑭᐅᓂᕐᖕᓚ ᑐᖅᕐᒃᐅᕈᑦ.

ᐃᓕᖅᖅᑐᓂ ᕿᒡᒥᓂᓯᕐᐃᐊᕐᐱᓄᑦ ᑐᖕᖕᑎᓕᖕᑎᓄᑦ ᐅᖕᓂᓗᑐᑕᐅᑦᓂᓂ ᓗᕈᖕᑯᑦ ᑎᒡᕿᑎᖕᖕᓂᕐᓂᖕᖕ, ᐱᖕᓂᔫᑲᖕᓂᓕᖕᒃ ᐅᖕᓂᓗᑐᑐᖅᑯᑕᐅᐱᐊᑐᖕᖕ ᕆᒡᒥᕐᐃᐊᕐᐱᐊᕐᖕᒃ ᑲᖕᑐᖕᖕᑐᓂᖕᒃ ᐅᖕᓂᕐᒃᓇᖕᔭᐱ ᐃᒃᐱᐃᔫᖕᒡᒃ ᐃᓕᕐᒡᒃ ᑐᖕᒃᖕᓕᕐᒃ ᑐᖕᓕᖕᑯᖕ ᓄᐊᑕᐅᖕᖕᓂᖕᑕ ᐱᓕᑎᐊᕆᑦᓂᖕᒃ, ᐊᑐᖅᑕᐅᓂᖕᖕᓚᖕᓄ ᐊᕐᐊᒡᑐ ᐊᑐᖅᑕᐅᖕᖕᓯᖕᓚᖕᒍ ᐅᕐᕿᔪᖕᓄᖕ ᕝᖅᕆᑕᐅᔫᓂᐊᖕᕿᓇᖕᒃ ᕝᖅᕆᑕᐅᖕᖕᓯᖕᓚᖕᒍ. 7–ᖔᔪᖕᖕ ᑎᑎᖕᓇᑲᓂᖕᒃ ᑐᖕᖔ∆ᐊᖕᖕ ᓗᑐᐊᖕᓄᕐᒃᑕᐅᐱᐊᑐᖕᖕ ᐊᖕᑐᐊᕐᓂᖕᖅᑐᖕᑦ ᕝᖅᕆᑕᐅᕐᒪᓗᕐᔭᖕ ᓄᑐᖕᒃ ᓚᓇᖕᒃᖔᖕᒃ ᓗᕈᖕᑯᑦ ᑎᒡᕿᑎᖕᖕᓕᕐᑦ ᕝᖕᖕᓇᐅᑎᕐᒡᓕᕐᕐᖕᖕᕐᓚᖕᖕᒃ ᐅᕐᖕ∆ᖕ ᕿᒡᒥᕿᖕᖕᓕᕐᒃᓗᖕᓄ ᐅᕐᖕᖕᖕᖕᓇᖕᖕᒥᖕᒃᒥ ᓂᖕᑐᑎᖕᖕᖕᖕᓂᕐᐊᕐᒡᒪ.

ᐊᑯᓂᕐᐊᒡ ᐱᓕᑎᐱᑐᖅᑐᖅᖕᖕᖕ ᐊᕐᕐᔪᑎᕐᕐᑎᖕᖕᓂ ᑭᐅᕐᒪᕐᓯᖕᖕᖕᓚᖕ ᐊᑐᓕᖅᑕᕐᐊᖕᖕᓂᖕ ᑲᑎᒪᕿᕈᕿᕐᖕᖕ ᐸᑕᐱᐊ ᕐᖕ∆ᖕᓂᖕᖕᖕᖕᓚᖕ ᑲᑎᒪᓕᕐᐱᑐᖕᖕᑎᓂᖔᖕᒍ ᐊᕐᕿᖕᖕᓇ. ᒪᓂᖕᓚᖕᑐᖕᖕ ᐊᕐᕐᔪᑐᑕᒪᕿᕐᖕᐱᑐᖕ∆ᖕ ᐅᓂᒃᑲᑕᐊᕐᒃᒃᖕ, ᐱᓕᖕᑕᐱᕿᕿᖕᖕᓕᓚᖕᖕᖕᓚᖕ ᑭᐅᕐᕐᔭᕿᖕᖕᖕᖕᐊᕐ∆ᖕᖕᒃᖕᖕᖕᖕᖕᖕᖕᓄᐱᖕᖕᕐ ᐃᖕᖕᑐᖕᖕ∆ᖕᓂᖕᖕᕐ ᐊᑐᓕᖅᑕᕐᐊᖕᑐᑭᐊᑐᐱᐊᑐᖕ∆ᖕ ᑭᕆᐊᑐᓇ ᐱᖕᖕᐱᑐᐊᕐᕐᓄᖕᐊᖕᖕᒍᖕᖕᓄᖕᖕᖕ ᐊᓪᓚ ᐊᖕᔪᓪᓗᓂᖕᒃᐱᐊᕐᒃᖕᖕᓄᖕᖕ∆ᓄᖕᖕᖕ ᕿᑭᐅᒡᒃᖕᖕᕐᐱᑎᖕᖕ ᐃᖕᒃᖕᓇᐊ∆ᖕᖕᖕᕐᒃᖕᖕᖕ ᑎᑎᕋᖅᐊᖕᖕᓇᖕ ᐃᖕᖕᑐᖕ∆ᖕᒍᖕᖕᕐᖕ ᐱᓕᑎᐊᕐᒃᖕᓂᖕᒃ ᐃᖕᒃᖕᓇᐊᕐᒃᖕᒃᖕᓇᖕ∆ᖕᐊᖕᖕᑐᕐᐱᐊᖕᖕᓇᖕᑐᕐᑐᕿᖕᒃᖕ ᐊᑐᓕᖅᑕᕐᐊᖕᔫᕿᓕᕿᖕ ᐱᓕᑎᒃᖕᕿᕿᐅᖕ∆ᖕᖕ. ᑕᐃᒪᐃᑐᖔᐊᑐᖅᑎᖕᒡᒃᖕᖕᓚᖕ, ᕿᒃᐊᕿᕿᑎᐊᖕᖕᖕᐊᖕᖕᖕᓚᖕ ᐱᕿᐱᖔᖕᖕᐊᓂᐊᕐᑐᕐᖕᖕᐱᑐᐊᖕᖕᖕᖕᖕᒃ, ᑲᑎᒪᓕᕐᖕ∆ᖕ ᐅᓂᒃᑲᑎᕐᐊᖕᖕᓚᖕ ᐅᕐᖕᖕᖕᖕᒍᖕᖕ ᑐᖕᖕᔭᐅᑎᐅᐱᐊᖕᖕᖕᒃᖕᓚᖕᑐᖕᖕᒃᖕᖕᖕᓚᖕᖕᒍ ᑭᕆᐊᑐᓇ ᖕᐅᖕ∆∆ᖕᖕᐊᑐᕿ∆ᖕᓂᖕᖕᖕᒃᓚᖕᖕᒍ.

ᐃᓕᖕᖕᒡ∆ᖕ ᐊᑐᓕᖅᑕᕐᐊᖕᔫᕿᐅᐱᐊᑐᖕᖕᕿᖕᖕ, ᐃᓕᒡᖕᖕᓄᑐᖕᐱᖕᕐ ᑕᑯᖕᖕᔭᖕᖕᖕᓂᖕᖕ∆ᖕᓚᖕ ᕿᒡᒥᕿᐱᑐᐱᖕᖕᖕ∆ᖕᓚᖕ ᐊᑐᓕᖅᑕᕐᐊᖕᖕᓂᖕᒃ ᕿᖕᓕᑎᑎᐱᖕᑐᕿᖕ∆ᖕᖕᖕᒡ ᐃᐱᐅᖕᖕᖕᐱᖕᔫᖕᒥ, ᐱᕿᖕᔭᐅᑎᑎᕐᐱᑐᕿᖕᐱᖕᖕ ᓚᕈᖕᑯᑦ ᑎᒡᕿᑎᖕᖕᓕᕐᑦ ᑭᖕᔭᖔᖕᕐ ᐊᑐᓂ ᐊᑐᓕᖅᑕᕐᐊᖕᐊᖕ∆ᖕᖕ. ᐅᓂᒃᑲᐱᕐᒃᔫᑐᖕᖕᖕ, ᕿᑭᐅᖕᖔ∆ᖕᓂᕿᒡᒥᖕ ᐱᕿᖕᖕᖕᖕ∆ᕐᔭᖕᖕᓚᖕᓚᖕ, ᑐᖕᓂᕐᔭᖕᖕᐊᖕᕿᖕᖕᖕᑎᖕᑕᐅᐱᓚᖕ ᐅᓂᒃᑲᑕᐊᖕᐊᖕᒃᖕ ᐊᓪᓚᖔ ᐊᑐᓕᖅᑕᕐᐊᖕᓂᖕᒃ ᐊᖕᖕᕿᖕᖕᓂᖕᕿ∆ᖕᖕᖕ∆ᖕᒃᒃ ᓚᕈᖕᑯᑦ ᑎᒡᕿᑎᖕᖕᓕᕐᑦ. Ċᐸᑕᖔ ᐅᓂᒃᑲᑕᐊᖕᔫᖕᔪᖕᒃ ᕿᒡᒥᕿᕐ∆ᖕᖕᑐᖕᕿᖕᖕᓚᖕᖕᖕᖕ∆ᖕᒃᒃᖕᖕᖕ ᕿᖕᒃᖕ∆ᖕᖕ ᕿᒡᒥᕿᕐᒪᕿᐅᐱ∆∆ᑐᖕ ᑲᖕᑐ∆ᖕᕿᔭᖕᒃᖕᐅᖕᕐ ᐃᐱᐅᖕᖕᖕᐱᖕᔫᖕᒥ. ᐊᖕᖕᕿᖕᖕᖕ∆ᖕᖕᖕᖕ ᓚᕈᖕᑯᑦ ᑎᒡᕿᑎᖕᖕᓕᕐᑦ ᑐᑎᖕᐱᐅᕿᖕᖕᒃᖕᔭᖕᖕᖕᖕᖕ ᐃᓕᖕᖕᒡ∆ᖕᐊᖕᕿᖕᐱ ᐅᓂᒃᑲᑕᐊᖕᒃᖕ

receives one of these reports must provide a written decision indicating either acceptance of the recommendations made or such other decisions as he or she deems appropriate.

Once that decision is made, however, there is nothing in the Act which allows the public to see whether or not the recommendations have been accepted nor is there anything that gives the public the ability to follow up to ensure that the public bodies have done what they have indicated they will do.

This has now been partially rectified in the posting of the responses made. I went back through all of my Nunavut files since 2000, pulled the responses received to each review recommendation, and posted it immediately under the review recommendation on my website. This was a huge undertaking going back 16 years and some 100 reviews, but it will now be easy to keep up to date and current. This will help to promote an increased ability for the public to follow up and demand accountability.

Another important task given to me by this Committee last year was to undertake a comprehensive review of the *Access to Information and Protection of Privacy Act* and to provide my comments and recommendations for appropriate amendments. As noted in my annual report, this recommendation was both timely and welcome.

The Act is now some 20 years old and the way government does business has changed dramatically during that time. Most Canadian jurisdictions, in fact, have been going through a similar review in recent years.

ᑐᓂᔾᓯᐅᐊᖅᖴᖅᑐᓯ ᑎᑎᕋᖅᔾᒡᖴᐊᓂ ᐃᕆᒡᑕᐅᐱᑎᖂᖊᖜᓂ ᓇᓄᐊᐃᖅᕝᔾᒡᓗᑎᓐ ᐊᖸᑎᖅᔾᖅᒡᖜᓂᓯ ᐊᖸᑎᖅᔾᖜᒡᒥᖊᒡᓂᓯᒡᓗ ᐊᑐᓯᑦᖀᖏᐊᖅᖜᖁᖓ ᐅᖥᐈᒽᖁᖀᓯ ᐊᔾᐊᓂᖀ ᐃᕆᒡᑕᐅᐱᑎᖅᖀᒡᔾᒡᖜᓂᖀ ᒪᓐᖀᔾᓂᓯ ᑯᑊᒪᒪᐻᔾᕊᕉᓯ.

ᑕᐃᒪᓕᓯ ᐃᕆᒡᑕᐅᕉᖅᖌᓐᓯᔾᓯ, ᒪᓐᖀᒥ ᐅᖥᖅᖊᒡᔾᖊᖏᖅᕉᖅᑐᖁ ᐱᖭᖭᖁᖜᓂᖅ ᑕᖅᖜᖌᖀᐊ ᑕᖀᔾᖁᖀᖀᖌᓯ ᐊᑐᓯᑦᖀᖀᒡᔾᒡᐻᖁᖅᖄᖅᑐᖅᒡ ᐊᖸᑎᖅᖀᐻᖁᖓᖊᖜᓂᖅ ᖅᖁᐊᖁᖀᖀᐻᖁᖓᖊᖜᓂᖀᖁ, ᓇᓄᐊᐃᖅᔾᕂᑎᖅᖀᖭᖀᐻᖁᖀᒽ ᑕᖅᖜᖀᖁᖀᖅᖀ ᖅᒪᐃᑦᖅᐻᖁᖅᖌᖁᖀᖀᒽᖀ ᐃᕆᒡᑕᐅᕉᑕᐻᖁᐻᖁᖅᖀᖅ ᖅᖄᖀᖀᐻᖀᖁᖅᖊᖜᖀᖀ ᓗᕉᖁᖀ ᑎᕆᖀᑎᖀᖅᖀᖁᖀ ᖀᖀᖅᖀᖀᔾᔾᖀᖀᖀᖁᖀ ᐱᑕᖀᖀᐻᑎᖀᖀᖀᖁᖀ.

ᑕᖁᖁ ᐊᖅᖁᖀᐻᖁᖀᔾᒡᓯᖀᒡᖀᖅ. ᐅᑎᖅᖁᖁᖀᖁᒡ ᓄᖁᐻᖃ ᐱᑕᖀᖀᖀᖀᖅᔾᒡᖁᖁᖀ 2000 ᐊᖀᔾᐊᖁᓯ, ᓄᐊᖀᖁᖀᖀ ᑭᐻᖁᐻᖀᖁᓯ ᐱᖁᐻᖁᖀᖁᖀᔾᒡᖁᖀ ᖅᐻᖀᖀᖀᖃᖀᖁᖀ ᐊᑐᓯᑦᖀᖀᐊᖁᖀᐻᖁᖀᒡᖁᓂᖀᖁ ᐊᖀᒽ ᓯᖁᐻᑯᓯᖀ ᐃᖀᖀᖀᐻᖀᖁᖀ ᖅᐻᖀᖁᖃᖀᐻᖁᖀ ᐊᑐᓯᑦᖀᖀᖀᖃᖀᖁᖀᖁᖀ. ᑕᖁᖁ ᐊᖁᖀᔾᐊᖁᖁᓯ ᐱᖀᖀᖀᖀᖃᖀᐻᖁᖀᒡᖁᓂᖀ ᐊᖀᔾᐈᖁᓯ 16−ᖁᓯ ᐅᑎᖅᖁᖁᖀᖀ ᐊᖀᒽ 100−ᖀᖀᖀᖀᑐᖁᖀ ᖅᐻᖀᖁᖃᖀᐻᖁᖀᖁᖀ, ᑭᖀᐻᖃ ᐱᖀᔾᖀᖅᖀᖀᐊᖁᖀᐻᖁᖀᖅ ᐊᖀᒡᖀᖃᖁᑎᖀᖀᐈᖀᖅ ᐅᖀᖁᖁᒥᔾᐅᑎᖀᖀ. ᑕᖁᐻᖁᖁ ᐃᑭᐻᖀᖀᖀᖃᖀᖀᐊᖁᖀᖅᖀᐻᖁᖀᐻᖁᖀ ᑭᐻᖀᖁᖀᖀᔾᐈᑎᖀᖀᖁᖀᖁᖀ ᑕᖅᖜᖀᖁᐻᖀᖀᖀᖀᖀᖁᖀ ᐱᓇᖀᖁᑐᐈᖀᖁᖁᐈᓯ ᖅᖄᖀᖀᐊᖀᖀᖀᖀᖀᖀᖁᖀᒡᖁᓂᖀ ᐊᖀᒽ ᑭᐅᖁᐅᖀᖀᐊᖁᖀᖀᖀᖁᖀ.

ᐱᑊᖀᓇᐅᖀᒡᖀᐻᖀᑕᐅᖅ ᐅᖁᖀᒽᖁᖀ ᑎᓯᖀᖅᖁᐻᖁᐻᖁᖀᒡᖁᖅ ᖀᖀᖂᐻᖁᖃᖀᖁᖀ ᖅᐻᖀᖁᖃᖀᖁᖀᐻᖁᖁᖀᒡᖁᓂ ᐃᓗᐃᖀᖀᖀᖀᖀ ᑎᖀᐻᖀᖀᓯᖀ ᑐᖁᖁᖀᖁᖀᖁ ᐊᖀᒽ ᖀᖊᖀᐻᖁᐈᖀᖀᖀᖀᖁᓯ ᖃᖀᖔᖁᖀᖀᖅᖁᖀ ᒪᓐᖀᖁᖀᖀᖁ ᓂᖀᖀᖁᖃᖀᖁᖀᖀᖅᖃᖀ ᐊᖀᒽ ᐊᑐᓯᑦᖀᖀᐅᖀᖁᖀᖁᐈ ᑕᖀᐈᖁᐈᖀᖁ ᐅᑊᖀᐈᖁᑎᐊᐻᖁᖀᖀ ᐊᖀᒽ ᑐᖀᖀᖁᖁᖀᖀᐻᖁᖀᔾᖀ.

ᒪᓐᖀᖅ ᐅᑭᐅᖀᖁᐻᖀᖁᐅᖀᖁᓯ ᐊᖁᖀᖀᖁᖀᖁ ᐊᖀᒽ ᓗᕉᖁᖁᓯ ᐱᓇᖀᖀᔾᐈᖁᖀᖀᐅᖀ ᐊᖀᔾᐈᖁᐈᐊᖁᖀᖃᖀᖁᐻᖁᖀᒡᖀᖅᖁᖀ. ᐅᓄᖀᖁᖀᖔᖁᐻᖀ ᑭᓇᑕᖀᐅᑎᖀᐅᖀᐈ ᓗᕉᖀᖀᖁ ᖅᐻᖀᖁᖃᖀᖁᑎᖀᖀᖁᖀᔾᐈᖀᖅᖛᐈ ᒪᓐᖀᖁᖁᖀᖁᖀ ᐊᖀᔾᐈᓯ ᐊᖀᒽᖀᖃᖀ.

It is important to me, being given the opportunity, that my review be comprehensive, thorough, and complete. As a result, while the project is well underway, I simply could not get it done by September 1, which was the date suggested by this Committee. My goal is to have it completed before the end of this fiscal year. My actual goal is really the end of this calendar year, but I'm also trying to be realistic and not promise beyond my means.

The Committee also encouraged me to undertake at least one formal privacy audit of a GN department in 2015-16. I chose the Qikiqtani Hospital for this review largely because it is a large public body which collects large quantities of the most sensitive personal information about Nunavummiut. In order to do a thorough and effective job of this, my first privacy audit, I engaged the services of Robert Gary Dickson, the former Information and Privacy Commissioner of Saskatchewan and one of Canada's pre-eminent experts in health privacy law, to assist me.

We did a lot of preparatory work, including collecting as much information was we could about existing privacy policies and procedures. In June, we spent four days meeting with the managers of the various departments in the hospital and others. The exercise was well worth the effort and we were able to identify some current gaps in policies and procedures. We were also able to engage in some very helpful discussions with hospital staff with a view to how to make positive changes.

I was hoping to be able to bring the final report with me this week, but it still needs a little bit of work and to be

ᐱᒻᒪᕆᐅᕗᒧᑦ ᐅᕿᓇᒧᑦ, ᐱᕕᖃᖅᖅᑎᑕᐅᒧᖏᖕᒃ, ᖅᑭᒡᕐᓕᐊᔪᐊᓪᐊᑎᐊᔨᓇᖼᒪ, ᐃᓅᐃᕐᑦᐊᕐᑎᐊᒥᒧᑦ ᐱᖅᓇᑦᑎᐊᕐᖱᒥᖃᖼᕐᐊᖅᑉᑦ. ᑕᐃᒫᐃᔾᒪᑦ, ᑕᒪᔪᒐᖕᒃ ᐱᑎᓇᑎᖅᑦᖕᒃ, ᑭᕕᐊᑎ ᐃᖅᖃᓇᐃᒐᐱᓇᓇᐃᑎᐅᒂᖱᑎᖕᓂᒀᐱᑦ ᐱᑎᓇᐊᑉᑭ ᓰᑎᐱᓇ 1−ᒧᑉ, ᖃᐱᓇ ᐅᒧᖼᓃ ᐱᖅᖃᕈᐊᖅᓱᐊᔪᓇᐅᑲᑦᖕᒃ ᖃᑎᓇᖕᓇᓱᒪᑦ. ᑐᕿᖕᑳᔾᓴᒥᐃᑎ ᐃᖅᖃᓇᐃᒢᑲᖅᔪᓇᒐ ᓐᖼᓇᓇᖕᓂ ᐊᕐᑎᖾᓇᐅᖱᕐᓕᓇ ᖃᐸᓇᔜᓇᖼᓇᐅᑉᕐ ᐊᕐᖾᔪᐊ.

ᑲᑎᒪᔨᐊᕐᓇᒀᑦ ᐅᕐᖕᒪᓐᒃ ᑲᔐᕐᖕᓃᐃᐊᓇᐅᖅᖱᐱᔅᖕᑦ ᐊᑯᕐᔪᖼᒃ ᐃᒥᒐᔜᖕᖼᓇᕐᒃ ᐱᔐᑲᐱᔾᒐᖼᒃ ᑕᒮᖃᖕᐱᔐᕐᔪᐱᖼᔐᐊᕐᒧᑲᑦ ᐆᓇᖼᓇᑦ ᓇᕐᖃᐱᓇᑦ ᐱᑎᓇᖼᖼᐸᐊᖕᓂᐱ 2015-16 ᐊᕐᖴᐸᖕᓇ. ᖃᒐᕐᔜᖾᑕᐅᖱᑦᖕᖼᒃ ᓇᕿᐊᖅᖃᑉᐊᐅᖅᖕᒃ ᖅᑉᑥᖅᑲᖕᓇ ᐊᖼᓇᐊᕐᐐᕐᒧᖕᒃ, ᐱᖕᒐᐊᖕᑦ ᑏᑎᐅᖕᓒᑦ ᐊᒐᖅᑕᐅᖕᒃᔜᑲᔐᑲᐱᐊᖕᓇᐆᑦ, ᐊᒀᒧ ᐊᒥᕐᔐᐊᒮᓇᐊᖃᖼᓇᖕᐱ ᑲᐱᔜᓇᖅᑐᖕᒃ ᐃᒥᒐᔜᖕᖼᕐᐱ ᑐᖼᐅᒀᔐᐊᖱᖕᒃ ᓇᖃᖕᑎᐱᑎᖼᓇᖅᑉᑦᐊᐱᑐᑦ. ᑕᒮᖃ ᖅᑉᑥᖃᖕᒀ ᐊᑐᖃᖱᖃᖱᓇᖕᖕᖅᑥᑕᑯᑦ ᐊᑐᖅᑲᖕᖕᕐ ᖅᑉᑥᕝᐸᐅᖃᖼᖕᒃ, ᐱᖕᓃᓇᕐᑦᐅᑎᖕᐊᖕᒃ ᐃᒥᒐᔜᓇᔜᕐᐱ ᖃᒐᕝᐅᐅᑎᐊᖕᓇᔜ, ᔐ᷃ᐱ ᕐᑥᕑᓇ ᑎᖕᓃᖕᒃ ᐊᖅᑲᐱᐊᖿᖕᑎᖕᖕᐊᖅᖕᒃᔜᖕᖕᒃ, ᖃᐱᓇ ᐱᖃᕑᒐᖱᐱᐊᖕᒃ ᑐᖼᐅᒀᔐᑎᓇᑦᐐᑦ ᑲᐱᔜᓇᖅᑐᑎᓇᑦᐱᔜ ᑲᒥᔜᐊᐅᑲᖅᖕᒃᔜᖕᕝᑦ, ᖃᖼᓇᖃᖕᓇᐱᖕᑥᑐᒐᐱᓇᐱᔜᖕᖕᑥᖕᖼᓃ ᖃᖼᐃᒐᖱᑐᑥᑕᐅᔾᓇᖃᖕᓇᖕᖕᑦ ᒪᓇᑦᖼᓇᖃᖼᑐᖕᖕᐱᑦ.

ᐱᖕᓃᓇ ᐅᖅᔜᖕᐊᔐᖕᖼᓃᖱᖕᒃ ᐊᑐᖕᑎᓇᑕ ᐱᔐᐱᖕᖼᕐᖃᐊᑕᐅᖅᑎᐅᔜᑦ, ᐃᒐᑕᐅᒧᖕᖕᒃ ᑲᑎᖃᕐᐃᓇᖕᒃ ᑐᖼᐅᒀᔐᖕᒃ ᐅᐆᓇᖼᖱᐊᔜᖕᐱᐊᕐᓇᐐᖕᖼᖕᒃᑯᖱᑦ ᐊᖼᕐᓇᖕᐐᖿ ᒪᐱᓇ ᐊᑐᖕᑦᖱ ᐃᒥᒐᔜᓇᔜ ᐊᑐᖼᐅᖼᐱᑥ ᐊᒀᒧ ᒪᑎᖕᐐᖕᑥᖕᖼᖕᒃ, ᔗᓇᑎᖕᖱᒧᒐ ᐅᑥᓇᖱᓇᖕᓃ ᑎᖼᓇᖕᖃᖕᐐᖕᒃ ᑲᑎᖕᑲᑎᖕᖱᖕᒃᑥᑲᑕᐅᖃᖕᑳᖕᖱ ᖃᖼᓇᐊᖱᖕᒍ ᖃᖱᒥᖃᖕᑦᑐᖕᒃ ᐱᑎᓇᖼᖼᐐᐱᑦ ᐊᖕᐐᕐᖃᒃᐱᐅᖕᖱᑦ ᐊᒀᒧ ᐊᖕᐐᖕᑦ. ᑕᒮᖃ ᐊᐱᕐᐊᒐᖕᒃ ᐊᑐᖕᑎᖕᐐᐊᑲᐅᖅᑳᖕᒃ ᐊᒀᒧ ᓇᑐᐃᖼᐱᕐᖱᓇᖕᖼᕐᑲᐅᖕᖼᑕᑐᒃ ᑭᕝᓇ ᑭᑭᑕᐅᔜᒥᖕᖱᓇᖕᖿᖕᖕᐐᑦ ᐊᑐᐊᖕᓃᓇ ᐊᒀᒧ ᒪᑎᖕᓇᖕᓃᓇ. ᐅᖅᖃᑲᖕᑎᖕᖃᖱᑕᑎᕐᖃᖼᐱᔜᒐᖕᑎᖕᕝᖕᑐᖕᒃ ᖃᖼᓇᐊᖱᖕᒍ ᐃᖅᖃᓇᐃᒥᖕᓃᑦ ᑕᒪᑦᖼᐐᖿ ᐊᐱᕐᐊᒐᖕᒃ ᐃᖕᓲᑕᐅᖱᐅᑥᖕᖱᓃᖕᒃ ᐱᐅᖕᓇᑥ ᐊᒥᕐᒐᖕᓃᖱᖕᒃ ᐱᑎᖕᓃᖱᐊᖕᑯᖕᒍ.

ᑭᖕᒧᓄᑎᖕᖱᐐᖿᖱᐱᐅᖕᓇᑦ ᐅᖱᑦᖕᓃᐱᖕᒃ ᓇᖕᓇᔜᐐᑕᐅᖃᖿᑐᖼᖕᒃᑳᖕᒃ ᑕᒪᔐᒥᖕᓇ ᐱᐊᖿᐊᕝᔜᖕᒃᒥ, ᑭᕝᐊᖕᓇᒐ ᑕᐃᒫᐃᖱᑦᓇᖕᒃᔔᐱᖕᒂᑦ ᑎᖱ ᐃᖅᖃᓇᐃᖕᓃᖕᖱᖼᓇᖱᐐᔐᖱᒥᐱᖕᖱᖕᒃᒐᖱᖱᑲᑦ ᐊᒀᒧ

translated. It should be finalized by the end of this month. While I don't want to give too much away before the report is finalized, it will not come as a surprise to anyone who has read any of my annual reports over the years that one of the major recommendations that you can expect in this report is that steps should be taken as soon as possible to develop health-specific privacy legislation.

I am also very excited to announce that I have invited my counterparts from across the country to Iqaluit for our annual gathering in the fall of 2017. The last time we met in Iqaluit was about 10 years ago and I am excited to be able to once again showcase this city and this territory.

I also wanted to share with you what I personally consider to be a very exciting development which, after many discussions with IT experts over several years, has just recently come to fruition. Because I am a resident in Yellowknife, it has sometimes proven quite difficult to get the written materials I need to complete my reviews. In many cases, I receive numerous bankers' boxes full of sensitive documents in the mail or by courier for my review. Recently, one public body put thousands of pages of responsive records on an unencrypted jump drive and mailed it to me. When it arrived in my office, the envelope was battered and torn and the jump drive was missing.

As a result of these kinds of incidents, we have been looking for some time for a secure online vehicle for the exchange of records. As of last month, we now have a secure portal solution which allows me to create a channel for every review file my office opens. This solution allows only

ᐃᓄᒃᑎᑐᖅᖅᑎᑕᐅᓗᓂ. ᑕᒪᑐᒥ ᑕᖅᑭᖅ ᐃᓱᓕᕝᕖᑦ ᐱᔭᕆᖅᑕᐅᔪᓕᑦᓗᐊᖅᑐᖅ. ᐅᓇᓗᐊᖅᑐᓂᒃ ᐅᖅᐅᕐᖅᕐᐳᒪᓇᖕᕐᑲᓗᐊᖅᓗᒍ ᐅᓂᒃᑲᑦ ᐱᔭᓘᖅᑕᐅᓚᐅᕐᓂᐊᓂᖓᓂ, ᖅᑯᒃᖅᓐᐳᓴᖅᑲᓱᓴᔭᖅᑕᐅᐊᖕᕐᑎᖅ ᐊᖕᕆᕐᖅ ᐊᒍᓕᕆᒃᕐᔭᐊᕐᕿᕋᖅ ᑰᖄᓂ ᐅᓄᖕᑲᓂ ᐊᑕᐅᓗᓯᐊᖅ ᐊᖖᓗᕐᐱᑎᖕᖅᑕᐕᐳᓖᕐᖅ, ᔭᖕᖂᑭᕆᐊᖔᖔᓂᖓ ᐊᖕᓂᐊᖅᖑᖕᖕᑕᐃᓚᓂᓱᓂᓗᒎᑦ ᑐᔅᖖᑐᐃᖕᖕᖅᑐᖔ ᒪᓕᒐᕐᖔᖅ.

ᖅᑖᐊᒠᕐᒥᔭᖔᓗ ᐊᔭᖕᖖᒃ ᑐᖅᐃᐗᔭᖔᑎᓂᓂᓱᔭᓂᒃ ᑲᖕᒍᓇᖅᑐᓯᓂᓱᓂᓱᓗ ᖖᒥᕆᔭᐅᖅᖖᑎᖖᑳᒃ ᑐᖖᖖᓗᖕᑎᐅᑕᐅᖅᖖᖅᑳᕐᑦ ᐃᖅᖖᑐᖔᖔᖔᑎ ᐅᖅᐊᖖᒋᖔᖅ 2017-ᖖᔪᖕᑦᖖᖏᐸᖅ. ᑭᖖᔫᖖᑲᑦᒌᖖᖖᓂᖖᖕᒥᖖᖅ ᐃᖕᖔᖅᖕᒃᖖᖖᖖᓂ ᑲᖖᒪᓚᑲᐅᖅᖏᓱᓂᓯᖔᔪᖖᔪᖔᖔᖔᖕᓴᓱᔯᒃᖖᓂᖖᖕᒃ ᖕᖖᖖᖖᖖᖖᖖᖖᖔᖔᖔᖔᒃᐱᖖᖖᖖᖖᖖᖖᖖᖖᖕᖖᖖᖖᖕᖖᖖᖖᖕ

ᐃᓚᔭᖕᖖᓂᐅᓗᓱᖖᖖᖖᖔᒃᖕᖖᖖᖖᔪᒃᖖᖕᖖᖕᖖᖖᓂᓱᖖᖖᖕᖕᖖᖖᖕᖖᖖᖕᖖᖖᖖᔭᖕᖖᓂᖖᖕᖖᖖᖕᖖᖕᖕᖖᖖᖖᖕᖖᖖᖖᖕᖖᖖᖖᖖᖕᖖᖖᖖᖕᖖᖖᖖᖖᖖᖖᖖᖕᖖᖖᖖᖖ

those I invite to participate in the channel to have access to the portal. Public bodies can now securely upload all records to this portal instead of putting them in boxes or on jump drives and sending them to me by mail or courier.

While this may not seem earth-shattering or significant to this Committee or anybody else, this new system is going to make communications more timely and efficient and will avoid the possibility of having sensitive records being lost or misdirected while, at the same time, giving us the security that is required for such communications. For me and for all of the ATIPP coordinators in public bodies, it is a huge advancement that will make our work much easier. I would like to thank William MacDonald with the Legislative Assembly for making this work for us.

In closing, I would like to address briefly some of the discussions I have been having with the Clerks of the Legislative Assemblies of both Nunavut and the Northwest Territories about the needs of this office in terms of personnel. For a number of reasons, I am seeking to add a full-time position of assistant commissioner/investigator to the staff of this office. While it has been efficient and expedient to have one person act in the role as Information and Privacy Commissioner in both jurisdictions, as you can see from my annual reports over time and these opening remarks, the workload is becoming such that I am struggling to meet all of my obligations in both jurisdictions.

In most jurisdictions, the average file load for a full-time investigator is about 30 files. In fiscal 2015-16, I opened a total of 77 files between the two

ᑕᐃᒃᑯᐊ ᑭᔪᐊᓂ ᑐᓐᖓᓲᓕᖅᑎᑕᖅ ᑉᖁᑕᓱᐅᐹᓇᖅᑲᑦᕿᓇᐊᑐᑦ. �macᓕᒃᑯᑦ ᑎᒥᐊᖅᑎᔪᐱᔅᔭᖕᑦ ᒫᓇ ᖃᓗᔭᐅᑉᑫᔭᖅᑎᑎᔪᐊᖅᕿᔪᑦ ᑕᖁᐹᓇ, ᐊᐅᓐᑫᖅᑎᑕᓐᐊᔭᖃᖁᑦᑫᔪᖃᑦ ᑎᑎᖃᖁᐱᔩᖁᑦᑦ ᐅᕿᔭᓅᒃᑦ ᖃᓗᔭᐅᔭᑦᔭᑦ ᐳᑦᑲᓇᑯᑦ, ᐊᒻᒪ ᓲᖃᔪᒃᐊᖅᑎᑎᐱᑲᓇᐊᖅᑉᕿᖄᖁᑐᑦᑦ.

ᑕᒫ ᖅᔪᕿᐊᑦᕿᓇᐊᑐᑦᓱᐊᖄᐹᒡᕿᓐᐊᒍ ᐱᕿᐊᖕᓗᔪᕿᔅᔪᐊᐱᖕᕿᐊᑦᓐᖁᒍᓗ ᑲᓐᒪᔪᑯᐱᑦ, ᑕᒫ ᐊᑐᕿᔪᒍ ᑐᑦᐳᒻᔪᖄᑎᓇ ᐊᕿᔪᔪᑦ ᐊᑲᐱᔪᓱᕿᑦᓇᐊᑐᑦᑦ ᐊᒻᒪ ᑕᒃᑯᐊ ᑲᖁᓴᖁᑐᑎᑖᐱᓐᐊᔪᑦ ᓲᑐᑎᓇᐊᖅᑕᖁᐹᖕᐱᑦᑦᖁᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᔭᖕᐱ ᐊᔮᓴᐊᑦᑦᓱᖃᐊᑦᑦᑐᑦᑦ ᐊᒻᒪ ᐊᑦᑕᐱᔭᖅᑲᑦᑎᑖᕿᑖᔪᑦᑦ ᑕᒃᑯᐊ ᑫᑯᑖᐊᖁᓴᐅᐊᔪᕿᔪᑦᐱ. ᐅᔪᖕᔪᑦ ᐊᒻᒪ ᑲᖁᓴᖁᑐᑎᑖᑖᖁᑦᒥᒃ ᐱᑎᐱᔪᖁᓇᑦ ᓇᖁᓕᖁᑦᑦ ᑎᒃᑦᑎᖕᐱᑦᓇ ᐊᖃᑲᐃᔭᖅᑎᐳᔪᑦ, ᐊᕿᔪᖕᒃ ᐱᓇᓐᑐᑕᑦᐱᑐᑎᑦᓇᖅᑦᐳᑦ ᐊᒻᒪ ᐊᖃᑲᐃᔭᐱᑲᖁᐱᖃᖁᑖᑖᖁᑦᑦ ᐱᓐᖁᑎᐱᓱᖅᐳᑐᖁᑦᑦᓇᑖ. ᖅᑯᑖᓇᑖᔭᖁᑎᑖᖁ ᐅᐊᓇᑖᒥ ᓗᑲᖁᐊᑦ ᓇᓂᓚᐅᑦᓐᒡᒥ ᐊᖃᑲᐃᔭᖅᑎᑖᔭᐊᑦ ᑕᒫ ᐱᓇᓐᐊᓇᔪᖁᐊᓚᐅᑦᑦᓇᒍ.

ᓗᑦᔭᑎᑖᑦᓱᒍ, ᓇᐊᓯᑖᑦᓱᒍ ᐅᖅᐳᔪᑦᖃᑦᑖᔪᑦᓇ ᐅᖅᐳᔪᓇᑦᖃᖅᑕᑦᓱᔪᖕᕿᔪᑦᓇᑐ ᓄᐊᖁᒥ ᐊᒻᒪ ᓄᐊᑦᔪᐊᒥᒐ ᓗᑲᓇᑖᖁᑖᑦ ᑎᑎᖅᑕᑖᖁᓇᑦᖁᑦᒃ, ᐅᖕᐊᑦᓇᑦ ᑐᑦᖁᑦᒥᑦᓇᑦ. ᐊᑎᐳᔪᖁᖁᑖᐊᑖᐱᑐᑦᑦᓇᑖ ᐱᔭᑦᑎᖃᖅᔪᖕᕿ ᑲᒥᔪᐊᐳᑦ ᐊᑲᔭᖅᑎᖕᓗᓇᑦᐱ/ᖃᑦᐳᖁᐱᐊᔭᑕᑦᒡᕿ ᐊᖃᑲᐃᔭᐱᐊᖁᖃᑦᑦᓇᑐᒡᕿᑦᑦ ᐊᖃᑲᐃᔭᖅᑎᑖᐱᐊᔭᖁᑖᖁᑖ ᐊᑦᑲᓐᑖᐱᓇ ᐊᖃᑲᐃᔭᖁᖁᑦᓇᑐᒡᕿᑦᑦ. ᑐᑦᐳᒻᔪᖄᑎᓇᓇᖁᑖᐱᒃ ᑲᖁᓴᖁᑐᑎᑖᖁᖁᑖᓇᑦ ᑲᒥᔪᐊᐳᑦᐊᓱᑖᓇ ᑕᑦᑮᑾᖁᓇᑦ ᓄᐊᖁᕿᐊᓇᑦᔭᖁᑎᑦᓇᑦᓇ, ᐊᕿᖄᐱᑲᑖᖁᑎᐱᑦᓇᑖᖁ ᐅᓇᖁᑖᐱᓇ ᓗᑐᐱᖁᖁᖕᐱᑕᓇ ᑕᑦᑖᖁᑐᐳᔭ ᑕᒫ ᐱᑐᑖᔭᑖᖁᖁᑦ ᐱᓇᑦᑖᑦᐳᑖᖁᖄᓇᑖᖁᐱᖃᑦ, ᑕᒃᑯᖁᑦᓇᑖ ᐱᓇᑖᖁᐊᑐᑦᓱᔭᑦᖕᑮᒃ ᐊᔭᓐᒃᒡᐊᖕᐱᑐᑦᖁᔪᑦᓇᑦᑦ.

�rbᓕᖃᖄᓇ ᐅᓇᖁᓇᑦᓇᑐᓇ, ᖃᐅᖁᐊᑖᖁᑎᑖᓇᑦᔭᑖᑦᑖᔪᑦᓇ ᑖᓇ 30–ᖕᑖᖁᑦᑖᑐᑦ ᐱᓇᑖᐊᑦᓇᓇ ᐊᑕᐅᑦᑎᑎᒡᕿᑖᑐᑦᓇ ᐱᔭᔭᖃᑦᑦᖁᑦᑐᑦ. 2015-16 ᐊᔮᔪᐊᓇ, ᑲᖁᓇᓪᖕᑐᖁᑦ

jurisdictions. Finding the necessary time to meet all of my responsibilities has become an issue, even with the transition to a more full-time position. Furthermore, I feel that it is important that we begin to plan for a transition for the position of Information and Privacy Commissioner to a full-time position located in Nunavut with appropriate office staff and office space by 2020, when my current term expires.

As a first step, I have recommended to the Legislative Assemblies of the two territories that we create a position to be filled in the 2017-18 fiscal year for a deputy commissioner/investigator with duties in both the Northwest Territories and Nunavut. I take this opportunity to urge this jurisdiction to start planning to create both the infrastructure and the budget necessary to expand the office, with a first step being to include this full-time position of deputy commissioner/investigator.

Thank you and I would be happy to answer any questions you might have.

Chairperson: Thank you, Ms. Keenan Bengts. Very informative. Before I open up to questions of the annual report, are there any questions or any kind of comments to her opening comments? Mr. Okalik.

Mr. Okalik (interpretation): Thank you, Madam Chairperson. I am the only one here on this side of the House, so please make sure you look in this direction.

I would like to welcome the commissioner to Nunavut and also for the recommendations to expand the office and to open an office in Nunavut. I would like to ask the commissioner how we, as

ᖃᐅᔨᓴᓕᖅ�READᖅᑖᖅ 77−ᖅᑯᐸᐅᖅᑐᑦ ᑕᒻ�੝ᖕᓂᑦ ᓄᓇᖅᑎᒥ ᐊᒻᒪ ᓄᓇᑦᓯᐊᕐᒥᖃᖓᔅᖅᑐᑦ. ᐱᖃᓐᓇᕆᖅᑭ ᐊᖐᔫᓕᑎᒐᔭᖅᑐᑦ ᐊᔪᖅᓇᖅᔭᕋᖅᑎᐊᑑᐃᓐᓇᖅᑐᖅ, ᐊᑕᐅᓯᕐᒥᖅ ᐃᖅᑲᓇᐃᔭᖅᑎᖃᖅᓱᓂᕋ ᐅᐊᑉᑦᑕ. ᐊᒻᒪ, ᐱᒻᒪᕆᐅᔪᕆᐊᖃᕐᒥ ᐸᖅᑲᐅᔭᐅᓯᒋᒻᖅ ᐱᐊᓂ ᑐᖕᐅᐱᐨᔪᑎᓕᓐᓂ੝ᒎ ᑲᐋᔪᖅᑑᓕᓐᓂ੝ᒎ ᑲᒪᕐᓂᐅᐟ ᐃᓂᖅ ᓄᓇᖅᒐᒎᖅᑕᐅᔪ੝ᒎ ᐊᒻᒪ ᐃᖅᑲᓇᐃᔭᖅᑎᐅᔭᐅᑎᐊᕐᓂ ᑎᑎᕋᖅᑕᕐᓂᐊᕐᓂᖲ ᐊᒻᒪ ᐃᓄᒃᓯᖅᑎᐊᕐᓂ੝ᒎ 2020 ᐊᕐᕈᐊᑦ ᑐᖕᓯᓂ, ᑕᐃᒃᓂ ᐃᓕᕐᐅᔪᒪ੝ᓖᖅ ᐱᕐᓇᖿ.

ᓯᕗᖕᓕᕐᖅᐨᖅ ᐊᑐᕐᓗᒎ, ᓄᓇᖅᒥ ᐊᒻᒪ ᓄᓇᖅᑎᕐᒐᒥ ᒪᕐᓘᕐᐅᔅ੝ᓄᒥ ᐊᑐᕐᖅᓯᖃᐅᐳᔪᓕᕋᖓ 2017-18 ᐊᕐᕈᐊᕋᒥ ᑲᒥᕙᓈ੝ᑐᖕᓂᓯᖅ/ᖃᐅᔨᓴᖅᓇᐟ ᐃᓂᖓ ᐃᓃ੝ᒎᖅᑕᐅᔩᒎ, ᐱᓕᕆᐊᖅᖅᑐ੝ᓄᒎ ᓄᓇᕐᕈᐊᕐᓂᖅᒎᖅ ᐊᒻᒪ ᓄᓇᖅᑎᕐᓂᖅᒎᖅᖅ. ᐱᐁᖅᒎᓂᖅᒎ ᓄᓇᖅ ᓴᕆᖅᒎᐁᐊ ᐸᕐᓇᐃᕐᑎᐊᕐᕐᖅᒎᖅ ᑕᒧᖅᐊᐊ ᐱᕐᒎᑎᐅᖅᐊᐊ ᐅᐸᕐᓈᐅᖅᑕᐅᕐᓂᖅᒎᐅᑕᕐᖲᐊᒻᒪ ᖮᐅᐸᕐᓐ ᐊᑐᒪᕐᓇᖅᖅᑐᖅᐟ ᐊᖅᓄᔭᖅᑕᐅᒎᕐᓐᖅ ᑎᑎᕐᖨᓈ ᓂᕐᑐᓐᐊᖅᑕᐅᕐᐊᐊᓱᕐᐊᖅᖲ, ᐱᕐᐊᕐᐊᓐᒎᑎᒎᒎ ᒥᖅᑕᐅᐟ ᑐᖕᓕᓐᐊ/ᖃᐅᔨᓴᖅᖅᑎᐟ ᐃᓂᖓ ᐃᖅᑲᓇᐃᔭ੝ᐊᓈᖅᐅᐊᕐᕐᖅᖅ ᓯᕐᑐᐅᐊᕐᐊᐱᖅᑐ੝ᓄᒎ.

ᖅᑯᖅᓇᕐᑆᖅ, ᐊᐱᖅᓇᐟᖅ੝ᒃᖅᖓᕐᓯ ᑭᐅᔭᐅ੝ᓇᕐᑎᐊᖅᑐᕐ੝ᒃ.

ᐃᒃᓯᕙᐅᑕᖅ (ᑐᖕᓯᓐᑎᒎᖅ): ᖅᑯᖅᓇᕐᑆᖅ, ᒥᔅ ᑮᓇᓐ-ᐸᖕᖅᖅ, ᑐᖕᓕᖅᓯᖅᖅᐟᐊᖅᖅᐳᑎᐟ. ᐊᐱᖅᑎᕐᓯᖅᓐᕐᖅ੝ᓄᒎ ᒪᑐᐃᖅᐊᕐᐅᐊᕐᓂᖅᓯᓂ ᐊᕐᕈᒐᑖᒎᐟ ᐅᓂᖅ�{ᒎᖲᐟ, ᐊᐱᖅᑎᕐᓯᖅᖅᑐᖅᖅ੝ᐅᐟ ᐅᖅᑲᐅᔨᓯᖲᐟ੝ᓄᖓᐟ ᒪᑐᐊᐱᐟᓂᖲᐟᖲᓄᖓᐟ? ᒥᐟᒃ ᐅᑲᓕᖅ.

ᐅᑲᓕᖅ: ᖅᑯᖅᓇᕐᑆᖅ, ᐃᒃᓯᕙᐅᑖᖅ. ᐃᓄᒍᑐᐟᖅᑎᐊᖅᓘᐟ ᑕᒫᓂ ᖃᖅᐸᖅᐊᐊᖅᑎᐨᖅᐟᖅᑕᕐᓂᖅ ᑕᐃᒪᕐᖰ ᐃᓚᕐᓐᐟᖅᐅᐊᓂᕐᐊᐟᖰᖓᐊᐊᖲ੝ᓈᐅᓪᐊᐟᖲᖓ.

ᑐᖕᓕᕐᑎᐊᖅᐸᐟ ᐱᐊᓇ ᐅᓂᖅᑲᓐᐊᖲᑐᖅᖲᒃᐟᖰᐟ ᐊᒻᐟᖲ ᖅᑯᖅᒥᐟᐟᒎ ᐟᖅᐊᐊ ᐅᓂᖅᑲᓐᖅᖲᕐᐟᐟᐟ ᑐᖕᓯᕐᐟᖲᐟᒃᐟᐟᐟᐟ ᐸᕐᐊᐟᐟᐊᕐᐟᐟᖲᓄ ᑕᒫᓂ ᐊᐟᒃᖲᐊᐟᕆᐟ੝ᓄᖲ ᐱᕐᐊᐟᐊᐟᐟᓄᖲ. ᑕᐟᒃᐊᐟᐟᖲ ᐟᖰᐊ ᖃᓄᖅ

ᐃᑲᖅᐊᐊᓄᒎᖲᐊᐊᖅᐟᖅᐟᒃ੝ᐟᖲᐊ ᐊᐱᐟᒎᖅᐊᐟᐟᖅ

the Standing Committee, can help you to make that a reality. Thank you, Madam Chairperson.

Chairperson: Thank you, Mr. Okalik. Ms. Keenan Bengts.

Ms. Keenan Bengts: Thank you, Madam Chairperson. I think that by me being here and being able to discuss this issue with you, I am putting it in your heads. I'm not that familiar with how things work behind the scenes. I have never been there, but I think it's important for me to say what I need and then for you to take that and run with it for me. Thank you.

Chairperson: Thank you, Ms. Keenan Bengts. (interpretation) Mr. Okalik, are you done?

Mr. Okalik (interpretation): Thank you, Madam Chairperson. Yes, it's something that I am glad to hear. If we can participate in the establishment, I am more than open to suggestions.

Looking at your opening comments, it seems like you've had quite a lot of files to deal with and you're looking at filling a position of assistant commissioner/investigator to be responsible for both Nunavut and the Northwest Territories. When would you like to fill that position or what kinds of timelines are you looking at in filling that position? Thank you, Madam Chairperson.

Chairperson: Thank you, Mr. Okalik. Ms. Keenan Bengts.

Ms. Keenan Bengts: Thank you, Madam Chairperson. I would ideally like to have somebody in place by about this time

ᐃᖅᑲᓇᐃᔭᖅᑎᒋᓪᒪᓪᓕᑦ ᑕᒫᓂ ᖃᓄᒃᑲᓐᓂᖅ ᐃᑲᔪᖅᐸᓐᖃᑉᑕ ᑖᒃᑯᐊ ᐊᑦᓕᖦᐃᓂ ᒫᓂ ᒪᑐᐃᖅᔪᐊᓯᖅᑎᓪᓚᔪᑎᕐᒃ. ᖅᑯᔭᓐᓇᒻᖅ, ᐃᔅᕿᕙᐅᑕᕐᖅ.

ᐃᑉᕈᕙᐅᑕᖅ (ᑐᓵᔨᑎᒍᕐ): ᖅᑯᔭᓐᓇᒻᖅ, ᒥᔅᑕ ᐅᑲᓕᖅ. ᒥ ᑭᓇᐊ ᐸᐃᖕᑦᔅ.

ᑭᓇᐊ ᐸᐃᖕᑦᔅ (ᑐᓵᔨᑎᒍᕐ): ᖅᑯᔭᓐᓇᒻᖅ, ᐃᑉᕈᕙᐅᑕᕐᖅ. ᑖᓐᓚᒻᐊᒪᓪ ᐊᒡᒪᓘ ᑕᓪᒪ ᐃᓯᑐᔭᒻᒥᓄᑦ ᐅᖃᐅᑎᕆᑉᒪᓐᖅᐊᓗᕙᖅᒡ ᖅᑲᐱᕙᕐᔪᓪ. ᑖᒻᒪ ᐊᕈᒡᑕᓯᖅᑖᐳᑕᓪᑐᓂ ᐃᓯᑉᒪᓄᑦ ᑐᓂᖅᒡᑎᓄᕐᔭᔅ. ᐱᓴᓄᐊᓚᐳᖅᑉᔮᓐᖅᒪᖦᑖᒡ ᑕᓪᒪ, ᑭᕐᕙᓯ ᐱᓴᕿᐊᔪᑐᑐᕐᔭᔅ ᖃᓪᒡᓕᒥᔅᖅᑲᔅᓕ ᑕᓪᒪ ᐃᓯᑉᒪᓄᑦ ᐊᕈᓚᑐᐳᑕᑐᐳᕐᖅᓄᕐ ᖃᓄᒡᐊᖦᒪᑉᖅ ᐊᕈᓚᖅᐊᕐᖅ ᖅᑲᐃᑕᐳᕐᒡᖅᐳᑦ. ᖅᑯᔭᓐᓇᒻᖅ.

ᐃᑉᕈᕙᐅᑕᖅ (ᑐᓵᔨᑎᒍᕐ): ᖅᑯᔭᓐᓇᒻᖅ, ᒥ ᑭᓇᐊ-ᐸᐃᖕᖅ. (ᑐᓵᔨᑐᔮᔪᒻᖅᑐᒻᖅ) ᒥᔅᑕ ᐅᑲᓕᖅ, ᑕᐃᒫᓐ?

ᐅᑲᓕᖅ: ᖅᑯᔭᓐᓇᒻᖅ, ᐃᔅᕿᕙᐅᑕᕐᖅ. ᐋ, ᑖᒻᐊᐃᒡᕐ ᐃᑉᕈᒡᕐᓚᖅᑐᓂ ᐊᒡᒪᓘ ᐊᖅᑭᕐᔭᐃᖅᑲᑕᐳᒡᑭᕐᓕᑐᕐ ᑕᖦᖀᑕ ᒪᑐᐃᖦᕙᔅᑐᐊᖅᑐᕐᖅ.

ᑖᒃᑯᐊᖅᕿᒥᖅ ᐅᓂᖃᖦᕙᒡᑭᐳᒡᕐᓄᕐᑦ ᖅᕇᖅᑐᕐ ᑐᕐᑦ ᐱᒡᕿᖅᒡᕙᓚᐳᔭᓪᖦᕦᑦ ᐊᒡᒪᓘ ᐃᑉᕼᔅᖅᑎᒋᑉᒡᖅᓄᕐ. ᑖᒃᑯᐊ ᐊᐳᓚᔭᔭᒡᖅᑦᖃᓐᖅ ᒪᕐᔭᓪᑭᓚᔪᑦᖦᕐ. ᖅᑲᓄᖦ ᑖᒃᑯᐊ ᒪᕐᔭᓪᑕᓄᕐᓄᕐ ᐃᒡᖦᒃ ᐊᕐᕈᕼᐳᓚᖅᖅᓄᖅᐳᖅᕐᓄᕐ, ᖅᑲᓄᓪᓄᕐᑦ ᑖᒻᒪ ᐊᓚᖅᒡᖦᐳᒡᖦᒃᖅᐳ ᐃᖅᑲᓇᐃᔭᖅᒡᖦᓂᖅᕐᖅ ᐃᑉᕼᖅᐳᕐᓂᖅᖅᖅ ᖅᑲᓄᓪᓄᕐᑦ ᑉᐱᖅᓄᕐᖅᕙᖅᒡᒪᓚᕐᒡᖅᓄᕐ ᐊᖦᖅᖅᕿᔭᐊᕈᔾᖅᓄᕐᐊᔭᕐᑦ ᑕᒪᕐᒡᒡ? ᖅᑯᔭᓐᓇᒻᖅ, ᐃᑉᕈᕙᐅᑕᕐᖅ.

ᐃᑉᕈᕙᐅᑕᖅ (ᑐᓵᔨᑎᒍᕐ): ᖅᑯᔭᓐᓇᒻᖅ, ᒥᔅᑕ ᐅᑲᓕᖅ. ᒥ ᑭᓇᐊ ᐸᐃᖕᑦᔅ.

ᑭᓇᐊ ᐸᐃᖕᑦᔅ (ᑐᓵᔨᑎᒍᕐ): ᖅᑯᔭᓐᓇᒻᖅ, ᐃᑉᕈᕙᐅᑕᕐᖅ. ᐅᑌᒻᕙᖦᖅ ᐃᓄᓄᑦᖅᐳᕆᖦᖅᒡᕼᔅ ᑖᒥᓐ ᐅᖅᐊᔅᓕᕐᖅᕼᐊᖦᖅ ᐊᔅᕲᒍ, ᕼᔅᓘ ᑖᒥᓐ ᕼᓄᐱᕐᔭᔼᕐ. ᐃᓄᓐᑲᑐᔅᒡᕙᓚᖅᖦᓂᐊ.

ᐊᖦᖅᖦ

next year. One of the reasons I would like to get somebody on line is that I will not be putting my name forward once I finish this term in office, which is February 2020 for Nunavut and October 2020 for the Northwest Territories.

I think it's really important to have some continuity in place. I haven't thought through all of the logistics. One of the ideas I had as a possibility would be to have this additional person, assistant commissioner, that I'm asking for here in Iqaluit but there might be a lot of logistics attached to that and I don't know how exactly that would work, but that's an idea that would be my ideal solution. I realize that that may not be a possibility so ideally this time next year, I'd love to have somebody in place to start training and showing the ropes and getting used to the process, and everything else that we do. Thank you.

Chairperson: Thank you, Ms. Keenan Bengts. Mr. Enook, you had a question.

Mr. Enook (interpretation): Thank you, Madam Chairperson. Good afternoon, Keenan Bengts. Welcome.

Before we start on the annual report, and in reference to my colleague, Mr. Okalik's comment, I would like clarification from this direction. You table your reports to the Legislative Assembly and the departments are taken care of through the Management and Services Board, so regarding your second recommendation that you need a staff member; have you discussed this with the Clerk of the Assembly of Nunavut or have you had discussions about your recommendations from anybody in the Assembly? Thank you.

ᐊᑎᕐ ᓴᕐᕚ�</bᵃᓂᒉᕐᕉᕋᒃ ᐃᓂᐊᓂᐃ ᐃᕐᒉᐍᑯᒐᒻᒥ 2020-ᒥ ᐅᑐᐱᖑ 2020-ᒥ ᓄᓇᕐᑎᐊᕐᒫᓗ.

ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐱᕐᐊᒎᒻᐳᕐᑎᕋᕘ ᑕᒪᐃᓇ ᐱᑕᕐᐊᑕᕐᓗᕋᕘ ᑲᕈᒃᐋᕐᕿᑈᒐᔪᒉ. ᕿᐳᐊᑉᕐᒡᑉᐅᐱᓕᕐᕗᓕᕐᕚᒡᒋ ᕿᕐᓂᓗ ᐃᑐᐯᐳᕐᕐᒪᒡᒉ ᕿᑉᕐᔭᐃᑕᐱᕐᕐᕋᕐᕐᓈᐤᒋ. ᕿᕐᐊᓂ Ċᵃᓇ ᐃᕐᓄᒃᐸᐅᕐᐊᕐᒡᕘ ᒉᒡᒡᒡᓂᒡᓗ ᐃᕐᐳᒋᕗᓂ. ᕿᕐᐊᓂᕐᐅᒡᕘ ᑕᒡᑯᑉᐊ ᕐᓂᔪᕐᑾᐸᕐᔭᐃᒉ ᒃᒇᑈᕐᐅᕐᕿᑫᐊᒉᒋ ᐱᑕᕐᐊᑐᕐᔭᐱᕐᕿᒋᔪᐤᕐᐱᕐᕐᕋᐊᕐᕉᕐᔭᒉᕐ. ᕿᕐᐊᓂ ᑕᐃᒣᒃ ᕿᕐᓇᒉ ᐃᕐᕋᒉᕐᑈᐊᕐᕐᕿᑈᐸᕐᕿᒡᒉ ᑕᐃᒣᒡᑈᐅᔭᐅᕐᑈᕉᕐᒃ. ᕐᑈᑉᐊᓂ ᐊᕐᕇᒎᕐᑯᐃᐸ, ᕐᑈᑉᐊᓂ 2017-ᒉ ᐃᕐᓄᒃᐸᐅᕐᔭᒉᒡᕘ ᐃᑉᕐᕉᐊᕐᑈᑎᐳᕐᓚᕐᒡᕐᕉᕐᐊᕐᒡᕉᒉ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐃᕐᒃᒐᐃᔾᒻᓅᒉ ᐊᕐᒡᓗᕐ. ᑕᒡᑯᐊᓗ ᐱᕐᕐᑌᑉᐊᕐᕐᔭ ᐃᐃᕐᕿᐳᕐᐅᓂᒉᒋ. ᑕᐃᒣᒉᕐ ᑕᑯᒐᐊᕐᕙᐊᕐᒡᐳᕐᕉᒡ. ᕐᑈᒉᐊᒉᕗ.

.

ᐃᒃᒉᐍᐳᑕᕐᕞ (ᑐᒃᔭᐃᑎᒐᒉ): ᕐᑈᒉᐊᒉᕗ, ᒉᕐ ᕿᒐ ᐊᐊᐱᕋᕐ, ᑕᒪᕐ? ᒉᕐᒉ ᐃᓄᕐ, ᐃᕐᕚᒉ.

ᐃᓄᕐ: ᕐᑈᒉᐊᒉᕗ, ᐃᕐᕞᐍᐳᑕᕐᕞ. ᐅᐱᕐᕐᒃᑯᒉ, ᕿᒐ ᐸᐃᕐᕐ ᑐᕙᕐᕐᒡᒉ.

ᐅᑯᓇᕐᕄᒡ ᐊᕐᒎᒡᑎᒡᑈᔭᐳᑎᒉ ᐱᕐᐊᒉᐳᕐᕐᕋᕐᕆᑈᕐᕋ, Ċᵃᓇ ᒉᑎᒉᐊᐳᕐᕿᓂᔭᒻ ᒉᕐᒉ ᐅᕉᑕᐳᕐ ᐅᕐᒃᐳᔭᕆᕐᔮᒻ ᑐᕿᔭᕐᐊᕐᕿᒐᕐᕐᕿᒉᒻᒡᒎ. ᐅᐳᕐᓇᕐᕝᕿᕋᕐ, ᐅᓂᕐᒃᔾᒻᑒᒉ ᒉᒉᒉᐳᕐᕿᐳᕐᕉ ᐃᒎᐊᒡᒉ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᑕᒉᑯᐊ ᐱᕐᕐᓃᒡ ᒉᒉᔭᐳᕐᕿᒉᕐᒐ ᒉᒉᒉᐳᕐᐱᕐ ᒉᑎᒉᕐᕐᕋᒉ ᑕᐃᑯᒉᕐᒃᒉᕐᔾᒡᒉ MSB. Ċᵃᓇ ᕿᔪᕐᒉᒃ ᐃᕐᒉᕐᔭᐤᕐ ᐊᑐᕐᒃᑎᕐᒡᕿᕐ Ċᵃᓇ ᐃᕐᒃᒐᐃᔾᒃᕟᒎ ᐱᑈᕐᑈᓂᐊᕐᕿᕐᕄᓂᕐᒡ ᓄᓇᕆᒻ ᑎᕐᕐᕐᒡᑎᒡᓚᕐᕿᕐᓈᒉ. ᐅᕐᕐᕞᒉᒪᒉᕐᕐ᷁ᒎ ᑕᒪᐊ ᕐᒉᒡ ᒉᕐᐍᕐᕌᕐᕋᐍᕐᕐᕙᒡᒉ ᐅᕐᕞᒉᒉᕐᒡ Ċᕐᑯᓇᕐᕄ ᒉᒉᒉᐳᕐᐱᕐᒉ ᒉᑎᒉᕐᕐᕋᒉ ᐅᕐᕐᕞᑎᕐᕐᕐᔾᒉᕐᕐᕿᒎ Ċᕐᕈᕐᕆᒋ ᐊᑐᕐᒡᑐᓗᕐᕐᒎᐊᕐᕐᕿᕐ Ċᕐᑯᓇᕐᕄ? ᕐᑈᒉᐊᒉᕗ, ᐃᕐᕞᐍᐳᑕᕐᕞ.

16

Chairperson: Thank you, Mr. Enook. Ms. Keenan Bengts.	ᐃᑉᕐ�ᖄᐅᑕᖅ: ᖅᑯᔭᓐᓇᒦᒃ. ᒦᑦᑕ ᐃ.ᓄᒃ. ᒥᕐ ᑭᓇᓐ ᐸᐃᖕᕿ.
Ms. Keenan Bengts: Thank you, Madam Chairperson. I have raised the issue both with the Clerk of the Assembly of the Northwest Territories and with the Clerk of the Assembly here. We have been discussing it for a few months. They know that this is what I will be proposing or have been proposing. It's one of those things that I knew I had to start up the discussion about and that's what I've done on both sides of the border.	ᑭᓇᓐ ᐸᐃᖕᕿ (ᑐᓯᖳᑎᒍᑦ): ᖅᑯᔭᓐᓇᒦᒃ, ᐃᑉᕐᖄᐅᑕᖅ. ᑖᓐᓇ ᑎᑎᕐᖅᑎᒍᒃ ᒪᑲᓕᑕᐅᕐᖄᖕᒥ ᓄᐊᑎᐊᖕᒥ ᐊᒻᓗ ᑎᑎᕐᖅᑎᐅ�021Ꮐᑕᐅᕐᑎᑐᒍᑦ ᑖᐱᕙᓱᖕᒪ ᓄᐊᖕᖢ ᒪᑲᓕᑕᐅᕐᖄᖕᑉ ᑕᖅᐱᒻᕐᖕᒥ ᐅᖅᑲᐅᕐᓱᕐᓗᕐᑐᖅᑕᖅᑐᕐᓗᕐᑎᕐᕐᑐᔅ ᖅᑲᐴᒻᖁᓐᓕᕐᓗ ᖄᓯᒻᕐᓗ ᑐᕐᓱᕐᓂᕐᖄᕐᑐᖕᒃ ᖅᑲᐴᒻᖁᑎᒃ ᐊᒻᓗ ᑕᒪᖕᒃ ᐅᖅᑲᐴᒥᕐᗮᔅᑕᖅᐴᕐᖄᕐᑐᖅ ᖅᑲᐴᒻᓗᖅᑖ ᑕᐃᒪᒻᓗ ᐱᑕᖕᐊᕐᗮᔅᑲᓛᔅᒍ. ᖅᑯᔭᓐᓇᒦᒃ.
Chairperson: Thank you, Ms. Keenan Bengts. Anymore questions? Mr. Tom Sammurtok.	ᐃᑉᕐᖄᐅᑕᖅ (ᑐᓯᖳᑎᒍᑦ): ᖅᑯᔭᓐᓇᒦᒃ, ᒥᕐ ᑭᓇᓐ ᐸᐃᖕᕿ. ᐊᑏᖅᑯᑉᖃᖕᕵᐅᑉᑦ, ᒦᑦᑕ ᑖᒻ ᓴᒻᓗᕐᑐᖅ.
Mr. Tom Sammurtok: Thank you, Madam Chairperson. In her opening remarks she was speaking about how information is put up on the website, and she mentioned a ripped open envelope from which jump drive was missing. Has that drive ever been found or located? Thank you.	ᑖᒻ ᓴᒻᓗᕐᑐᖅ: ᖅᑯᔭᓐᓇᒦᒃ, ᐃᑉᕐᖄᐅᑕᖅ. (ᑐᓯᖳᒃᑐᔅ) ᐃᓕᕐᔪᕐ ᑖᖅᑐᕐ ᐅᖅᑲᐴᒥᕐᖅᑲᐴᕐᔭᕐᓯᕐᓂᕐ ᐅᖅᑲᖅᑲᐴᒪᖕᒃ ᑕᐃᒃᑕᐊ ᑎᑎᕐᖅᒥᕐᗮᔔᔅ ᖅᑲᓐᑕᐅᕐᕿᔅᒧᕐᓗᕐᗮᕐᓗᑎᖕᒃ. ᑕᐃᒃᑐᕐᗮᔅᗮᕐᗝᒃ ᖅᑲᓐᑕᐅᕐᕿᔅᓄᔅ ᐃᑕᕐᕐᐅᕐᑎ ᑎᑎᕐᖕᒃ ᑭᔭᕐᓯ ᑕᐃᒪᒃ ᔪᒻᕿᕐ ᔪᕐᑎᐅᕐᖅᒧᕐᗮᔔᕐᖅᑖ ᐊᕐᖅᑐᖅᔅᕿᒻᗮᔅᕐᕿ ᐊᒻᓗ ᑕᐃᒪᒃ ᐃᔔᕐᕿᗮᕐᗮᕐᖅ ᐱᑕᕐᖕᐊᕐᑎᕐᗮᕐᕿᔅ. ᑕᐃᒪᒪᕐᖅ ᐊᔭᐅᔭᕐᑕᐅᕐᕿᔅᕐᕿᕐᔅᖅᖅ ᓇᓯᕐᑕᔭᕐᒪᕐᗮᔅᕐᖅ? ᖅᑯᔭᓐᓇᒦᒃ.
Chairperson: Thank you, Mr. Sammurtok. Ms. Keenan Bengts.	ᐃᑉᕐᖄᐅᑕᖅ (ᑐᓯᖳᒃᑐᔅ): ᖅᑯᔭᓐᓇᒦᒧᕐᕐᑕᐅᕐ, ᒥᕐ ᓴᒻᓗᕐᑐᖅ. ᒥᕐ ᑭᓇᓐ ᐸᐃᖕᕿ.
Ms. Keenan Bengts: Thank you, Madam Chairperson. Unfortunately, no. The department that sent it to me contacted the post office, because it was sent through the post office, and they did as thorough a search for it as they could. It never showed up. It's information lost. That won't happen again because of our new portal. Thank you.	ᑭᓇᓐ ᐸᐃᖕᕿ (ᑐᓯᖳᒃᑐᔅ): ᖅᑯᔭᓐᓇᒦᒃ, ᐃᑉᕐᖄᐅᑕᖅ. ᐊᐃᑲᒦᒃᔪᓗᔭᕐᖅ, ᐌᒃ. ᑕᒪᖕᐊ ᐱᑕᕐᕿᖕᐌᔅ ᓇᑉᕐᐅᑎᕐᐅᕐᖅᑖᔅ ᑎᑎᕐᖕᕐᓯᕐᗮᔅᐊᕐᗮᔅᗝᕐᑎᕐᐅᕐᗮᕐᗮᕐ ᑕᐃᑲᐴᒫᖕᕿᔅ ᐊᐱᕐᓇᑕᕐᖅᑐᗮᔅᗝᔅ ᖅᓯᓇᕐᒪᕐᑯᓂᒦᒐᕐᖅ ᖅᓯᓇᑕᕐᖅᑐᔅᗮᔅᗝᔅ ᑭᔭᕐᓯ ᑎᖅᑲᕐᕐᓇᕐᗮᔅᕐᗝᑕᕐᐅᕐᑐᖅ. ᑕᐃᑲᐴᒪ ᐊᔭᕐᐅᕐᖕᗮᔅᕐᕿᔅ. ᑕᐃᒪᓛᑐᖅᖅᑲᕐᗝᓂᔭᕐᖅᕐᑎᑐᖅ ᖅᑲᕐᓴᕐᐅᕐᖕᔭᕐᗮᔅᕐᗮᕐᕿᕐ. ᖅᑯᔭᓐᓇᒦᒃ.
Chairperson: Thank you, Ms. Keenan Bengts. Mr. Sammurtok.	ᐃᑉᕐᖄᐅᑕᖅ (ᑐᓯᖳᑎᒍᑦ): ᖅᑯᔭᓐᓇᒦᒃ, ᒥᕐ ᑭᓇᓐ ᐸᐃᖕᕿ. ᒦᑦᑕ ᓴᒻᓗᕐᑐᖅ.
Mr. Tom Sammurtok (interpretation): Thank you, Madam Chairperson. In her	ᓴᒻᓗᕐᑐᖅ: ᖅᑯᔭᓐᓇᒦᒃ, ᐃᖅᕙᐅᑕᖅ. ᑕᖅᑲᓂ ᐅᖅᑲᐴᒥᕐᓯᕐᖕᒥᕐᓂᕐᖅ ᐅᖅᑲᖅᑲᐴᒪᖕᗮᔅᐌᕐᗝᒪ ᓯᕐᐅᔅᑕᕐᕿᒥ

opening comments, the first time she brought up the assistant or deputy commissioner/investigator, and later on she referred to the deputy commissioner/investigator. Is that one position or are they two separate positions? Thank you.

Chairperson (interpretation): Thank you, Mr. Sammurtok. Ms. Keenan Bengts.

Ms. Keenan Bengts: Thank you, Madam Chairperson. No. What I intend is one person who has dual roles. I suppose that it's a title, "deputy commissioner." They would do the same kinds of things that I do, with the commissioner signing off on everything in the end. So it would be somebody who does the investigations, probably writes the reports and I would sign them off. So one person. Thank you.

Chairperson: Thank you, Ms. Keenan Bengts. (interpretation) Are you done? (interpretation ends) Okay. Mr. Joanasie.

Mr. Joanasie (interpretation): Thank you, Madam Chairperson. Welcome, Ms. Keenan Bengts. I would like clarification on her opening comments on page 2. It states, "I made every effort to address all of the recommendations made, but time limitations and keeping up to date on the day-to-day work of the office meant I was not successful in completing all of the projects recommended." Even though that is the case, we're aware that you have tried to do your best with your job.

I would like to get clarification. In the NWT, do they make similar recommendations for you to do? Are you up to date in your duties in the NWT or are you also behind there like you are in Nunavut? Thank you, Madam Chairperson.

ᓴᖅᑭᑎᕐᔭᕆᐅᒃ ᑲᒥᓴᐅᒃ ᑐᒻᓕᐊ ᖃᐅᔨᓴᖅᑎᐊᑕ ᐅᖅᑲᐅᓯᕆᖅᑲᐅᒻᒪᒍ ᐊᒻᒪ ᑭᖑᓂᐊᒍᓂ ᐅᖅᑲᓚᖅᑲᐅᒐᒻᒪᖕᒪᑕ ᑖᓐᓇ ᑲᒻᒥᓴᐅᒃ ᑐᒻᓕᐊ ᖃᐅᔨᓴᖅᑎ. ᑖᓐᓇ ᐊᑕᐅᓯᐅᕙᒃᒎᖅᑯ ᐃᖅᑲᓇᐃᔭᖅᑎᐅᓯᐊᖅᑐᖅ ᐅᖕᕙᓗᖔᑕᖅ ᒪᕐᕈᖕ? ᖁᔭᓐᓇᒦᒃ.

ᐃᒃᓯᕙᐅᑕᖅ: ᖁᔭᓐᓇᒦᒃ, ᒥᔅᑕ ᓴᒻᒧᕐᑑᖅ. ᒥ ᑭᓇᓐ ᐸᐃᖕᔅ.

ᑭᓇᓐ ᐸᐃᖕᔅ (ᑐ�explanation): ᖁᔭᓐᓇᒦᒃ, ᐃᒃᓯᕙᐅᑖᖅ. ᐊᒡᒐᐃᓐᑐᖕᒐᔪᒐᓗ ᐊᑕᐅᓯᖅ ᐃᓄᒃ ᒪᕐᕈᐊᓂ ᐱᓕᕆᐊᖃᕐᓗᓂ. ᐊᒡᒐᐃᓐ ᑕᐃᒪᑕᔾᔪᒻᓚᓗᐊᖕᓄ ᑐᑕᕐᓕᓗ ᑲᒥᓴᐅᒃ ᐱᓕᕆᐊᒥ ᐊᖃᐃᑭᓴᖑᑕᖕᒃ ᐱᓕᓕᕘᕐᓄᖅ. ᑲᒥᓴᐅ ᐊᐅᓚᐅᓕᐊᖅᖅᑯᐊᓗᐊᓂ ᐱᓕᕆᐊᑭᓴᓕᕐᓯᓂᖅ. ᑕᐃᒪᓇ ᖃᐅᔨᓴᖅᑎᐅᓕᖅᑐᖅ ᑎᑎᕋᖅᖅᑯᓴᓇ ᐅᓂᒃᒃᐅᓂᖅ. ᐅᕐᕙᓗ ᐊᐅᓚᐅᖅᑯᓵᓕᖔᓯ. ᐊᑕᐅᓯᕐᓕᖅ ᐃᓄᖅᑲᖕᓯᕐᓕᖅ. ᖁᔭᓐᓇᒦᒃ.

ᐃᒃᓯᕙᐅᑕᖅ (ᑐ�explanation): ᖁᔭᓐᓇᒦᒃ, ᒥ ᑭᓇᓐ ᐸᐃᖕᔅ. ᑕᐃᒪᖅᖃᑕ? ᒥᔅᑕ ᔪᐊᓇᓯ.

ᔪᐊᓇᓯ: ᖁᔭᓐᓇᒦᒃ, ᐃᓯᕙᐅᑖᖅ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᑐᖕᒌᕐᓕᑦ ᒥ ᑭᓇᓐ ᐸᐃᖕᔅ. ᓕᒃᔭᐅᓯᐊᖅ ᑑᑭᓯᐊᖅᐸᒃᓈᓂᖅᒪᓕᑐ ᐊᓗᖅᖃᓐ. ᒪᒃ ᐹᑦ 2-ᒥ ᐅᖃᖅᖤᓕᑕᓗ, ᑖᓐᓇ ᐊᑐᓂᖅᑯᔮᕐᕈᐊᕈᖃᖅᑕᓐᔪᖅ ᐅᖅᑎᓐᖕᒃᓂᖅᓯᖅᑐᖅ ᑲᑎᓕᔾᒐᓴᓐ ᐊᕈᓴᓐᓕᐃ ᐊᑐᓕᖅᑎᑑᕐᔮᓄᓗᖃᖕᕐᓐᖅ. ᑖᖅᑯᓂ ᐅᓂᒃᒃᐅᔾᐃᖅ ᐅᖃᖅᖤᓕᒃᓄᖕᖅᔮᓕᓴᔮ, "ᑖᑯᐊᒍᖅ ᐊᑐᓕᖅᑎᑑᕐᕈᖅᖃᖅᑳᒐᓴᓄᖃᖅᑎ ᐅᔾᕘ ᐱᔾᔮᒃᒥᕐᒧᓗᐊᖕᓄᕘᖕ ᐊᒡᖅᕐᑕᓐᓄᓗ ᐱᓕᕆᐊᖅᖃᕈᓈᖅ. ᐃᓚᖕᒐᓐ ᐱᔾᒃᕐᕐᓕᒍᖕᐅᓗᖃᐅᓐᕐᖃᓯᓐᖃᕐᖅᑐᑉ." ᐱᕐᔮᖃᓗ ᑕᐃᒪᑕᒃᒍᔾᖅᓐᑳᒍ ᐱᖃᑕᐊᕐᓕᒃᓱᓐᓚᖅᕐᖃᖅ ᑕᒪᒐᓗ ᖃᐅᔨᒪᖅᖃᓄᔾ ᐱᓕᕆᓐᑎᐊᖅᒪᖅᒪᕐᔮᖅᓄᓐᒍᕐᒃ.

ᑑᑭᓯᐊᖅᐱᓚᔮᕐ ᐊᕘᓐᓕᑖᖅ ᓄᒐᕐᔮᖅᒥ ᑕᐃᒪᐊᑐᖕᒃ ᑲᓐᔪᒐᖅᑐᓯᓐᖅᔪ ᐊᑐᓕᖅᑕᐊᕐᑎᑑᖃᕐᕐᕐᖃᖅᖃᓕᔮᖅᒃᒃᕐᖃᐃᕐ ᐱᓕᕆᓐᑎᑖᖅᖤᕐᒃᕐᕐᖃᓐᑕᓯᒃᑳᖅᔮᖅᓄᓐᕘ ᐊᔾᔾᑖᒥᓐᖤᓕᕐᑖᖅᓐᓄᓂ ᑕᐃᒪᓐᕐᕐᖃᕐᖅ ᐱᓕᕆᐊᖅᖃᓐᓕᔮᓐᕐ ᐅᕐᕙᓗᑖᖅᓈᖃ ᑕᐃᒪᓐᕐᖤᖅᖤᖃᖅ ᓄᒐᖕᒥ ᐊᔾᔾᑖᒥᓐᖤᕐᔮᕐᔮᖓᖅᒃᓯᖤᖅᔮᕐᖃᕐᑳᖅᒃᒃᕐ? ᖁᔭᓐᓇᒦᒃ, ᐃᓯᕙᐅᑖᖅ.

Chairperson (interpretation): Thank you, Mr. Joanasie. Ms. Keenan Bengts.

Ms. Keenan Bengts: Thank you, Madam Chairperson. No, they don't ask me to do as many projects over there. That doesn't mean that I don't, of my own accord, do projects over there. Am I behind? Yeah, I'm just as behind in the Northwest Territories as I am in Nunavut. I try to balance my time between the two and put as much work as is necessary into each jurisdiction.

I would actually say that I'm probably further behind in my Northwest Territories work than I am currently in my Nunavut work if only because in the Northwest Territories I have a lot of files in which I am giving advice on new projects and new legislation, and there are fewer of those on this side of the border. Those ones take a lot of time. Thank you.

Chairperson: Thank you, Ms. Keenan Bengts. Mr. Joanasie.

Mr. Joanasie (interpretation): Thank you, Madam Chairperson. I also thank her for the response. We're starting to realize more and more that you need help. Perhaps if you can put a number out there of the percentage of the work you want to do in Nunavut and how much you have completed. You have 100 percent if you do all of it. Is it 80 percent? If you can put a percentage on how much work you have done and I'll end with that for now. Thank you, Madam Chairperson.

Chairperson (interpretation): Thank you, Mr. Joanasie. Ms. Keenan Bengts.

ᐃᑉᕆᐹᑕᖅ: ᖁᔭᓐᓇᒦᒃ, ᒥᔅ ᕘᐊᓇᓯ. ᒥᓯ ṗᓇ ᐹᐃᖕᔅ.

ṗᓇ ᐹᐃᖕᔅ (ᑐᓵᔨᑎᒍᑦ): ᖁᔭᓐᓇᒦᒃ, ᐃᑉᕆᐹᑕᒃᖅ. ᐋᒃᑲ, ᐅᓄᖕᓂᖅᓇᓂ ᑎᓕᔭᐅᓂᖃᑦᖅᑐᒍᑦ ᑭᓯᐊᓂ ᐊᓪᒥᓂᖅ ᐱᓕᕆᖃᑦᖅᑐᖕᓗ ᑕᐅᕙᓂ. ᐄ, ᑭᖕᒫᖃᕈᓐᓃᒃ? ᐄ. ᓄᐊᕋᔭᒡᒦᑦᑕᐅᖅ ᑕᐃᒪᖃᑎᒉᑕᖕᒐᖅ ᑭᖕᒫᖃᓕᑎᖔᖕᓂ. ᓄᐊᖅᒥ ᑭᖕᒫᖓᓪᓗᓕ. Ċᖃᐊ ᐊᑐᓂ ᐱᓕᕆᐊᓂᐊᔅᖃᑦᖃᖅᐸᑕ ᐱᔪᓐᓇᑐᑦᒉᑦ ᐊᑐᓂ ᐊᐃᑐᖅᔭᕿᐊᓂᖅ ᐱᓕᕆᐊᔅᖃᑦᖃᑦᖃᒐᔭᐊᖃ.

ᐅᖅᑲᒐᓇᖅᑐᖕᓕᑦ ᓄᐊᕋᔭᒡᒥ ᑭᖕᒫᖕᓯᓂᖅᓴᐅᓂᕋᔅᓗᖕᓂ. ᐅᖅᑲᒐᓇᖅᑐᖕᓕ ᓄᐊᖅᒥ ᑭᖕᒫᖕᓯᓇᕐᔭᓂᖅ ᐱᖃᑦᑎᑎᐊᓂᖅᑐ. ᓄᐊᕋᔭᒡᒥ ᐱᔅᓯᖃᕐᒐ ᐅᓄᖅᑐᓂᖅ ᐊᓕᖕᓇ ᓄᐃᖕᓂᖅ ᐱᓕᕆᐊᔪᖅᓂᕋᖅᔭᓂᖅ ᓚᑕᓕᕆᐊᔪᖅᓂᕋᖅᔭᓂᖅᓗ. Ḷᓂᖅᒋᖔᑦ ᐅᓄᖕᓂᖅᓇᐅᔮᒡᓕᑕ ᑕᐃᒃᑯᐊ ᐱᖃᑎᖅᑐᐺᑕᒡᖃᒐ. ᖁᔭᓐᓇᒦᒃ.

ᐃᑉᕆᐹᑕᖅ (ᑐᓵᔨᑎᒍᑦ): ᖁᔭᓐᓇᒦᒃ, ᒥᓯ ṗᓇ ᐹᐃᖕᔅ. ᒥᓯ ᕘᐊᓇᓯ.

ᕘᐊᓇᓯ: ᖁᔭᓐᓇᒦᒃ, ᐃᑉᕆᐹᑕᒃᖅ. ᖁᔭᓐᓇᒦᒃᑯᓗ ᑭᐅᒋᐊᒡᓕᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᑐᑭᓯᐊᖅᕿᕐᓇᑦᖅᕚᑦ ᐃᑲᔪᖅᑎᒋᐊᔅᖃᕐᓇᕐᓂᖕ. ᑕᒪᑐᒍᖕᒡᓲᐊ ᑐᑭᓯᕆᐊᖃᓂᖓᕋᐹᑦ ᐃᒋᖃᐃ ᐅᖃᑐᖅᖃᓂᕋᕈᑦ ᐅᓴᐅᑎᓂᖅᔪᒑᕋᐹᒡᔫᖔᓐ %-ᖕᓗᓂᖕ ᐃᒪᖕᔅ ᓄᐊᖅᒥ ᐱᓕᕆᔪᓕᖕᑦ ᖃᒐᓐᑎ ᐱᔅᓭᖅᔪᓪᑎᒍᐊ %-ᖕᔫᔮᓄᖅᒋᓂᖅ. ᑐᑭᓇᖅᒪ. 100%-ᖕᔪᔭᖕᒐᓕᑦ ᐊᑕᖕᒉᓂ ᐃᒪᖕᔅ 80%-ᖕᔫᖅ? ᑕᐃᒪᓇ ᖃᓐᐅᔨᔅᖃᕈᒡᓂᕋᔪᒥ ᑐᑭᓕᐊᖕᔭᐊᒡᖃᕐᓂᖕᕋᒡᓪᓂᖅ. Ċᓇ ᐃᔨᓕᒍᑎᑎᖅᖅᐸᑎ Ḷᓇᖅ. ᖁᔭᓐᓇᒦᒃ, ᐃᑉᕆᐹᑕᒃᖅ.

ᐃᑉᕆᐹᑕᖅ: ᖁᔭᓐᓇᒦᒃ, ᒥᓯ ᕘᐊᓇᓯ. ᒥᓯ ṗᓇ ᐹᐃᖕᔅ.

19

Ms. Keenan Bengts: Thank you, Madam Chairperson. Approximately overall, 45 percent of my work is Nunavut and 55 percent is the Northwest Territories. It's hard to put a number on how far behind I am on any one. I can tell you that I've got currently on my desk waiting for me to do some writing on, in total; I just need to think about this for a minute, about 27 files.

I can't tell you, because I don't differentiate when I've got work on my desk. I put them in priority of time, so if one comes in from Nunavut on day one and one comes in from the Northwest Territories on day two, Nunavut gets the attention first. I give them attention as they come in the door. So how far behind am I on the Nunavut files? Probably about half of them are Nunavut files. It's pretty even, to be honest.

Chairperson: Thank you, Ms. Keenan Bengts. Mr. Mikkungwak.

Mr. Mikkungwak (interpretation): Thank you, Madam Chairperson. On the bottom of the first page in the opening remarks, it states that you deal with breaches of privacy and that some of your recommendations were not implemented. It also states that no reports were done by the departments, or taken care of by the departments, so my question is: are the departments involved in the writing of your annual report? Thank you, Madam Chairperson.

Chairperson (interpretation): Thank you, Mr. Mikkungwak. Ms. Keenan Bengts.

Ms. Keenan Bengts: Thank you, Madam Chairperson. If I understand the question you're asking me if the departments have any role in writing my annual report. I'm

ᐲᓐᐊ ᐸᐃᖕᑦ (ᑐᓵᔨᑎᒍᑦ): ᖄᒡᕕᓇᒻᒃ, ᐃᒃᓯᕙᐅᑖᖅ. ᐃᓪᐋᓇᐸᓗᖅᑭᐊ ᑲᑎᒋᓛᖅᑐᒍ 45 ᐳᓴᖕᑲᐃ ᓄᓇ�➅ᒥ ᐱᓕᕆᐊᖃᖅᑕᑉ ᐊᒻᓚ 55 ᐳᓴ ᓄᓇᑦᑎᐊᖏᒥ. ᐃᓪᐋᓇ ᓈᖅᐳᓯᓂᖅᒥᒡᑦ ᖃᓄᑎᒋ ᕈᔾᕙᖁᓇᑎᒡᓖᖅᒥ ᑭᓯᐊᓂ ᐅᖃᐅᑎᔭᐅᓇᔾᔨ ᒫᓇ ᖤᓂᑎᑐᕳᖕᖅ ᐅᑎᖅᑭᔪᓐᖅ. ᑲᑎᑦᑐᒥᑦ ᐃᔨᒥᖃᐃᓇᖃᔪ, ᐃᖅᑲᖅᓱᑲᐃᓇᖅᔪ. 27-ᓂᖅᑲᐃ ᐱᓯᖕᓯᓂᖅ ᐅᖃᐅᑎᔭᐅᓇᑦᑕᐦᐊᖕᑎᖃᖓ.

ᐃᓪᐋᓇ ᐱᔾᕆᖕᓯᓂ ᐱᓯᖕᓯᓂᖅ ᐃᓪᐋᓇ ᑐᐊᕿᓇᓯᖓᖅᓱᐃᐦᓗ ᓇᓄᐊᐃᓯᖅᔪᖕᕪᑦ. ᑕᐊᓚᑕ ᓄᓇ➅ᒥ ᑎᖅᑕᖅᖃᓯᖅᖃᖅᕚᑦ ᐅᓪᔪᒥ ᓯᕐᓚᑦᖃᕈᒃᒥ ᖄᐅᑉᑉᔪᖅᑎᒡᔪᒡᓗ ᓄᓇᑦᓇᖅᒥᖔᖅᔪᑕᖅᖃᖅᕚᑦ ᑖᓇ ᓯᕐᓚᑦᖅᐅᑕᐅᖅᑐᖅ ᐱᓯᓇᖅᑲᔾᓇᓗᑲᑦ. ᑖᑦᕗᐊ ᐃᔨᖅᑲᑦᑕᐊᓇᖕᖅ ᒪᖓᑐᖅ ᖃᓄᑎᒡ ᕈᔾᕙᖁᓇᑎᒡᓖᖅᒪ ᓄᓇ➅ᒥ ᐱᓯᖕᓯᓂᖅ. ᐊᖕᕀᒡ ᑐᐊᐸᑕᖅᖅᑲᐃ ᓄᓇ➅ᕿᐊᖕᖅᒪᑕ ᐊᔨᖏᑉᒋᑦᖅ, ᐊᔨᖒᖅᐸᓗᑦᑐᖅ.

ᐃᒃᓯᕙᐅᑕᖅ (ᑐᓵᔨᑎᒍᑦ): ᖄᒡᕕᓇᒻᒃ, ᒥᔅ ᐲᓐᐊ ᐸᐃᖕᑦ. ᒥᔅᑕ ᒥᖄᖕᔪᐊᖅ.

ᒥᖄᖕᔪᐊᖅ: ᒪᐊᑦᑐᐊᑊᒃ, ᐃᒃᓯᕙᐅᑕᖃᑦᖅᑐᖅ. ᓯᕐᓚᑦᖅᐸᖅᓯᒪᓯᓂ ᐅᖅᑯᐊᒥᑎᖅᖏᓂᒡᒃ ᒪᑉᐱᐊᓯ ᐊᑕᓚᖅᖅᒡᒥ ᖅᑭᑎᖅᒥ ᑎᑎᖅᑲᔨᒪᒡᓖᑦ ᑕᓕᑐᑯᓂᖔ ᑲᓇᐴᓇᖅᑐᑊ ᐱᓕᕆᐊᖃᖅᑕᑦᑕᐅᑕᔦᐊᑦ ᕿᔭᐊ ᑕᐃᑯᑕᐊ ᑎᑎᑕᑊᔭᐅᐊᑎᒡᑕᐅᑊᑕᑊᒡᑖ ᐃᓕᖓᑐᖅ ᐊᑐᐊᓪᖅᑕᖕᑦ ᓈᓚᖓᖓᕚᑦᑐᑊ ᑎᑎᖅᑲᑊᓗ ᖤᖅᐱᑕᐅᖅᖅᓂᑦᑕᐃᖅᑕᖅᑐᑊ ᑕᓕᑯᓱᓇᕙ ᐃᖅᑲᓇᐃᔅᖄᐅᔾᑦᓂ ᑲᓕᕐᔭᖃᑎᐊᑲᐅᑕᑉᑕᑊᒡᑖ. ᑖᓇ ᐊᐱᖅᑯᑎᖅᒋᐊᐅᑕᓚᖓᑕ ᑕᐃᓪᐋᓇ ᑎᑎᖅᑲᑎᒡᓲᖕᑎᒡᔪᑊ ᐅᖓᓂ ᐊᑦᖃᒍᑕᓲᖅᒃᔭᐅᑕᖕᖅ ᑕᐃᑲᕙ ᐃᖅᑲᓇᐃᔅᖄᐅᔭᔨ ᐃᓕᑐᖅᑲᑕᐅᕚᑦ ᐊᑦᖃᒍᑕᓲᖅᒃᔭᐅᑎᓂ? ᒪᐊᓇ, ᐃᒃᓯᕙᐅᑖᖅ.

ᐃᒃᓯᕙᐅᑕᖅ: ᖄᒡᕕᓇᒻᒃ, ᒥᔅᑕ ᒥᖄᖕᔪᐊᖅ. ᒥᔅ ᐲᓐᐊ ᐸᐃᖕᑦ.

ᐲᓐᐊ ᐸᐃᖕᑦ (ᑐᓵᔨᑎᒍᑦ): ᖄᒡᕕᓇᒻᒃ, ᐃᒃᓯᕙᐅᑖᖅ. ᑐᑭᓯᑎᐊᑯᒃᒡ ᐊᐱᕆᓈᑦ ᑖᕗᐊ ᐱᓕᕆᖕᖒᑦ

ᐃᓚᐅᖅᖄᖅᒡᒪᖕᒡᑖ ᐅᓂᖅᑲᓕᐅᖅᖅᑎᓂᓗᖕᖒ?

sorry, I misunderstood. Thank you.

Chairperson: Thank you, Ms. Keenan Bengts. (interpretation) If you can restate your question, Mr. Mikkungwak.

Mr. Mikkungwak (interpretation): Thank you Madam Chairperson. In your opening remarks, in the middle of the last page, it states that you were dealing with privacy issues. But, in your recommendations coming out of your office, you said that you were satisfied that some departments' implementation of your recommendations and you didn't formally produce documents. That leads me to ask: if those departments with whom you felt had implemented the recommendations satisfactorily, did you included that in your annual report? Thank you, Madam Chairperson.

Chairperson (interpretation): Thank you, Mr. Mikkungwak. Ms. Keenan Bengts.

Ms. Keenan Bengts: Thank you. That's clear. No, if I don't write a review or recommendation, I haven't put it in my annual report, at least not to date. It's a fairly new provision; the breach notification provisions, and there haven't been an overly large number of reports. When I'm satisfied that the public body has recognized the breach and dealt with it in an appropriate way and I don't have anything more to add have in terms of suggestions or recommendations, I don't do a report. There is at least one in my annual report, I believe, where I have done a report and recommendation and that would be discussed in my annual report, but if I don't do a report… . If I'm satisfied with the fact that the public body has recognized it first, dealt with it, and made changes, then I don't do a report. Thank you.

ᑐᑭᕐᓂᕐᒍᓴᕿᕐᒃᑯ ᒪᒋᐊᓇᕐᖅ. ᕐᑯᕐᕐᓇᒡᕐᖅ.

ᐃᕙᕐᑉᐊᐳᑕᕐᖅ (ᑐᒡᓴᐱᑎᒍᑯ): ᕐᑯᕐᓇᒡᕐᖅ, ᒥᕐ ᑭᓇᐊ ᐸᐃᖕᒃᓯ. (ᑐᒡᓴᐱᑎᒍᐱᖕᖃᖅᑐᕐᖅ) ᐃᒐᖕ ᓇᑐᓇᐃᖃᖕᒍᓂᐊᕒᕐᖅ ᒥᑕ ᒥᑭᖃᖕᒍᐊᕐᖅ.

ᒥᑭᖃᖕᒍᐊᕐᖅ: ᒪᑖᓇᖕᑐᐊᕝᕐ, ᐃᕙᕐᑉᐊᑉᖕᑐᕐᖅ. ᐅᕙᓂ ᐅᕿᑯᐃᕐᑎᓇᒡ ᐊᕒᕐᖅᕝᒥ ᕐᑭᑎᖕᒥ ᑎᑎᕐᕐᑭᒡᖕᒪᕐᖕᒡ, ᐱᒐᓇᐊᖃᖕᖃᕐᒐᐱᕐᒃᑐᑎᑉ ᑲᖕᒍᓇᖅᑐᒡ ᑭᕐᕕᐊᓂ ᐊᑐᒃᕐᑯᕐᖕᓂᕐᑎ ᑎᑎᕐᕐᑭᐱᖕᖃᒡᕐᒃᑯᕐᖕᓂᕐ ᖄᖕᓕᖕᒡᖕᖕᖃᒡᑐᕐᖃᕐ. ᐃᓕᖕᕒᕒᕝ ᑕᐃᖕᑯᐊ ᑎᒡᐳᕝᕒ ᐱᒐᓂᖕᖄᐳᕝᕐ ᑲᒪᕐᑭᖕᖃᕝᑎᐊᓇᐳᕐᒡᓚᕐ ᑕᐊᒪ ᑎᑎᖅᖃᓄ ᓴᕐᑭᑎᖕᖃᕐᒐᓇᐳᕐᕕ ᓴᕐᑭᑎᖕᖃᕝᖕᓇᒐᓇᐳᕝᕒᕐ ᑭᕐᕕᐊᓂᕐ ᐊᐱᓕᕐᓇᕐᒃᐱᕕᖕᐃ. ᑖᕐᑕᐊ ᐃᖃᖕᓇᐃᕐᓯᐊᐳᕐᕒᕐ ᑲᒪᕐᑭᖕᖃᕝᕒᕐ ᑲᒪᕐᒃᑐᕐ ᑕᒪᕐᑯᓄᖕ. ᐊᕐᕚᑕᖕᓕᖕᕐᑭᑕᖕᕒ ᐃᓇᐳᕝᒐᖕᕒ ᑐᕐᕒᑕᐃᐊᕝᖕᑐᖕᕒ? ᒪᕐᒡ, ᐃᕙᕐᑉᐊᐳᕐᖅ.

ᐃᕙᕐᑉᐊᐳᑕᕐᖅ: ᕐᑯᕐᕐᓇᒡᕐᖅ, ᒥᕐᑕ ᒥᑭᖃᖕᒍᐊᕐᖅ. ᒥᕐ ᑭᓇᐊ ᐸᐃᖕᒃᓯ.

ᑭᓇᐊ ᐸᐃᖕᒃᓯ (ᑐᒡᓴᐱᑎᒍᑯ): ᕐᑯᕐᕐᓇᒡᕐ ᓇᓄᓇᐃᕒᐊᐳᕐᖅ. ᑕᖕᖕᒐᖕ ᐊᕐᕚᑕᖕᓕᖕᕐᑭᑕᖕᕒ ᑎᑎᕐᑭᖕᑕᖕᕐᑕᐃᕐᕒᕒ ᐅᕐᓄᒥᕒ. ᑖᕒ ᓯᕒᐃᕕᕒᒐᕒ ᑐᖕᕒᑎᕐᑎᕐᑭᐊᕒᕐᖕᓇᖕᕒ ᓄᑖᖕᒡᓚᕐ. ᐃᓕᖕᕒ ᐅᓄᐊᕐᑭᖕᒡᖕᒪᖕᓕᖕ ᑖᕒᐊ ᐅᕐᑭᐱᑎᐱᕒᓕᕐ. ᑕᒪᓕᖕ ᖄᖕᓕᖕᒡᑐᕒᕐᑭᐱᕒ ᑖᕒᐊ ᓇᐲᓕᕒᑯᕒ ᑎᒡᕐᑕᓂᖕᓕ ᐊᕐᕒᑭᕒᕒᒪᓕᖕᕒᕐᖕᓕᖕ ᓯᕒᕿᑕᕒᐊᓄᕒᕒᕐ, ᑕᐊᓕᕒ ᖄᖕᓕᖕᑐᕒᑯᕒ ᐃᓇᕐᖕᖄᓇᕒᕒᕐᑭᕒᖕᑭᖕᕒᐅᓕᓕ ᐊᑐᕐᕐᑯᕒᖕᓂ ᐅᓄᕐᕕᓇᕒ ᐅᐊᕒᕒᕐᕐᖕᑐᕒᕐ. ᐊᕒᐳᕒᕒᑭᕐᑯᕒᒃᑐᕒᕐ ᑕᖕᖕᒐᖕ ᐊᕐᕒᑭᖕᖄᕐᑭᕒᑭᕒᖕᓇᕒ ᐅᕒᖕᕒᓇᕒ ᐊᑐᕒᕐᖕᐃᕒᕒᕒ ᐅᕐᑕᐳᕒᓐᕒᒐᕒᓄᕒᕒᕐ ᐊᕒᐳᕒᑕᖕᓕᕒᕐᑭᕒᕐᑭᕒᓄᕒᕒ ᐅᕒᖕᕒᓇᕒ ᑭᕐᕕᐊᓂ ᑎᑎᐳᕒᓂᕒᑐᓇᕒᕒᖕᑭᕒᖕ ᖄᖕᓕᕒᕐᑐᕐᒥᕒ ᐊᕒᖕᑭᕒᑕᐳᕒᓕᕒᖕᓇᕒᕐ. ᐃᓕᖕᕒ ᐃᓇᕒᓕᕐᖕᕐᑭᕒᕒᐳᕒᓚᕒᒍ, ᐊᕒᖕᑭᕒᕐᑭᐊᕒᓚᕒᒍ ᐊᕒᕒᕒᕒᕒᕐᑭᕒᓯᕒᐊᕒᕐᖕᑭᕒᖕ ᑖᕒᑐᕒᕐᓕᕒᕒ ᐅᕒᖕᕒᓇᕒᕒᕐᑭᕒᖕᕐᕒᑐᕒᖕᓕ. ᕐᑯᕐᕐᓇᒡᕐᖅ.

Chairperson: Thank you, Ms. Keenan Bengts.

ᒥᑭᖁᖕᒐᖅ: ᒪᐊᓇᒃ ᑐᐸᕕᒃ, ᐃᑦᔪᐊᐳᑕᖅᖅᖅᑐᖅ. ᓚᓕᒐᑕᐅᖅᑎᐅᖅᑮᓇᒪ ᐊᐱᖅᔪᑕᐅᖅᑐᖅᑐᓗᒎᐊᑦ ᑭᕿᐊᓭ ᑐᑭᓯᒃᐅᓂᕈᐊᖀᒪ. ᑖᒪᓕ ᐃᑭᔅᖅᑐᐱᓇᐃᕙᔅ ᓚᓕᒐᑕᐅᖅᑎᐅᔪᓂᒃ ᐃᓯᒪᑦᖅᕈᐅᖅᑕᖅᕈᓄᐦᑦ ᔪᑕᓇᖕᖅᖅᕿᓂᕐ ᐅᕐᕿᔪᖅᔫᒎᖀᒃ ᐃᑕᔅᖀᖅᖕᓭᖀᒎ ᖃᐅᖁᑦᖅᑎᐅᖀᔅᖅᑐᒥᕐ. Ċᕿᓂ ᐊᐱᖅᑯᑎᒍᔪᐊᕈ ᓄᐊᖅᕐᕐ ᐅᐊᓯᓂᕐ ᑕᐅᒎᕐ ᓚᓕᒐᕈᕐᕐ ᓚᒎᕐ ᐱᓯᓕᐊᖅᖅᕐ ᐱᓯᓕᐊᐱᕈᖅᕐ ᐊᑐᐊᕈᕐᕐ ᓚᓕᒎᕐᕐ ᐊᔅᖀᖄᕿᓂᒃᕐ ᐅᖅᕐᕿᔫᖅ ᐊᓕᒎᓂᖅᐅᖅᖄᕐ? ᒪᐊᓇ, ᐃᑦᔪᐊᐳᕿᖅ.

Mr. Mikkungwak (interpretation): Thank you, Madam Chairperson. My colleagues have asked these questions, but I would like further information. You have supported MLAs in their consideration of your deputy or assistant investigator. My question is: looking at Nunavut and the west, are the legislation, policies, and rules similar or are there differences? Thank you, Madam Chairperson.

ᐃᑦᔪᐊᐳᑕᖅ: ᖁᔭᓐᓇᒦᒃ, ᒥᑦ ᒥᑭᖁᖕᒐᖅ. ᒥᓐ ᑭᓇᐊ ᐸᐃᖕᔅ.

Chairperson (interpretation): Thank you, Mr. Mikkungwak. Ms. Keenan Bengts.

ᑭᓇᐊ ᐸᐃᖕᔅ (ᑐᓴᖀᐅᒍᑯc): ᖁᔭᓐᓇᒦᒃ, ᐃᑦᔪᐊᐳᑕᖅ. ᐊᔅᖀᖅᑎᐊᖅᑐᖕᒃ ᐱᒐᐊᓚᐅᕐᕿᐊᖅᕐᑐc ᓚᓕᒎᕐᕐ. ᓄᐊᖅᕐᒥ ᐅᓄᐊᖅᕐᑐᒡᓄᓂᒃ ᐊᔅᖀᒎᐊᖅᕿᓚᕈᕐ ᓄᐊᕐᑎᐊᖅᕐ ᑕᐅᑐᖅᑐᒍᓄ. ᐊᔅᒪᓕᔪᕐ ᐅᖅᖄᓇᖅᑐᖁᖕᓗᓇ ᓄᐊᖅᕐᒥ ᔅᖅᑕᐅᑎᓇᓂᖅᖄᖅᖃᕐᕐᕿᓚᕈᕐ ᓄᐊᕐᑎᐊᕐᕐᒪᓂᕐ ᐊᔅᖀᖄᖀᐊᕿᕿᒎᖀᖕᒃ ᐃᒥᓗᔫᖄᑕᐅᕈᕈᖅ ᓄᖅᔅᖅᕐᑐᒎᖅ ᓚᖄᖃᓗᒃᒎᕈᒃ ᑕᖅᖄᓂ ᑐᔅᖀᖅᓇᖀᖕᕈᓗᒃ ᓴᐳᕿᖀᓂᖀᒎᕈ ᑲᐊᒎᖄᖅᓇᖅᑕᐅᕿᑯᑦ ᓚᓕᒃᒥ ᐊᖅᔅᑐᑕᓚᖅᖅᔅᖀᑎᖀᒎᕐ ᐊᖅᔅᑐᑕᓗᕿᒎ ᓂᔫᒎᕿᖀᓂᖅᖄᖄᖅᐅᖀ.

Ms. Keenan Bengts: Thank you, Madam Chairperson. The Act started out exactly the same. Nunavut has made a few changes that are different from the Northwest Territories. Nunavut, I think, I would say in fact, has been more proactive and aggressive than the Northwest Territories in addressing the issues that arise out of the *Access to Information and Protection of Privacy Act* and my reports, my annual reports and appearances.

ᑭᕿᐊᓭ ᓚᒃᓄᖕᓗ ᐊᔪᐊᓗᖕᑐᖅ ᐃᓇᖅᒐᔮᕐᑎᒎᕐ ᐊᔅᖀᖄᓇᖀᖕᓇᖄᖄᖅᓚᖀ Ċᖅᒎᐊ ᐊᓚᑐᖅᔅᖀᓚᔅᖄ. ᑕᐃᓚᕈᕐ ᑎᑎᖅᖀᐊᖀᒥ ᑎᑎᖅᖀᓚᖅᒎc ᖁᓄᖕ ᐊᑐᖅᑕᐅᑎᓂᖅᒐᖅᑕᓕᒎᖀᖄ ᐃᓚᕿ ᑎᓂᕐᑕᖅᖄᖀᓇᕐᕐᒎᔫᖀ ᐱᓯᓕᖀᕐᕐ Ċᖅᕐᖀᓚᕈᕐ ᑲᑎᓕᕿᕈᕿ. ᐊᔅᐊᒍᕿ Ċᖀᓚ ᐅᖄᓂᕐᕈᓚᕈ. ᐊᔅᖀᖄᖀᖄᖀᖕᖀᑕᖀᐊᕐᕐ ᓚᓕᒎᕐᕐ ᐊᔅᖀᖅᑕᐊᖃᖀᕈᓕᑕ. ᖁᔭᓐᓇᒦᒃ.

In terms of basic policies and the way they do things, it's not that much different in the two jurisdictions. The way that my office is treated in terms of being asked to take on projects by this Committee is the different approach, but other than that, everything is pretty much the same. It's the same legislation by and large. Thank you.

ᐃᑦᔪᐊᐳᑕᖅ (ᑐᓴᖀᐅᒍᑯc): ᖁᔭᓐᓇᒦᒃ, ᒥᓐ ᑭᓇᐊ ᐸᐃᖕᔅ. ᒦᑦ ᒥᑭᖁᖕᒐᖅ.

Chairperson: Thank you, Ms. Keenan Bengts. (interpretation) Do you have any more questions, Mr. Mikkungwak?

Mr. Mikkungwak (interpretation): Thank you very much, Madam Chairperson. As MLAs, when we're going to be considering whether we should have the assistant commissioner/investigator and whether that position should be created in Nunavut and/or the NWT, what I would like to get clarified is: how does the language issue affect your role as the commissioner? Thank you, Madam Chairperson.

Chairperson (interpretation): Thank you, Mr. Mikkungwak. Ms. Keenan Bengts.

Ms. Keenan Bengts: Thank you, Madam Chairperson. To be honest with you, in the 16 or 17 years that I have been doing this job in Nunavut and in the years before that when we were one territory, I have never received a request for review in any language other than English. No, I'm going to correct myself. I received one in French from the Northwest Territories. Other than that, I have only ever dealt in English. I do have a backup plan if that were to happen. Thank you.

Chairperson: Thank you, Ms. Keenan Bengts. (interpretation) Are you done? (interpretation ends) Any further questions to the opening comments? If not, we will proceed right to her annual report. Questions? Mr. Rumbolt.

Mr. Rumbolt: Thank you, Madam Chairperson. Welcome, Ms. Bengts, to our proceedings today. I know your opening comments were quite detailed and I'll try my best not to cross over and ask questions that you have already answered or information you have provided and, if I do, I apologize in advance.

ᒥᑭᖕᒍᐊᖅ: ᒪ'ᓇ� ᑐᐊᕕᒃ, ᐃᓯᐅᐸᑕᖅᑲᖅᑑᖅ. ᑖᒃᑯᐊᓗ ᐃᓯᓚᑦᐅᖅᑲᑕᐅᐊᖅᑎᓗᑕ ᒪᓕᒐᑕᐅᖅᑎᐅᑦᓗᑕ ᓄᐊᖅᒥ ᐅᐊᕐᓂᒡᓗ ᒼᓇ ᐃᖅᑲᓇᐃᕝᒃᒌᖎᒡᓗᔪᓚᖕᑐᖅ ᐃᓯᒃᖔᖅᕇᐅᑐᑎᕼᑎᓚᐊᖅᒃᓂᐊᕐᑕᐱ ᓇᑐᓇᖁᒻᒥᒪᑕ. ᐅᓇᑦ ᑐᑭᓗᐊᖅᑎᕐᓯ ᖃᓄᖅ ᐅᖅᑲᐅᓯᓚᓂᖅ ᐊᒍᑐᐊᖅᖑᒻᓚᐱᓕᑲᑲ ᑕᒪᕐᒪ ᐱᓯᓚᐊᓂᕐᔱᐱᒃ ᐃᓗᓂᖁᓗᒍ? ᒪ'ᓇ, ᐃᓯᐅᐸᑕᖅ.

ᐃ�goᕐᐋᐅᐸᑕᖅ: ᖅᑯᖚᓂᕇᒃ, ᒥᕌ ᒥᑭᖕᒍᐊᖅ. ᒥᕼ ᑭᓇ ᐸᐃᖕᔅ.

ᑭᓇ ᐸᐃᖕᔅ (ᑐᕼᐱᑎᒍᔅ): ᖅᑯᖚᓂᕇᒃ, ᐃᓯᐅᐸᑕᖅ. ᐅᖅᑲᑎᐊᒪᓚᓂᕼᐅᖁᒡᓚᐃᒃ. ᑕᖵᖀᓂ 16-ᓂ 17-ᓗᑑᓂᒃ ᐊᕼᔱᒍᓂᒃ ᓄᐊᖅᒥ ᐃᖅᑲᓇᐃᕐᖅᑎᐅᑐᖚᓂ ᐊᒻᓚ ᐊᕼᔱᒍᓂ ᕿᖅᓂᐊᓂ ᐊᕼᔱᒍᓂ ᕿᖅᓂᖎᒪᓂ ᐊᑕᐅᕐᐅᑎᐅᓚᒍ ᓄᐊᕿᕐᐊᖅ ᑐᕼᕼᖅᐋᐅᑲᐅᖅᑯᒥᐳᓕᔱᖚᓂᕼᐅᒃᑐᖚᓂ ᕿᒼᕿᖅᕿᖅᑯᐅᓚᖚᑲᐅᓂᕼᐅᖚ ᕿᒼᒼᐅᐊᓂᑐᑐᐊᖅᑎᐊᖅ ᕿᒼᕿᖅᕿᖅᑯᖎᖅᑯᐅᖅᑯᒥᐳᓚᕿᕼᖚᖚ. ᐅᖅᑲᐳᕿᖎᖁᕿᖚᑕᒼᒼ ᐊᐅᖅᖚᕐᓂᖅᑎᐅᖁ ᕿᒼᕿᖅᕿᖅᑯᖎᐳᕿᖎᑲᐅᖅᓂᖚ. ᐊᒼᐅᓚᐃᑲ ᐊᑕᐅᕐᑐᐊᖚ ᑕᒪᓕ ᐅᐃᐅᖚᓂᑕ ᕿᒼᕿᖅᕿᖅᑯᖎᐱᖁᐅᕐᓂᖚᓗᑐᐊᖅ. ᔅᖁᐅᐃᑕᕐᐅᖅᑯᒥᐳᖎᖚᖚ ᑕᒪᒪᐊᖅᓗᒼᖁ ᕿᑲᕿᖅᑐᐱᑲᐅᖅᓂᖚᒼᖁ ᐃᑲᕿᖅᑕᐅᔪᒼᕿᖅᑯᖁᖎᓂᕐᖚᖁᖎᒃ. ᖅᑯᖚᓂᕇᒃ, ᐃᓯᐅᐸᑕᖅ.

ᐃᓯᐅᐸᑕᖅ (ᑐᕼᐱᑎᒍᔅ): ᖅᑯᖚᓂᕇᒃ, ᒥᕼ ᑭᓇ ᐸᐃᖕᔅ. ᐊᐱᖅᑯᑎᕼᕼᖅᕼᐊᐳᖁᓂᕼᖁᖚ ᑖᑯᐊ ᒪᑐᐃᖅᔪᑎᑎᖅᖁᐳᕼᐅᕼᕿ ᐅᖅᑲᐳᕼᕼᖚᐃᑕ. ᐊᒼᐅᐳᕼᕿ ᑖᑯᐊ ᐊᕼᔱᒍᑕᕿᕼᐅᐳᖀ ᐅᓂᖅᑲᓚᐊᕼᓂᖀᑕ ᐊᐱᖅᕼᕐᑎᐳᕼᕿᑲᐅᑎᒧᖚᐊᖚᕐᓯᕐᕼᐳᕿᑲᖚᐳᖀ. ᐊᐱᖅᔪᖎᕐᕼᓄᕐᖚ? ᒥᕼ ᕈᐱᖁ.

ᕈᐱᖁ (ᑐᕼᐱᑎᒍᔅ): ᖅᑯᖚᓂᕇᒃ, ᐃᓯᐅᐸᑕᖅ. ᑐᕿᕼᕼᕼᓂᕼᕿ ᒥᕼ ᐸᐃᖕᔅ ᐅᕐᒡᒥ ᖄᓚᕐᓂᕐᖁᓂᕿᕿᒃ. ᑖᑯᐊ ᓄᐊᓚᐃᖅᑐᕿᖎᕐᑎᕿᕼᖁ ᒪᑐᐃᖅᔪᑎᕿᕼᖁ ᐃᓗᑐᓗᕼᖁᕼᕿᖁᕐᐱᖚᕼᑲᐅᖅᑯᖀ ᕿᐅᕼᕼᕼᑲᐅᖁᑕᕼᖁ ᐊᐱᖅᑯᑎᕼᕐᕼᕼᑕᒼᕼᔪᕼᑦᑲᐅᑲᖁ ᕿᕐᐊᓂ ᐊᐱᓂᓕᕐᖅᕼᕼᓄᕼᖁᐊᖚᖚᕿᖚᒥᒪ ᐅᖅᖂᖅᖀᐳᕐᕼᖁ ᒪᕼᐊᑲᑲᐅᕼᑎᕼᕿᕼᖀᖁᖎ.

During your previous appearance before the Standing Committee on Oversight of Government Operations and Public Accounts, you indicated that you would like to see the *Access to Information and Protection of Privacy Act* amended to "make it more responsive to today's business realities" and to address issues such as a "duty to record."

Can you indicate any additional and specific amendments that you would recommend be made to the *Access to Information and Protection of Privacy Act*? Thank you, Madam Chairperson.

Chairperson: Thank you, Mr. Rumbolt. Ms. Keenan Bengts.

Ms. Keenan Bengts: Thank you, Madam Chairperson. There are a lot. Not all of them are huge amendments. Many of them are to fix things like timelines. A lot of them are technical. Some of the things I would like to see changed is I'd like to see amendments that give the Act a little more oomph, shall we say. I'd like to see provisions that would make the recommendations made by the Information and Privacy Commissioner something that has to be addressed in one way or another. Right now I make recommendations and they're accepted most of the time, but at that point I don't know whether they're ever followed through. I would like to see something that gives back to government the accountability to address the recommendations made.

How one does that, I don't know. Require the public bodies to report back to the Information and Protection of Privacy Commissioner once the recommendations have been completed; perhaps.

ᑕᐃᒪ ᑲᑎᒪᐅᖃᑦᑕᕐᓗ ᓯ�detanᓇᖅᑎᓪᓗᑎᒃ ᒫᓕᒐᑉᑲ ᓱᐳᓕᖅᑎᒃᖏᓗ ᒪᕐᒃᐱᕆᖅᑭᑎᒃᖏᓗ ᐱᓯᖃᑦᑕᖏᓐᖔᓄᑦ ᑕᑐᓕᓂᐅᖅᖃᕐᓗᒋᐊᓄᒐᕐᓗᒋᖅ Ċᖅᑕᐊ ᑐᖕᐊᓗᔾᐊᑎᓕᓄᓂᐅᕐᓗᒋᑦ ᒃᐊᖐᖃᑕᖃᕐᑎᓂᐅᖅᓕᔾᐄᖐᓗ ᓚᑕᓗᖅ ᐊᖅᑭᕆᐊᖅᑖᑎᖅᓗᔾᓐᐃᖅ "ᓯᑎᐊᑎᖅᑎᓂᑎᕇᖁᐊᓂᖃᑭᐊᔾᐊᖅᑕᓄᐊᖅᓗᓪᑕ ᐅᑕᓄᒥ ᓱᖅᑭᐳᓕᖅᑐᖃᑦ ᐊᓕᒧᓂᒃᔪᖓᓄᖅ" ᐊᒻᓗ ᒪᒃᐊ ᓂᖅᓗ "ᐊᕝᓘᖅᑎᓕᖃᕆᖅᓴᖃᐊᐃᖅᓂᕐ."

ᓇᓄᐃᖐᔾᐊᓕᖐᔾᖃᑭᑎᖅᑕᕐᑎᖅᐊᖅᓕᖅ ᖅᑭᖃᖐᖃᖐᖅᓕ ᐊᖅᑭᕆᐊᒎᑎᔾᖃᑭᑎᖃᖃᖐᖅᑕᒃ ᓯᖅᑭᖁᔪᓪᑲᓕᖁᖐᖅᑭᖅᐃᖅ Ċᔾᒧᖅᑭᖁᖐ ᒪᓕᒐᑉᓕᑦ? ᖅᖁᖁᑎᖃᖐᖃᕐᖅᓐ, ᐃᖐᑭᖐᐳᐋᖅ.

ᐃᖐᑭᖐᐳᐋᖐᖅ (ᑐᖅᐳᖐᑎᒐᒃ): ᖅᖁᖁᖃᖐᖅᐳ, ᒥᖐᑕ ᕼᐃ>ᑎᖅ. ᒥᖐ ᐳᖃᖃ ᐸᐃᖃᒃᕼ.

ᐳᖃᖃ ᐸᐃᖃᒃᕼ (ᑐᖅᐳᖐᑎᒐᒃ): ᖅᖁᖁᖃᖐᖅᐳ, ᐃᖐᑭᖐᐳᐋᖅ. ᐊᒥᕼᔪᖐᖅᐊᖅ ᐳᖄᖅᑐᐃᖐᑦ. ᑭᔾᐊᖓ ᐃᓂᖃᖃᓗᖃᖐᖅᑎᖐᖅ ᐊᕼᖐᔾᐊᖃᖃᔾᐊᖃᖐᖅᑐᐃᖐᑦ ᐊᖅᑭᕆᐊᖅᖃᐅᐃᖐᑦᕼ.

ᐃᖃᖐᖅᑎᖐᖅ ᖃᕼᖁᒃᔮᖅᑎᖃᐊᖅᑭᖃᖃᐳᖐᖅᖃᖐ ᐊᖅᑭᕆᐊᐃᖅᑎᔾᐅᖅᐳᖐᖅᑐᐃᖐᖃᖃᖓᕼᖅᖃᖐᖅᖃᖐᖃᒃ. ᖃᕼᐳᖅ ᖐᖐᖃᖐᖅᑭᖐᐳᖃᖅᑎᖃᖐᖅᑭᖃᖃᖐᖃᖐ ᐅᖐᑭᖅᖃᖃᖐᖅᕼᖐᖐᖐᖐ ᐊᖃᖐᖃᖃᖐᖃᖃᖐᖅᐸᖅᓗᐊᖃᖐᖅᖃᖐᖃ. ᑕᔾᖁᖅᖃᖐᔾᖃᖃᖐᖃᖃᔾᖐᖃᖐ ᐊᖅᑭᕆᐊᔾᖅᑭᖐᐳᔾᖐᖅ Ċᔾᖃᖐ ᒪᓕᒐᑉᖅ ᐃᓕᐊᐅᔾᐊᖃᖃᖐᖐᔾᖅᐳ ᑕᕼᖅᖐᖃ ᐃᓂᖐᖃᕼᐅᖅᑐᖐᖐᔾᖃᖅᐳᖃᖐ ᖐᖐᖅᖃᕼᖅᑎᖃᔾᐃᖃᖐᖃᖐᖃᖐᖅᖐᐊ ᐊᔾᖅᖃᖐᔾᖃᖐᖐᖐᖃᖃᖐᖐᖅᑐᐃᖐᑦ ᑲᒥᔾᖃᐳᔾᐃᖐᖃ, ᐅᖃᕼᖐᖐᖅᑐᒃ, ᓯᑎᐊᖅᖃᐳᑎᐊᖅᖃᖐᖐᖃᖐᖃᕼ. ᓕᖃᖃᖐ ᐊᑐᔾᖐᖐᖃᖐᖅᑐᐃᖐᖃᖃᖃᖅᖃᖐᖃᖅᖐᖃᖅ, ᐊᖐᖐᖅᖃᖐᖐᖃᖃᖐᐳᔾᖅᖃᖐᖃᐊᖐᖅᖐᖐᐊᖅ. ᑭᔾᐊᖃᖐ ᑕᐃᖃᖐ ᖃᖅᐳᐊᖃᖐᖃᖐᖃᑐᖐᖐᖐᖃ ᑭᖃᖐᖃᖐᖅᑎᔾᐊᖅᑎᖃᖅᓗ ᖃᖐᖐᖅᖃᖐᖃᖃᖅᐊᖐᖃᖐᖃᖅᑎᖐᖃᖐᖐᖃᕼᐃᖐᑕ. ᑕᔾᖁᖅᖃᖐᔾᖃᖃᖐᖃᔾᖐᑦᖃᖐᔾᖃᖅᐊᖐᖃ ᑕᐃᒪ ᒪᖃᒃᐳᑉ ᓯᑎᐊᔾᖃᖐᖐᔾᖃᖃᖐᖅᑕᔾᐃᔾᐊᖃᖐᖐᖃᖐᖐᖃᔾᐃᖃᖐ ᐊᑐᔾᖐᖐᖐᖐᔾᐊ Ċᔾᖃᖐ ᓯᑎᐊᖅᖃᖐᖃᖅᖐᔾᔾᐊ.

ᖃᖐᖅᖐᖅᐳᖅᖃᖅ ᑕᒪᖃᐊ ᓴᖅᖐᕼᐃᖐᖅᐳᖅ, ᖃᖐᐳᔾᖐᖃᖐᖅᑎᒦᖐᖐᑕ. ᑕᐃᔾᖐᖅᖐ ᒪᓕᒐᑉᖅᐊ ᐃᓕᖃᓴᖃᖅᑎᖐ ᐅᖐᖃᖐᖃᖐᖅᖃᐃᖐᐊᑐᖐᖃᑐᖐᖐᔾᐊ ᑐᖅᐳᖐᑎᖃᖃᖐᖐᖐᖐᑕ ᑲᖃᖐᖃᖅᑐᖐᖐᖐᔾᖃᖐᖐᖐᖐᖐ ᑲᒥᔾᐳᖐᔾᖃᖐᖐᑕᔾᐃᑕ

ᓯᖃᖐᖅᐳᖐᔾᖐᖅᐳᖅᐃᖐᖃᖐᖃᖐᖐᖐᖐᖃᑕ.

24

Newfoundland and Labrador recently did a complete review of their Act and they now have one of, I would say, the most progressive Acts in the country and perhaps in the world. One of the issues that we always deal with in Canada is: should be Information and Privacy Commissioner have order power? "You must do this." I wouldn't be making recommendations. I'd be making orders saying, "public body 'A', you must do this." Or whether the recommendation powers should remain. Should the office remain as an ombudsman kind of approach?

In Newfoundland, what they've done is they've maintained the ombudsman kind of role and the Information and Privacy Commissioner still only makes recommendations, but now, if the public body doesn't want to accept those recommendations, they have to go to court and ask the court to allow them to disregard the recommendations. It's pretty close to being an order power but there is still some legal room for government.

Those sorts of things are things that I think we need to look at in terms of changing the approach and making the Act more obvious, more visible, more "oh, we have to comply". Right now I think everyone knows there's a new *Access to Information and Protection of Privacy Act* but I'm not sure a lot of people really understand what it says, or what it means, or why it's there. So those are the sorts of recommendations and I'm in the process of drafting a rather lengthy, careful what you ask for when you ask for when you ask for a comprehensive review report. It'll probably be about 60 pages long with all sorts of

ᑕᐃᒪ ᐱᑕᖅᑲᕝᒌᒐᓕᑦ ᓂᐅᕙᖑᓯᑖ-ᓖᐳᐊᑐᐊᕆ ᖅᒥᖅᐸᖃᑦᑕᑎᐊᑐᖅᒐᒪᓕᑦ ᑕᐱᓚᐃᑐᑎᓂᓯᕗᑎᖅᖀᓂᖅ. ᓕᖅᑯᐊ ᓯᖪᒍᐊᖅᒃᒥᖀᓇᖅᓛᑲᓂᐅᑦᐊᓯᑕ ᑲᓇᑕᒥ ᒪᒥᓴᓛᒥᒃ, ᓯᒪᓚᖅᐊᓴᓕᒌᖅᑭᐃ. ᐃᓯᐅᖨᒃ ᑦᐁᓱ ᐊᓯᒦᓛᓴᖅᐅᖅ ᐱᓯᓇᐊᓇ ᓯᓇᐊᖅᐁᖪᒃ ᑲᓇᑕᒥ ᓸᓇᐅᑦ. ᑲᖪᖬᖅᑐᓴᑭᒃᖬᒃ ᓯᒪᐁᐁ ᖁᖪᒃᖬᖅᑉᒪᓕᑦᒥᒃᖪᒃᓛᓕᒃᑐᖪᒃᖪᒃᓇ ᐃᓱᓛᖬ. ᑕᐃᒪᐁᖅᒪᓕᑦ, ᐁᓕᒃ ᓚᕿᓕᑯᑦ ᐱᓯᓇᐊᓗᐊᓴ ᐅᖅᐅᑎᑦᓇᒍᐊᖅᓗᖅᓚ, "ᐃᓕᐃᓯᖬᖅ, ᐃᓕᐊᑐᐅᓯᖬᖅ." ᐅᖅᖪᓴᐃᓂᑦ ᐊᑐᓴᖬᑐᐅᖅᖩᑕᐃᐊᐁᐅᖪᖅᐅᓴᓗᖬᒃ ᐱᑯᑐᑐᖪᖬᐅᓯᓂᒃ ᐅᖅᖬᐊᑐᐁᐊᐅᐅᓗᖬᒃ ᐱᑯᑕᖅᑲᐅᓯᖬᒃᐅᖬᓂᒃ ᐅᖅᖬᐊᑐᐁᐊᐅᐅᓗᒃ.

ᑕᐅᐊᓂᓂ ᓂᐅᕙᖑᓖᒥ ᐃᓕᐊᓯᒪᒥᓕᑦ ᑕᐁᒃ ᐅᖅᖬᐊᖩᐅᖬᒃᖬᖬᒃ ᐱᑯᑐᖅᑕᐅᓯᓕᓯᖬᓂᖬᒃ ᐃᖅᖬᓇᐃᖬᖅᑎᖬᑲᐊᖪᓕᓗᐊᖅᑐᑎᖬ ᐊᓗᖬ ᐊᑐᓴᖬᑐᖬᑐᐁᐊᓇᖪᐊᖪᓗᐊᓂᖬᓯᓂᖬᖬᑕᐅᖬ. ᑭᓯᐊᓂ ᓚᕿᓕᑯᑦ ᐱᓯᓇᐃᖬᖬ ᐊᑐᓴᖬᑐᖬᑲᐊᖬᓂᖬᒃ ᓚᖬᖩᖬᒪᖬᖪᐊᕼ ᐊᖬᑭᖬᖩᐊᒃᖬᒃᒃᑕᑲᑐᖪᖬ. ᐊᖬᑭᖬᖩᐊᒃᖬᖩᑎᖬ ᑭᓯᐊᓂ ᓚᓇᐁᓂᑎᖬᒍᐊᖅᖬ. ᑕᐃᒃ ᐊᖬᒃᖬᖬᓂᖬᒃᓴᖬᐊᖬᓚᖬᒃ ᓸᓯᖬᐊᖬᓂ ᐹᖬᒃ ᐱᖬᑯᖬᖬᖬᒍᐊᖬᓕᖬ ᑕᐃᒪᐃᐊᖬᖩᓂᖬ. ᐊᖬᑭᖬᖩᐊᖬᒃᖬᖬᓂᖬᒃᖬ ᑭᓯᐊᓂ ᖅᒥᖬᖩᐊᖬᓇᖬᖬᑲᖪ ᑕᐃᒪᐃᖬᑯᖬᓱᓕᖬᖩᖬᒃᑕᐅᖬ ᓚᕿᓕᑯᑦ ᐱᓯᓇᖬᖬ ᓚᓇᖩᓕᖬᖬᒃᑕᐅᐊᖬᒃᖬᒃᖬᖬᓂᖬᒃ ᓱᑕᐅᖪᖬᖬᓯᓗᖬᖬ.

ᐅᖅᖬᓴᖬᖬᒥ ᓚᓚᖬ ᑭᖬᖬ ᓂᖬᑮᖬᖬᐁᓯᖬᐊᖬᓴᖬᖬᓴᖬᖬᐅᖬ ᖅᖬᖬᖬᒃᒥᖬᐅᑕᖬᖬᕾᖬ ᑕᐃᖬᑯᖬᖬ ᓚᕿᓕᖬᑯᖬᖬ ᐱᓯᓇᖬᖬᖬ ᐅᖬᖬᖩᖬᓇᖬᖬᖬᖬᖬᑎᖬᑕᐅᖬᖬ, "ᐅᖬᖬ ᓚᓇᖬᑕᖬᐊᖬᓴᖬᖬᑐᖬ" ᑕᐃᖬᖬ. ᑐᖬᐁᖬᖬᐅᖬᖬᖬᖬᑎᖬᐊᖬᖬᒫᖬᖬ ᖀᖬᑯᖬᓖᖬᖬᖬᖬ, ᓯᓚᖬᖬᖬ ᓯᖬᖬᖬᖬᖬᖬᓕᖬᖬᖬᖬ ᑐᖬᐁᖬᖬᐅᖬᖬᖬᖬᑎᖬᐊᖬᖬᒫᖬᖬᖬ. ᓘᖬᖬᐁᖬᖬ ᓴᖬᖬᖬᖬᖬᐊᖬᖬᒍᖬᖬᖬᖬᖬᖬᒃᖬᖬᓚᖬ ᐁᖬᖬᖬ ᐱᖬᒍᖬᖬᖬᖬᑕᖬᖬᐊᖬᓂᖬᖬᖬ, ᑭᖬᖬᖬᖬᓂ ᖅᖬᖬᖬᖬᑎᖬᖬᓴᖬᖬᑕᖬᓴᖬᖬᒃᖬᖬᖪᖬᒫᖬᖬᓕᖬᖬᖬ ᑐᖬᖬᖬᓴᖬᖬᓚᖬᖬᖅᖬᑕᖬᐊᖬᑎᖬᓚᖬᖬᖩᖬᖬᖬᖬ 60-ᓂᖬ ᓚᖬᐱᖬᓕᖬᖬᖩᖬᖬᖬᖬᖬᓕᖬᖬ ᐊᖬᑐᖬᖬᖬᖬᖬᖬᖬᒃᖬᖬᖬᖬᖬᖬ ᐃᖬᖬᖬᖬᖬᒃᖬᖬᓂ. ᑕᐃᖬᖬ ᑦᐁᖬ ᖅᖬᖬᖩᐊᖬᖬᖬᖬᑦᖬᖬ ᐃᖬᖬᖬᖬᖬᖬ ᖅᖬᖬᖩᐊᖬᖬᖬᖬᖬᖬᓯᖬᖬᖬᖬᖬᑐᖬ

ᐊᓗᖬ ᒥᖬᖬᖬᑕᖬᖬᖬᖬᓴᖬᖬᓂᖬ ᐱᓯᓇᖬᖬᖬᖩᖬᖬᖅᖬᖬᓗᑕ.

recommendations, but those are the kinds of things that we're looking at in terms of the big picture. A lot of my recommendations are smaller items though. Thank you.

Chairperson: Thank you, Ms. Keenan Bengts. Mr. Rumbolt.

Mr. Rumbolt: Thank you, Madam Chairperson. During your response to my questions, you mentioned the *Newfoundland and Labrador Access to Information and Privacy Act* and that was going to be my next question which is around that topic. It came into force, I think, in June of 2015, and when you appeared before us you stated that you would be observing how that works out for Newfoundland and Labrador. Since that time, have you ever observed any specific advantages or challenges that Newfoundland and Labrador has had to face since it began implementing its new amendment to the Privacy Act? Thank you, Madam Chairperson.

Chairperson: Thank you, Mr. Rumbolt. Ms. Keenan Bengts.

Ms. Keenan Bengts: Thank you, Madam Chairperson. My colleague, or my former colleague, because he retired this summer, but the Information and Privacy Commissioner from Newfoundland and Labrador loves the Act. He says it's created challenges for his office, mostly in terms of very short timelines to respond to requests from the public.

In Newfoundland and Labrador, before this legislation, the Information and Privacy Commissioner had the authority to bring the government to court over refusals to accept recommendations. I don't have that authority. I've never done

ᖅᑯᔭᖕᒐᒻᖅ.

ᐃᑳᕈᐊᐳᑦᖅ (ᑐᖏᕈᑎᒃ): ᖅᑯᔭᖕᒐᒻᖅ, ᒥᔅ ᕿᓇᖕ ᐸᐃᖕᒃ. ᒥᖕᑕ ᕐᒥᔾᑦ.

ᕐᒥᔾᑦ (ᑐᖏᕈᑦ): ᖅᑯᔭᖕᒐᒻᖅ, ᐃᑳᕈᐳᒻᖅ. ᑭᐳᑎᓐᓴᑎᒃ ᐅᖅᐳᕐᖅᕐᓚᑦᕐ� ᓂᐅᕐᖃᒨᓚ-ᓴᑕᑐᖅᖑᑖᒨ ᑲᕝᒪᓇᖅᑐᓛᓂᒎᓛᑦ ᒪᓚᒐᖕᓚᓂᒃ ᐱᓚᑎᕈᔨᕐᖅᐅᖅᑦᖕᓚᓂᒃ ᐊᐱᖅᑯᑎᓚᓕᒃᐳᕈᖅᕐᒍᒃᑦ. ᖓᓂ 2015-ᒥ ᐊᑐᖅᖕᑎᑖᐳᑦᐳᖅᔨᒑᖅ, ᑖᐃᒃᑲᐊ ᐊᑐᑦᖕᑎᑖᑎᓐᒎᕐᑦ ᐅᖅᑲᐳᖅᔨᔾᓕᐊᓴ ᑖᒃᑲᐊ ᖅᑲᐳᖕᓕᒃᕐᓂᐊᕕᖃᕐᓕᓯᖅ. ᖅᑲᐳᖕᓕᒃᕐᐊᖅᖕᒥᐊᒨ ᖅᒥᖅ ᐱᐅᓂᖅᖕᖃᐅᑎᒃᖅᐃᓴᑦ ᑖᐳᐊᓂ ᓂᐅᕐᖃᓂᑦᑦᐳᒃ ᐊᐳᓕᑦᖕᕐᒃ ᓂᑕᒻᖅ ᐊᖅᔾᖅᐊᓂᑯᐳᖅᕐᑦᒎᕐᑦ ᑲᕝᒪᓇᖅᑐᓂᒎᓛᑦ ᒪᓚᒐᖕᓚᓂᖅ? ᖅᑯᔭᖕᒐᒻᖅ, ᐃᑳᕈᐳᒻᖅ.

ᐃᑳᕈᐊᐳᑦᖅ (ᑐᖏᕈᑎᒃ): ᖅᑯᔭᖕᒐᒻᖅ, ᒥᖕᑕ ᕐᒥᔾᑦ. ᒥᖕ ᖄᓇᒻ-ᐸᐃᖕᒃ.

ᖄᓇᒻ ᐸᐃᖕᒃᖕ (ᑐᖏᕈᑎᒃ): ᖅᑯᔭᖕᒐᒻᖅ, ᐃᑳᕈᐳᒻᖅ. ᐃᖅᑲᓇᐃᖅᖃᑎᒌᖅᕐᑕᑦᔭᑦ ᓄᖅᖃᖕᓚᑕᖅᖕᓚᓂᒄᒃ ᐃᖃᐳᓂᒻᓂᒃ ᓄᖅᖃᑕᐳᖅᖕᒐᑦ ᒪᖃ ᐊᐳᔾᖅ. ᑲᕝᒪᓇᖅᑐᓂᒎᓛᑦ ᑖᒐ ᑭᕆᔭᐅᔾᖅ, ᑲᕆᔭᐳᓚᐳᖅᖕᑐᖅ ᐱᐳᖕᖅᑐᐊᖕᕐᐋ�xᓘᒃ ᑖᕐᒥᖕᓗ. ᐊᑦᓚᖕᖕᓗᓐᑦ ᐱᓯᓐᐊᖅᑐᐊᕐᒄᐳᖃᓘᐊᖅᖕ ᑭᔾᐊᓇ ᐱᐳᖕᓗᖕᖓᔾᖕ ᐊᒐᒻ ᖅᕇᒥᑦᒃᖅ ᐱᓱᓐᐊᖅᑫᖅᑕᑦᖅᑐᒄᑦ ᑖᐳᒃᑖ ᐊᕆᒪᑐᕐᕐᐳᔭᐊᑦ. ᑐᕐᖅᕟᖃᐳᐳᐊᒻᓚᑕ ᖅᑲᐳᖅᕐᖅᑯᕐᔾᐳᒄ ᐱᓱᓐᖕᕐᖓᓇᖓᓛᐳᖅᖕᓴᑕᐊᕐᖕᑐᐊᒻᔾᖕ.

ᓂᐅᕐᖃᒨᓂᒻᖅ ᓘᖅᑐᐊᒐᑦ ᒪᓚᒐᖕᓚ ᐊᕆᔾᖅᑕᐳᐳᖅᖕᑎᖃᒎ. ᑖᒃᑖ ᑐᖅᐳᑎᕐᑯᑎᓂᒻᖅᑦᒄ ᑲᕝᒪᓇᖅᑐᓂᒎᓛᑦᒎ ᑲᕆᔭᐳᔾᐊᑦ ᐊᕆᓚᖅᒄᑎᕐᖅᕐᐸᑐᐳᖅᑐᐊᑦ ᑕᖅᓚᒃᑯᑖᓂᒃ

ᐃᖅᑲᖅᑐᐃᕚᒫᔾᖅᑦᖕᑎᑎᓂᐊᖅᑕᒻᔾᖕᒐᓂᖅ

that, but they were doing it on a constant basis. They probably had, at any point in time, three or four court cases going against the government. They haven't had one since the new legislation came into effect.	�L⊂ᕐᐧᓴᑭᐦᖕᑎᓚᐃᒡᒪᒥᐸ ⊲ᒍᒡᖀᓯ⊲ᕐᓴᓂᐧ. Ĺᵃᓗᖕ ᐱᕪᒐᐅᑎᖀᑌᖕᒍᕐᒡ ᒡᑲ ᑕᐃᒐᐃᒍᓂᖕ. ᑕᐃᒐᓗᐅᖀᒥᒐᕐᑎᒍᖕᒪ. ᑕᐃᖃᐡᒐᓂ ᑕᐃᒐᓵᖕᖃᐧᒍᕿ, ᑕᐃᒐᒥᐧᖀᐱᐃᖕᖃᕪᒍᕿ. ᖀᖕᓚᒍᐃᖕᒐᑎ⊲ᐧᖃ ᐱᖕᕝᓯᖕ ᑎᖂᓂᒡᔨᖕᕿ ᐃᖀᐦᒍᐃᐧᓕᒥᑎᑎᖀᒡᖃᕪᒍᕿᖕ ᑕᐧᔦᐃᖕᕿ.
The government would rather accept the recommendations apparently than to challenge them in court. From a privacy advocate point of view, from an access to information advocate's point of view, it's worked out really well from all reports that I've received. Thank you.	Ćᵃᓗ ᒪᒥᖃᓱᐅᖃᑎᖕᒍᒡ ᓄᕿᖕ ᖀᐅᖕᖀᐧᕥᒐᐅᖕᒍᖕ ᓚᕃᒪᕣᑯ ᑲᕆᕣᐅᕖ ⊲ᒍᒡᖀᓯ⊲ᕐᓴᕿ ᒪᒥᖕᖕᕪᓯᖕᖀᓚᓚᐃᖀᖃᒐᑎᖀᒍᕿ. ᑕᒪᕣᐧᖀ ᐸᐃᕕᕙ ᒡᐧᔦᐃᖃᖀᓂᕪᕿ ᐱᕪᒐᑎᒡᒍᕿ ᐃᑲᖃᑎᖀᖕᕪᒪᑌᒥᓂᒐᖀᖀᒡ ᑕᕪᖐᖕ, ᑕᒡᕪᖃᓂᖀᖀᖀᖀᓚᕿ. ᖀᒡᕪᖕᖀᖃ.
Chairperson: Thank you, Ms. Keenan Bengts. Mr. Rumbolt.	**ᐃᑲᕪᖀᐅᑕᖃ** (ᒍᖠᐱᑎᒍᕿ): ᖀᒡᕪᖕᖀᖃ, ᒥᕪ Ŕᖃᵃ ᐸᐸᖃᖃ. ᒥᕪᑕ ᖀᕪᖢᕿ.
Mr. Rumbolt: Thank you, Madam Chairperson. On page 6 of your 2015-16 annual report, you indicated that your goal is to have completed a "…set of comprehensive and specific recommendations for possible amendments to the *Access to Information and Protection of Privacy Act*" in the 2016-17 fiscal year. Can you provide an updated timeline by which you plan to present your specific recommendations to the Legislative Assembly? Thank you, Madam Chairperson.	**ᖀᕪᖢᕿ** (ᒍᖠᐱᑎᒍᕿ): ᖀᒡᕪᖕᖀᖃ, ᐃᑲᕪᖀᐅᑕᖃᖃ. ᒪᐸᐱᖃᓗᖕᒐ 6-ᖕᓗᕿ 2015-16 ⊲ᕍᒍᑕᒪᖕᕥᐧᕥᖃ ᐅᕕᖕᕙᖀᐧᒐᕿᖕᖕᓂᖕ ᓗᖃᖀᐃᖀᒡᖕᕥᖢᕿᖕ ⊲ᒍᒡᖀᓯ⊲ᖕ ⊲ᖕᕑᕩᕕᒍᑎᖕᖕᕙᐅᕪᕥᕙᖀᓚᐧᔦᓯ ᒪᒥᕿᓚᒍ ⊲ᖕᕪᖕᑎᓂ⊲ᕿᕿᕪᖚ. ᑕᕪᕖᓂ 2016-17 ⊲ᕍᒍᕿᖕᓗᖕ, ᖀᖕᓚᕣᒡ ᐱᕪᖈᒐᖀᖃᕕᕿᖕᖃᕪᐱᓂ ᖃᖃᐧᒍᖃᐸᒍᒪᖀᖀᒍᖕ? ᖀᒡᕪᖕᖀᖃ, ᐃᑲᕪᖀᐅᑕᖃᖃ.
Chairperson: Thank you, Mr. Rumbolt. Ms. Keenan Bengts.	**ᐃᑲᕪᖀᐅᑕᖃ** (ᒍᖠᐱᑎᒍᕿ): ᖀᒡᕪᖕᖀᖃ, ᒥᕪᑕ ᖀᕪᖢᕿ. ᒥᕪ Ŕᖃᵃ ᐸᐸᖃᖃ.
Ms. Keenan Bengts: Thank you, Madam Chairperson. As I say, my goal is to have everything done by the end of this calendar year, by the end of December. I don't want to say that I will have it done by then simply because I know what's on my desk, and I don't want to commit to something that I may or may not be able to comply with, but that is my goal. By the end of December I hope to have all of that completed. Thank you.	**Ŕᖃᵃ ᐸᐸᖃᖃ** (ᒍᖠᐱᑎᒍᕿ): ᖀᒡᕪᖕᖀᖃ, ᐃᑲᕪᖀᐅᑕᖃᖃ. ᐅᖀᖕᖀᖃᐅᓂᒪᐃᕿᖕ ᐅᖀᖕᖃᕿᖀᕙᐃᕿᖕ ᒍᕥᓚᓂᕣᑯ ᐱᕪᖈᖃᒪᕿᒍᕿ ᐅᖃ ᐃᕪᖕᖕᐅᖀᑎᖕᒐᒍ ᐅᕿᒍᖀᕪᐅᑎᕿ ⊲ᕍᒍᖕᓚ, ᑎᕪᐱᖢ ᐃᕪᖕᖃ. ᐱᕪᖈᕿᕿᖕᖕᓂᖀᕿᖀᒍᕿ ᐅᖀᖀᐧᖀᖢᖕᕥᐧᓂᕪᖀᓚ ᕿᕪᖀᖕ ᖀᐅᕪᓚᓚᕣᕿ ᐱᕪᖕᖕᕕᓚᕣᕿ ᐅᖕᖃᓚ ᕥᖕᕣᕪᖚ. ᐱᕪᖈᕿᖕᖀ⊂ᓂᒡᕿᖕ ᐱᕪᖈᕿᖃᕐᒍᐃᖕᖃᓚ⊲⊂ᐧᓂᔦᖕᕿ ᐅᖀᖕᖕᓂᕿᖃᕐᒍ⊲ᖕᒪᖀᖀᖕᖃᓚᐃᒡᖕ, ᕿᕪᖀᖕ ᒍᕥᓚᓚᕪᕿᖃᕣᑕ ᑎᕪᐱᖢ Ćᵃᓗ ᐅ⊂ᒍᕪᕪᐅᑎ
	ᓄᖀᓚᐅᖀᓯᓂᖕᓚᕿ ᐱᕪᖈᕙᕿᖀᕪᒍᕿ. ᖀᒡᕪᖕᖀᖃ.

Chairperson: Thank you, Ms. Keenan Bengts. Mr. Rumbolt.

Mr. Rumbolt: Thank you, Madam Chairperson. On page 11 of your report, you describe the process by which your office may investigate privacy complaints. You indicated that "…the Minister or CEO of the public agency involved must respond to the recommendations made by the Information and Privacy Commissioner in privacy breach matters. In these cases, however, the Minister or CEO has 90 days to respond, and there is no right of appeal from the decision made. In your view, should a complainant have the right to appeal a public agency decision in a privacy breech matter? Thank you, Madam Chairperson.

Chairperson: Thank you, Mr. Rumbolt. Interesting question. Ms. Keenan Bengts.

Ms. Keenan Bengts: Thank you, Madam Chairperson. It's one those things that I've actually thought quite a bit about. My recommendations on access matters are fairly black and white. You should disclose this; you shouldn't disclose that. It's not a matter of policy or anything else.

Many of the recommendations I make on the privacy side of things really involve changing policies and changing practices and changing generally the way people do things. It's a much bigger ask than following a "disclose or don't disclose" a certain recommendation.

Should there be a right of appeal? It's hard to say. I would like to see a way for an individual to follow up on a decision that's made on a privacy issue, but I

ᐃ�a/ᓇᐅᑕ (ᒍᔾᐱᎦ): ᖁᔭᓐᓇᒦ, ᒥ ᑭᓇ ᐸᐃᖁᔅ. ᒥᔅ ᖅᐳᐊ.

ᖅᐳᐊ (ᒍᔾᐱᎦ): ᖁᔭᓐᓇᒦ, ᐃᑲᖃᐅᑦ. ᒪᑉᐸᑕᓕᖏ 11-ᒻᒍ ᐅᓂ�]ᑲᑕᐊᒥᓂ]ᓂ ᐅᖅᐅᒥᖅᖓᕋᑦ ᐊᒻᓇᖏ ᖃᐅᔾᖅᑕᒍᒪᖏᑦ ᑲᓇᑕᖅᒍᖅᐊᓂᒥᓂ ᐃᔾᖅᑕᐅᓯᔅᐊᑐᓂ ᐊᒻᓗ ᒥᓂᔅᑕᓂ ᐊᖁᐊᖑᖏᑦ ᑯᓄᖕᓂ ᑖᒪ ᓓᐆᖅ ᐱᓕᕆᐊᖏᑦ ᐅᐃᖅᓕᖅᒥᖓᓕᒥ]ᒃ ᐊᑐᖅᖁᑕᐊᒥᐅᓂᑦ ᑲᒥᓯᐊᐅᖁᑦ ᑲᓇᑕᖅᑐᐊᑦ ᓯᖅᑕᐅᓯᐅᖅᖏᓐᒃ. ᑭᓱᑕᓂ ᑖᐃᒪᐊᑲᓄᐊᖅᖏᒃᒍ ᒥᓂᖅ 90-ᓂ ᐅᒍᑐᓂ ᑭᐅᓕᓇᐊᒐᕼᕼᒃ. ᐊᒻᓗᓯᑕᐅᖅ ᐅᖓᓂ]ᕼᑲᓂᕋᖅᓂ. ᑕᓚᖃᓇ ᐃᖁᓪ ᐊᑐᒥᒥᕼᕼᒍ ᑭᓇᑐᐊᖅ ᐱᑎᐊᖅᑕᐅᓯᕐᖏᖅᑐᖅ ᐅᖓᓂᕼᕼᖅᖃᐊᓇᐊᖅᖏᖅᒍᖅᐅᒃᒃ? ᖅᔾᐊᒦ, ᐃᑲᖃᐅᑦ.

ᐃᑲᖃᐅᑦ (ᒍᔾᐱᎦ): ᖁᔭᓐᓇᒦ, ᒥᔅ ᖅᐳᐊ. ᒥ ᑭᓇ ᐸᐃᖁᔅ.

ᑭᓇ ᐸᐃᖁᔅ (ᒍᔾᐱᎦ): ᖁᔭᓐᓇᒦ, ᐃᑲᖃᐅᑦ. ᐃᓪᓗ ᑖᓇ ᐃᔾᒪᖅᖅᐳᑎᐱᒃᑲᓕᒃᕼᔾᒃ ᐃᓂᒥᖂ. ᐊᑐᖅᖅᑎᐊᓃᒃᕼᒃ ᑕᑯᐅᓇᓇᐅᑎᓂᒃ ᓇ]ᓯᖅᕼᑎᐊᖅᖏᖅᒃ. ᐅᖁᐳᒃ ᓃᒃᑎᐊᑎᕼᒃ, ᐅᖁᐳᒃ ᓃᒃᑎᐊᒃᖏᖅᑕᑎᕼ, ᑖᐃᒍᑎᐊᖁᖅ. ᐊᒍᐊᒃᓂ ᐱᔾᖏᒃᓄᐊᖅᓕᖁᕼᖅᒍᖅ.

ᑲᓇᑕᖅᑐᑎᐱᓂᒥᒻᒥ ᐱᔾᑎᓂᐊ ᐊᖁᖅᖅᒃᒃ ᐱᔾᑎᖅᐱᒃ. ᐊᒍᐊᒃᓂ ᐊᔾᐁᖅᑕᖓᓂ]ᒃ ᐅᖁᓇᖓᒃ ᐊᐅᒃᑎᒍᔾᐅᖅᑕᖅᒍᑐᒃ ᐊᔾᐁᖅᔾᐱᔾᑕᖓᓂᒃ, ᐃᓓᒃ ᐱᓕᓂᖅᕼᑕᖅᒍᑐᓂ ᐊᔾᐊᒍᖁᖅ ᐱᓕᓇ]ᐊᐅᖅᒃᑎᕼᒃᑐᕼᒃ. ᐱᑎᓂᐅᖅᕼᑐᑖᒃ ᐊᐱᓄᓂ ᒪᓛᐅᖁᖅᖏᒃᒍᖅ "ᐅᑯᐊ ᓃᒃᑎᐊᑎᕼ, ᐅᑯᐊ ᓃᒃᑎᐊᒃᖏᖅᑕᑎᕼ" ᓇᕼᓇᑦ ᐊᖁᖅᖅᒃᒃ.

ᐅᖓᓂᓄᒍᐊᓂᕼᒃ ᐱᐁᓇᐅᑎᕼᐱᓕᖅᕼᕼᖓ? ᑕᑯᔾᓪᑕ]ᐊᒃᒃᑯᕼᒃᒃ ᖃᓄᖅᐊᖅ ᑭᓇᑐᐊᖅ ᐊᖅᖁᒍᑕᐅᓂᕼᒃ ᑲᓇᑕᖅᑐᑎᓄᒃᓂ ᑭᒍᓇᐊᒍᑦ

ᖃᐅᔾᐊᒃᒃᓂᐱᒃᑲᖅᖃᓇᑕᖅᒃᑕᒃᒃ.

28

don't think that you want courts necessarily determining some of the policy issues that come out of my recommendations on privacy complaints. I'm not sure if that answers your question.	ᑕᐃᒪᐊᒍᓐᓇᕐᔅᖅ<ᒪᐊᖕᒥᓇᔾᕿ ᑕᐃᒪᐊᒍᔪᐅᔅᖅ<ᒪᐊᖕᒥᓇᔾᕿ ᐊᖅᑲᖅᑐᐃᐊᖕᓄᑦ ᐊᖅᑭᒍᔾᔫᖅᑲᑦᑏᓗ. ᐊᑐᓚᖅᑯᕋᐊᑎᑦᖅᑲᖅ ᑕᐃᒪᓇ ᐃᖅᑲᖅᑐᐃᐊ�super-ᖅᑲᓂᒧᑦ ᐊᖅᑭᖅᑕᐅᖅᑲᓄᖅᑐᐊᔾᑕᑕᖅᑯᑦ. ᑕᒪᖕᓇ ᑕᐃᒪᐊᖅᑰᖅᑕᕐᔭᖕᔪᕐᔭᐅ ᖅᑲᐅᐃᒪᖕᒥᓇᖅᑯ. ᑭᐅᓗᐊᖅᑭᕐᖅᑲᐃ.
Chairperson: Thank you, Ms. Keenan Bengts. We'll go on to the next person. Mr. Joanasie.	**ᐃᖃᓇᐃᔭᐅᑦᖅᑲ** (ᑐᖅ� ᐳᑎᒍᑦ): ᖅᑯᔾᕋᓇᒦᖅ, ᒥᔅ ᑭᓇ <ᐃᖕᑦᔅ. ᖅᑲᔾᕆᑎᐊᒍᔪᐊᖅᑕ ᑭᖀᓐᑦᓗᑦ. ᒦᑦ ᔾᐊᓇᔾ.
Mr. Joanasie (interpretation): Thank you, Madam Chairperson. In its report on the review of your 2014-15 annual report, the Standing Committee recommended that you meet in person with representatives from the Inuit Qaujimajatuqangit Katimajiit… .	**ᔾᐊᓇᔾ**: ᖅᑯᔾᕋᓇᒦᖅ, ᐃᖃᓇᐃᔭᐅᑦᖅᑲ. ᐅᓂᖅᑲᖄᖅᔪᒪᐅᖅᑐᓂᒃ ᖅᑭᒦᖅᕿᖃᖕᑎᑦ ᒃᑎᒦᓇ 2014-15 ᐊᖅᔪᒐᑎᒦᖅᔾᐅᑎᖕᓂᖕᓂ ᒃᑎᓕᔅ ᖄᖅᓂᑦ ᐊᑐᓚᖅᑲ ᔾᕐᐅᑎᓂᐅᕐᔭᓚᖅᑯᕋ ᑕᐃᒃᑐᐊ ᐃᓄᐃᑦ ᖅᑲᐅᐃᒪᔭᑐᖅᑲᖕᓂᓄ ᒃᑎᓕᔭᖕᓂᓂ ᒃᑎᓕᖕᑎᖃᖃᖅ,
I believe the interpreters require some time. Have they caught up? Madam Chairperson, is it okay now?	ᑐᖅᔐᔅ ᐅᑦᖅᑭᖕ ᐅᒻᒥᑯᖅᑲᕆᔾᒪᖄᒃ… ᐅᐅᒐᔾᓕᔾᒋᖅ? ᐃᖃᓇᐃᔭᐅᑦᖅᑲ, ᐅᐅᒻᒧᒋᖕ?
Chairperson (interpretation): Mr. Joanasie, can you please start from the beginning? We didn't have interpreters for a few seconds.	**ᐃᖃᓇᐃᔭᐅᑦᖅᑲ**: ᒦᑦ ᔾᐊᓇᔾ, ᐱᒋᐊᖅᑲᖕᓂᕐᔾᐊᓇᖅᖀᖃᓕᖅ? ᑐᖅᔐᖅᑲᖕᒥᖄᖅᑯᑦᐊᖕᓂᑦᒋᖃ.
Mr. Joanasie (interpretation): Thank you, Madam Chairperson. Let me start from the beginning. In its report on the review of your 2014-15 annual report and your recommendations, the Standing Committee made recommendations that you meet in person with representatives from the Inuit Qaujimajatuqangit Katimajiit "to exchange perspectives with them on issues related to access to information and protection of privacy." On page 6 of your 2015-16 annual report, you indicate that you reached out to the Inuit Qaujimajatuqangit Katimajiit to arrange a meeting.	**ᔾᐊᓇᔾ**: ᖅᑯᔾᕋᓇᒦᖅ, ᐃᖃᓇᐃᔭᐅᑦᖅᑲ. ᐱᒋᐊᖄᖅᐸᑐᓪᓕᐅᐃᐊᔾ. ᑕᐃᒃᑐᐊ ᐅᓂᖅᑲᔾᓂᖕᓂ ᖅᑭᒦᖅᕿᖃᖕᑦᓄᑦ 2014-15 ᒃᑎᒦᓇ ᖃᔭᓇᖅᑐᓚᓂᒋᔅ ᑐᑭᔭᐅᓕᔾᔭᐅᑦᓴᖕᓂᔾᓂ ᐅᓂᖅᑲᖕᒥᖕᓂᑦ 2014-15. ᒃᖅᑯᑦᑲ ᒃᑎᓕᔅᖄᖕᔭᖄᕐᔪᐅᑦ ᐊᑐᓚᖕᔾᐊᑎᓚᖅᑯᕋ ᐃᓄᐃᑦ ᖅᑲᐅᐃᒪᔾᒋᖅᑲᖕᓂᖕᓂ ᒃᑎᓕᖕᓂᖕᓂ ᒃᑎᓕᖅᑎᖃᖅᕿᖃᐃᒧᒍᑦ. ᑕᐃᒃᑐᐊ ᐊᔾᓕᒋᔭᖕᓂᖕᓂ ᐊᒻᒧ ᐱᐃᓗᓇᐅᑦᕿᖕᓂᖕᓂᖕᓂ ᑕᒃᑐᐊ ᒃᖅᑲᔭᓇᖅᑐᐃᑦ ᐅᐣᖅᖁᔾᐅᔾᑦᒡ ᑐᑭᔭᐅᑦᔾᐱᑦ ᒦᖄᔾᓄᑦ ᐊᒻᒧ ᐃᖅᑦ ᐅᓂᖅᑲᓂᖕᓂ ᒪᐳᐱᖅ 6-ᒦᑦ ᐅᖅᑲᖅᔭᒃᔾᓄ 2015-16-ᔭᐅᑎᑦᓂᖕᓂ ᑕᒪᖕᓇ ᑕᐃᒃᑐᓂᒥᓇ ᑐᖄᓚᔾᓚᔭᐊᖅᔾᓗᓇᖅᖅᕿᖕᓂ ᐃᓄᐃᑦ ᖅᑲᐅᐃᒪᓗᔾᒋᖅᑲᖕᓂᖕᓂ ᒃᑎᓕᖕᓂᓄᑦ ᒃᑎᓕᖅᑎᖃᖅᒥᓇᖅᑦᔭᐊᑦ.
As of today, have you had the opportunity to meet with that committee?	ᐅᓚᒦᓗᑦ ᑎᒃᑐᒍ ᒃᑎᓕᖅᑎᖃᖅᖅᓪᒪᐃᒻᒦᖅ ᐊᐱᖅᑲᑭᓯᔾ. ᖅᑯᔾᕋᓇᒦᖅ, ᐃᖃᓇᐃᔭᐅᑦᖅᑲ.

That is my first question. Thank you, Madam Chairperson.

Chairperson (interpretation): Thank you, Mr. Joanasie. Ms. Keenan Bengts.

Ms. Keenan Bengts: Thank you, Madam Chairperson. No, that meeting has not been arranged. They haven't gotten back to me yet, but I will be following up. It's been a really busy summer for me so I kind of put that one of the back burner for now but I do intend to follow up with them again this fall. Thank you.

Chairperson (interpretation): Thank you, Ms. Keenan Bengts. Mr. Joanasie.

Mr. Joanasie (interpretation): Thank you, Madam Chairperson, and thank you for your response. In your opening comments you stated that the information and privacy legislation will be amended. It will be amended sometime in the future. Perhaps you can meet with the Inuit Qaujimajatuqangit Katimajiit committee or entity to get their recommendation. Will you be consulting with them to make sure that their input is included? Thank you, Madam Chairperson.

Chairperson (interpretation): Thank you, Mr. Joanasie. Ms. Keenan Bengts.

Ms. Keenan Bengts: Thank you, Madam Chairperson. Certainly their perspective would be interesting, and I'm not sure that I'm going to be able to meet with them before the end of this calendar year, but certainly that would be something that I would be open to doing. Thank you.

Chairperson: Thank you, Ms. Keenan Bengts. Mr. Joanasie.

ᐃᐃᒃᒥᐊᕆᑦᓯᑖᑦᖅ: ᖁᐊᓇᒥᐅᖅ, ᒥᔅ ᕐᐋᐅᕆ. ᒥ ᐳ ᓇᐊ ᐸᐃᐋᐊᕐᕆ.

ᐳ ᓇᐊ ᐸᐃᐋᐊᕐᕆ (ᑐᕐᐱᐊᑎᒍᕐᓯ): ᖁᐊᓇᒥᐅᖅ, ᐃᐃᒃᒥᐊᕆᑦᑖᑦᖅ. ᐊᕐᒡ, ᒃᑎᓕᕆᐊᒡᐃᕐᓂᐊᒡᑲ ᔪᓐ ᐸᕐᐊᐊᐃᐅᓇᕐᓂᑦᑐᓂ. ᐃᒃᓇᒡᓂ ᔪᓐ ᑐᖅᖅᑎᑎᓇᐃᐅᓇᕐᑎᑐ. ᐱᔪᐊᓇ ᖅᑭᐅᔪᐊᖅᐊᒥᓇᐊᖅᑲᒃ. ᐱᓇᕆᐊᔪᓇᐃᐅᔪᕐᑦᑕᒥ ᐊᐅᔪᖅ. ᐊᐊᓇ ᐃᐃᒃᒥᐊᐊᖅᔪᕐᒡᐃᐊᐊᕐᑯᑦ. ᐊᐊᓇ ᐱᔪᐊᓂ ᖅᑭᐅᐊᒃᑭᐅᑎᖅᐃᐊᖅᐸᕐᕆ. ᖅᒃᐅᐃᐊᐊᐃᒥᖅ? ᖁᐊᓇᒥᐅᖅ.

ᐃᐃᒃᒥᐊᕆᑦᓯᑖᑦᖅ: ᖁᐊᓇᒥᐅᖅ, ᒥᐃᑦ ᐳ ᓇᐊ ᐸᐃᐋᐊᕐᕆ. ᒥᐃᑦ ᕐᐋᐅᕆ, ᔪᓐ?

ᕐᐋᐅᕆ: ᖁᐊᓇᒥᐅᖅ, ᐃᒃᒥᐊᕆᑦᑖᑦᖅ ᖁᐊᓇᒥᐅᖅᒃᓂ ᖁᐃᒪᒥᐃᑦ. ᑕᐊᒥᓇ ᐃᔪᐊ ᐱᕆᐊᖅᐅᑦᐊᖅ ᐃᖅᖅᖅᐃᕐᒡᓕᐊ ᐅᕐᔪᒡᔪᖅ ᒃᐊᔪᐊᖅᑎᓇᐊᑎᑎᓇᕐᓂᔪᔪ ᑐᖅᐃᒥᔪᔪᕐᓂᓇᐊᓂᓇᕐᒥ ᓚᓇᖅ ᐊᖅᖅᐱᐊᐊᓇᖅᒃᐹᐃᑎᔪᐊᖅᑦᐃᔪᒃᑎ ᒥᐅᔪᐊᓇᕐᓂᐊ. ᐊᐊᕐᖅᒃᐅ ᐃᓄᐃᑦ ᖅᒃᐊᔪᕐᓂᒡᒃᖅᓂᖅᐊᖅ ᒃᑎᓕᔪᕐᓂ ᒃᑎᓕᒃᒡᐃᖅᖅᒡᐅᔪ ᑖᐃᒃᒡᐊᕐᐃᐃᑦ ᐃᕐᒥᐊᕐᔪᕐᓇᓂᒃᐊ ᐅᕐᔪᔮᕐᐅᔪᑦ ᓚᓇᐃᐊ ᖅᐊᐅᒃᑯᐃ ᐊᖅᒃᐱᐊᖅᐊᖅᐊᕆᒃᖅᖅᐊᕆᕐᒡᓂᒡ ᐱᐊᕆᐊᔪᐊᓕᕐᐅᖅᑲᐅᐃ? ᖁᐊᓇᒥᐅᖅ, ᐃᒃᒥᐊᕆᑦᑖᑦᖅ.

ᐃᐃᒃᒥᐊᕆᑦᓯᑖᑦᖅ (ᑐᕐᐱᐊᑎᒍᕐᓯ): ᖁᐊᓇᒥᐅᖅ, ᒥᐃᑦ ᕐᐋᐅᕆ. ᒥ ᐳ ᓇᐊ ᐸᐃᐋᐊᕐᕆ.

ᐳ ᓇᐊ ᐸᐃᐋᐊᕐᕆ (ᑐᕐᐱᐊᑎᒍᕐᓯ): ᖁᐊᓇᒥᐅᖅ, ᐃᐃᒃᒥᐊᕆᑦᑖᑦᖅ. Ȧ, ᐊᕼᐊᓄᒃᑯᑦᖅ ᐃᒃᑯᐊᐊ. ᖅᑭᐅᐊᓕᒃᑎᓇᐊᐅᕐᑕᓂ ᒃᑎᓕᖅᑎᓇᕐᔪᐊᖅᔪᐊᖅᐊᖅ᠋ᒡᕆᐅ ᐊᔮᒡ ᐊᔪᒡᑎᒃᐃᐊᐃᖅᐊᓇᖅᖅᓂᖅ ᐊᔪᖅᖅᐅᖅ. ᐱᔪᐊᓂ ᑕᐊᒥᐊ ᓚᑐᐃᕐᒡᑎᐊᖅᐊᐃᐅᕐᐊᖅᑲᑕ, ᖅᐊᐊᐃᒡᐅᔪᐊᓇᒡᓇᐅᐊᔪ ᓚᑐᐃᕐᓕᐊᐅᐃᐅᕐᐊᖅᑲᑕ. ᖁᐊᓇᒥᐅᖅ.

ᐃᐃᒃᒥᐊᕆᑦᓯᑖᑦᖅ (ᑐᕐᐱᐊᑎᒍᕐᓯ): ᖁᐊᓇᒥᐅᖅ, ᒥᐃᑦ ᐳ ᓇᐊ ᐸᐃᐋᐊᕐᕆ. ᒥᐃᑦ ᕐᐋᐅᕆ.

Mr. Joanasie (interpretation): Thank you, Madam Chairperson. Going back to the amendments of the legislation, to make them more relevant to Nunavut, will Nunavummiut be given an opportunity, although not all of the communities would be consulted? Will you be consulting with the communities or will you have a tour to the communities? If there are going to be amendmentst to legislation, the government usually consults with the communities. Will you, as the Information and Privacy Commissioner, be doing that and consulting with the communities?

Chairperson (interpretation): Thank you, Mr. Joanasie. Ms. Keenan Bengts.

Ms. Keenan Bengts: Thank you, Madam Chairperson. The review that I'm doing is a review from the perspective of an access to information and a privacy advocate. I think that a consultation should absolutely be done, but I don't think that's my role. I think that would be the government's role. Thank you.

Chairperson: Thank you, Ms. Keenan Bengts. Mr. Joanasie.

Mr. Joanasie (interpretation): Thank you. I understood her response. On page 6 of your annual report, you identify a number of concerns related to the process by which a public body may be held to account following an access to information review that has been completed by your office. You state that "Public bodies are not required to report back to my office or to the Applicant [or] Complainant once the recommendations have been implemented."

ᔪᐋᓇᓯ: ᖁᔭᓐᓇᒦᒃ, ᐃᕃᐸᐅᑖᖅ. ᐊᒻᒪ ᑕᐃᒃᑯᓇ ᐅᑎᖅᑲᓐᓗᖕᖏᖁᑎ ᒪᓕᒐᕐᒥᒃ ᐊᖅᑭᒋᐊᕿᐸᑎᓂᖅᔪᕐᒪᑕᐅᖏᔪᑦ. ᔪᓪᓗ ᓄᓇ ᖂᕐᒥ ᐃᓂᑕᓂᓯᖅᑭᐅᑐᓯ ᓄᓇ ᖂᑦ ᔪᓪᓗ, ᐃᓃ ᖃᐅᐃ ᓄᓇ ᖂᕐᒥᐅᑕᐃᑦ ᑕᐃᓯᒐᕐᑯᐃ ᐸᓕᖅᑲᕐᑎᑕᐅᒍᐱᖃᖕᕙ? ᐃᓃ ᐊᑦᑭᓐᐊᕿᖕᑯᔪᐊᕐᓗᖏᑦ ᓄᓇᓪᓕ ᑭᖏᓯ ᓄᓇᓂᖓᖅᖃᕐᓴᖅᖅᖅᓕᖃᕐᖅᓕᒡᒃ? ᔪᓪᓗ ᓇᕙᐱᑦ ᒪᓕᑦᑯᑎᖕᒥ ᐊᖑᑦᑐᐊᖅᑕ ᑐᖕᑲᕐᑦᑲᕐᐊᓗᒋᐊᑦᑲᕐᓕᒐ ᓄᓇᖕᖓ. ᑕᐃᒪᐃᓯᑉᖕᑲᕐᑕᐅᑉ ᐃᖃᑯ ᑲᖂᓚᑐᓯᓂᖏᓂᒡᒃ ᑐᖕᐅᐱᕐᐊᖅᑎᒥᖏᒡᒃ ᑭᒦᕙ? ᖁᔭᓐᓇᒦᒃ, ᐃᕃᐸᐅᑖᖅ.

ᐃᖃᕃᐸᐅᑕᖅ: ᖁᔭᓐᓇᒦᖅᑕᐅᑉᖅ, ᒥᖅᑕ ᔪᐋᓇᓯ. ᒥᓯ ᑭᓇ ᐸᐃᖕᑦᔅ.

ᑭᓇ ᐸᐃᖕᑦᔅ (ᑐᖕᑭᐱᑎᒍᑦ): ᖁᔭᓐᓇᒦᒃ, ᐃᕃᐸᐅᑖᖅ. ᖅᕐᔪᕐᓇᑉᑕᑦ ᑖᖕᖓ. ᖅᕐᓯᕐᓇᑉᖅᑯᑦ ᑎᒍᕿᓂᖏᓂᒡᒃ ᑎᑎᖅᑲᖓᒡ ᑲᖂᓚᑐᓯᓂᖏᓂᒡᔪᒡᒃ ᐃᕐᑯᐊᖅ ᐊᑐᑐᐃᓂᖅᔪᖕᓂᒐ. ᐃᕐᑯᐊᖅ ᑐᖕᑲᕐᐊᑕᐃᖅᑲᕐᖕᖕᑐᒃ ᓄᓇᖕᖓᒧᖅ. ᑭᒦᕐᑕᐃᖅ ᐅᖅᒃᓯᓕᖅ ᐃᖅᑲᓇᐃᑭᓂᖕᕃᖕᒃᔭ. ᓇᕙᐱᑯᖅᑦ ᐱᓯᓐᐊᓂᒡᒍ. ᖁᔭᓐᓇᒦᒃ.

ᐃᖃᕃᐸᐅᑕᖅ (ᑐᖕᑭᐱᑎᒍᑦ): ᖁᔭᓐᓇᒦᒃ, ᒥᖅ ᑭᓇ ᐸᐃᖕᑦᔅ. ᒥᖅᑕ ᔪᐋᓇᓯ.

ᔪᐋᓇᓯ: ᖁᔭᓐᓇᒦᒃ, ᐃᕃᐸᐅᑖᖅ. ᑐᑭᕿᖅᓴ. ᑕᐃᒃᓱᓄᖕᓗ ᐅᓂᖅᑲᕐᐊᕐᓵᓂ ᒪᑉᐱᒡᓇ 6 ᐅᖅᑲᖅᒃᓯᒪᒡᒪᒡᑦ ᐊᔭᖅᓵᕃᖓᑐᐊᑦ ᐃᓯᓕᖓᖕᖔᑦ ᔪᓪᓗ ᓇᕙᓚᑦ ᖕᑎᖕᑦᓐᖔᕃᖕᖕᑐᒃᓪᔪᖕ ᑭᐅᓚᔫᕐᐊᓚᖅᑲᖅᔨᖥᖕᐊᑐᕐᓗᖕᑦ ᑐᑭᔪᓚᕐᐅᑦᓐᖕᑲᕐᑎᖅᑦᖔᒧᖕᑦ ᖃᓄᑎᐊᕐᖕᕃ ᑖᑉᖅᖅᐅᖕᐅ. ᑕᓕᖕᖃ ᖅᒃᓯᕐᓇᖅᑕᐅᖃᕐᑎᖕᕃᑦ ᐃᕐᒃᓚ ᐊᐃᑕᖕᑭᕐᑎᖕᑦᒃ ᐊᒻᒪᖐᒐ ᐅᖅᑲᖅᒃᓯᓕᕐᖔᒡᔪᒐ, "ᓇᕙᐱᑯᖕᑦ ᑎᒍᕿᖕᕃᖕᒧᖅ ᑕᐃᒦᓇ ᐅᓂᖅᑲᓚᕃᖅᒃᑎᖕᑲᕐᑎᐅᕃᖕᓇᖕᒃᑐᐊᒃ. ᐃᓃᖕ ᔪᓪᓗ ᑭᐅᑐᖕᕃᓚᕐᐊᓂᖕᖕᑦᔪᒐ ᐃᕐᒃᓚ ᐊᑦᑭᐊᕐᑭᑐᖕᕃᓗᐱᒐᖅ ᑐᖕᐅᕐᓯᖥᐊᐅᓚᑦᓐᖔᖅᒃᑲᑦ ᐊᑐᑉᖕᑐᕐᔨᓂᖕᑦ ᐅᖃᕆᓂᕃᑦᓕᒐ ᐃᔪ ᐊᑐᑉᖕᕃᑦᐃᓚ ᐊᑐᑉᖕᓯᖕᒥᓗᖕᕃᒃ.ᐱᓗᒐᖕᓇᑦ."

I note that, for a number of years, this Standing Committee has taken the opportunity of these televised hearings to hold the government to account for its implementation of your office's recommendations.

In your view, are there any other specific policies or practices that can be put into place to ensure that public bodies are required to account for the implementation of your office's recommendations? You mentioned that the implementation of your office's recommendations don't have to go through the court, but it's to make sure that they are required to account for the implementation of your office's recommendations according to the legislation. I hope you understand me. Thank you, Madam Chairperson.

Chairperson (interpretation): Thank you, Mr. Joanasie. Ms. Keenan Bengts.

Ms. Keenan Bengts: Thank you, Madam Chairperson. As I said earlier, I think one of the things I will be recommending in my review of the Act is some sort of provision which makes government more accountable, either following the... . Although even in Newfoundland and Labrador, once the recommendations are accepted, in none of the jurisdictions is there any way to follow up to make sure that the public bodies actually do what they say they're going to do.

One of the things that I would likely be recommending is that there be a further reporting requirement on public bodies to let the Information and Privacy Commissioner and the applicant know when they have completed the steps they say they're going to complete. That's a simple way to do it, but there may be

ᑕᐃᒪᐃᓐᓇᖕᓗᓂᓗ ᐊᕐᕌᒍᒐᓴᐊᑦ Ċᖃᑦᐊ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᕋᓛᑦ ᐅᕙᓂ ᐱᐊᖅᖃᖅᑕᖅᓱᒪᔭᐅᑦ ᑎᐅᖅᑯᖅᓱᓐᑯ ᑕᒪᓐᓇ ᐱᔪᖕᑎᕆᓱᒍ. Ċᓱᒪ ᐊᓪᓈᖕᑯ ᐊᑐᕐᑐᐃᓚᖕᑕᖕ ᑐᓴᖅᐸᓪᓕᐊᖅᑲᖅᑦᑕᓐᓗᑎᒍᑦ.

ᑕᐅᑐᑦᑕᐃᑦ ᒪᓕᒐᓪᓗ ᖃᓄᐃᑦᑐᓐ ᐊᑐᐊᒐᖕ ᐅᖃᔨᖕᑯᑦ ᐱᓕᕆᔭᕐᑎᐅᔪᐊᖅᑐᓐᑲ ᐊᖅᑭᕈᔪᐊᓱᒪᖕᑯᑦ. ᓱᑯ ᒐᕙᒪᐊᑦ ᑎᒥᕐᑯᑎᕆᔭᕐᑕ ᑭᐅᒍᐊᓘᕈᒪᔪᐊᓱᓂᐊᔪᒍᐊᑐ ᓱᑯ ᐃᓪᖃᐃ ᐅᖅᑲᐅᓯᐊᕐᓱᖅᑲᐅᓘᔪᐊᖅᑕᖅᓱᓂ.

ᐃᖅᑲᖅᑐᐃᕈᔪᕐᓲᐅᖕᓯᒪᖕᑲᓘᐊᕐᓱᒪᖅᑕ ᑭᒻᐊᓂ ᑭᐅᒍᐊᓂᑐᖂᐊᓱᓐᑯ ᐃᒥᔪᓐᖕᓱᖅᐊᐅᐸᖕᑕ ᑲᓐᐃᓂᖅᑐᑎᓂᓂᐅᕐ ᐅᖅᑲᔪᖕᓱ ᑐᖅᐅᒍᖕᓯᒐᒍᓂᐊᑐ ᒪᓕᐅᔯᑦ ᒪᓕᒐᔪᓐᑯ? ᑐᑭᓯᒐᖓᒪᐅ. ᖅᑕᖕᒐᖕᑲᐅ, ᐃᑦᕐᑑᑕᒐ.

ᐃᑦᕐᑑᑐᑕᖕ: ᖅᑕᖕᒐᖕᑲᐅ, ᒣᒐ ᕐᑕᐃᓯ. ᒣᖕ ᑳᓐ ᐸᐃᖕᖕᑐ.

ᑳᓐ ᐸᐃᖕᖕᑐ (ᑐᖕᓯᐊᑐᓐᑯ): ᖅᑕᖕᒐᖕᑲᐅ, ᐃᑦᕐᑑᑐᕐᕐᖕ. ᐅᐊᑐᐊᖅᑕᖕ ᐅᖅᑲᖅᐅᒥᓪ ᐃᓚᓄᖕᓱᑦ ᑕᒪᖕᑯᐊ ᐊᑐᕐᑕᕐᑕᐊᔪᓈᖕᑕᖅ ᖅᐱᕐᑕᐊᓂᑐᐅᖕᑎᓐᓗᒍ ᒪᕐᑕᖕ ᒪᕐᑕᖕᖕᑯᑦᑐ ᒪᔯᖕᑯ ᑭᐅᔯᐊᓂᖕᓱᓐᐅᓂᐊᔪᓐᑕ ᐃᒪᖕ ᓂᐅᐅᒥᐊᖕᒥ ᓛᐸᑐᓐᒥᐅᑕ ᐊᑐᕐᑕᖕᑕᐅᓐᑯ ᐊᔪᖅᑕᐅᑐᓐᐅᔪᖕᑯᓐ. ᒐᓐᐊᑐᐃᒪᐊᖕᒥ ᒪᔯᓐᖕᖓᐅᐅᓐ ᐊᐅᑐᖕᓱᑌᓐᓚᓂᖕᒧᐊᓐᑯ ᑭᔪᖕᖂᒍ ᖅᑕᐅᓯᐊᖅᖃᖅᑐᕐᒪᓗᓐ ᒪᔯᖕᑯ ᑎᒥᕐᑎᖕᑯ ᐱᓕᖕᐊᑎᐊᖕᖂᑯᓈᖕᒥ ᐱᓕᓐᓐᓐᐅᓐᖕᓱᖕᓇᖅᕐᐅᓐᖅᓯᖕᓱᐊᑯᐊᓚᖕᑎᓐ ᖅᑕᐅᓯᐊᕐᑲᑐᐅᖕᑐᓐᖅᖓᕐᐊᐅᖅᓯᖕᐅᐊᕐᑐᓐ.

ᐊᑐᕐᑕᓯᐅᐅᕐᑐᖅᐃᒪ Ċᖃᑦᐊ ᖅᖃᖕᓱᒐᐊᑐᐊᔪᓐ ᐅᓂᐅᒥᐊᖅᑕᖅᑕᑯᓐᑯᑕ ᒪᔯᖕᑯ ᑎᕐᑐᒍᖕᒧᐊᖕ. ᑲᒥᔪᐊ ᑐᖅᓯᖕᓐᖕᓴᑎᓂᒐᑦ ᑲᖕᓴᖅᑐᑎᑎᓂᐊᑐᒍ ᑐᖅᐅᒥᖕᑯᓐᑯ ᐊᓯᓗ ᑕᐃᒪ ᐅᖃᓯᓗᓐᐅᕐᑕᖅᑐᖅ. ᑕᐃᒪᖕᓱ ᐱᐅᖅᐃᒐᖕᐅᓐ ᐊᑕᐅᓯᖅᐃᕐᑐᖅ.

other ways.

This is again one of the things that I hope to discuss more with my colleagues from across the country to see how they deal with it because it's not just a Nunavut problem. In all jurisdictions once recommendations are made and accepted, or once orders are made, there's no real follow-up provided for in any of the legislation. Thank you.

Chairperson: Thank you, Ms. Keenan Bengts. Mr. Joanasie.

Mr. Joanasie (interpretation): Thank you, Madam Chairperson. I'll go to page 7 of the report regarding the Qikiqtani General Hospital. You indicate that you had decided to conduct a privacy audit on the Qikiqtani General Hospital, marking your office's first privacy audit in Nunavut, and that the "groundwork has all been completed." Can you specify start and end dates for your privacy audit of the Qikiqtani General Hospital? Thank you, Madam Chairperson.

Chairperson (interpretation): Thank you, Mr. Joanasie. Ms. Keenan Bengts.

Ms. Keenan Bengts: Thank you, Madam Chairperson. I started this process almost immediately upon receiving this Committee's report last year, so by the end of November I had the wheels in motion. It will be complete within, I would say, three weeks. I'm just crossing the t's and dotting the i's and I have just provided a draft copy to the management at the hospital so that they can take a look at it before [hand], and make sure that I haven't got any facts wrong before submitting it. It is my hope to get it in by the end of this month for translation. It will have to be translated. I would invite

ᐊᒃᕙᓂᓐᓄᖅ ᐊᖅᑯᑎᖏᒃᑲᖁᓇᖅᑐᔅ�extᐅᒡᒋᓪᓗᒐᐊᑦ. ᑭᔭᐊᓂ ᐅᖅᐳᔨ�units Tᒪᒃᑯᐊ ᒃᒥᕐᐊᐅᖅᑲᑎᕐᔭᓄ ᓄᓇᑕᒥ ᖃᓄᖅᐊᖅ ᐱᑎᕐᐅᖅᕋᓪᖏᑦ. ᓄᐊᕗᒥ ᐊᑲᐅᓐᕐᐅᐳᓄᐊᓐᖅᓇᖅᑐᐊᒡᒪᑦ ᑭᔭᐊᓂ ᑲᓇᑎᐅᕐ ᐊᒃᒍᖅᑑᖅᔾᒪᓯᓂᓪᖃᓄᖏᓐ ᕐᖅᐳᒪᑦ. ᑕᒪᖁ. ᐊᑐᑦᖁᓪᕐᐊᓯᕐᐅᔾᑦ ᕐᖅᐳᓕᐅᖅᑎᓗ ᑭᑲᕐᒧᑦ ᖃᐳᖁᕐᐊᓗᐊᖅᑲᑎᖕᒥᓐᑕ. ᕐᑦᖁᓇᒋᑉ.

ᐃᒃᓯᕙᐅᑕᖅ (ᑐᑭᓕᐅᑎᒍᑦ): ᕐᑦᖁᓇᒋᑉ, Fᓐ ᑭ̇ᓇᐊ ᐸᐃᖕᔅ. Fᓐᑕ ᔾᐊᓇᓯ.

ᔾᐊᓇᓯ: ᕐᑦᖁᓇᒋᑉ, ᐃᒃᓯᕙᐅᑕᖅᖅ. ᒪᒃᐱᒐᖅ 7-ᒥ̇ᓐᐊᒡᒉᒪᒪ ᐅᓂᒃᑲᓕᐅᖅᑲᕐᐊᓂᓐ ᐱᕐᑖᑎᓗᐊᖅᑐᑉᓐᑕᐃ Tᐃᒃᑯᐊ ᖁᑭᖅᑕᓂ ᐊᖂᕐᐊᒃ Fᓐᓄᑦ. ᑖᖁᓕ ᑐᑭᕐᐅᒃᑎᒍᑦ ᐊᑐᓪᖂᖕᓂ ᑖᐃᒪᐃᒐᒥᖅ ᕐᓱᖅᑕᓕᐅᖅᖅᕐᐅᒪᒥᒻ ᖃᕐᒃᑳᖅᑐᑎᓇᔾᐊᓂᓐᖅ ᕐᖃᕐᐸᒥᓪᐊᒥᓐ. ᑕᒪᖁ ᖃᐳᖂᓯᕐᓂᕐᒪᓕ ᕐᖃᕐᖁᓴᒃ ᐊᖂᐊᒃᒥᓂ? ᕐᑦᖁᓇᒋᑉ, ᐃᒃᓯᕙᐅᑕᖅᖅ.

ᐃᒃᓯᕙᐅᑕᖅᖅ: ᕐᑦᖁᓇᒋᑉ, Fᓐᑕᔾᐊᓇᓯ. Fᓐ ᑭ̇ᓇᐊ ᐸᐃᖕᔅ.

ᑭ̇ᓇᐊ ᐸᐃᖕᔅ (ᑐᑭᓕᐅᑎᒍᑦ): ᕐᑦᖁᓇᒋᑉ, ᐃᒃᓯᕙᐅᑕᖅᖅ. ᑖᕐᒥᐊᓇᖕ ᐃᕐᐊᓂᐊᖅ ᐱᕐᐊᒃᐅᓐᓕᐅᖅ̇ᒪᓪᒪ ᐅᓂᒃᐸᓂᐊᒥ ᑲᑎᒪᔩᑦ ᐱᓕᐅᖅᓐᓪᒍ ᐊᕐᓂ, ᓄᐊᓗᐅᑉᒃ ᐃᕐᐊᓂ ᓄᖕᖁᐊᑦᒃᐅᓂᓐ ᐊᕐᖁᕌᓐᐅᓪᒪᒍ ᕐᖃᕐᓄᑲᐅᖅᑐᐊᓂᓐᐊᖅᑦ ᓄᓇᑕᒥ. ᑕᒪᖁ ᑕᒪᖁᓪ ᐱᒃᒦᓐ Aᑎᕐᐊᑎᓂᓐᐊᒥᐱᕐᐊᓐᔾᐃᓐᓂᖃᖅᑕᖅᕐᐅᖏᑦ. ᑖᐃᒪᖁᖕᖏᒪᐊᓐᖃᓇ ᐊᖅᕐᖃᕌᓐᔾᖅᔾᐃ ᑕᒻᓕᓐᒃᓕᓕ̇ᓐᖕᒋᓐᖅᖁ. ᑐᓯᑕᖅᖃᕐ ᐊᖃᕐᖃᓪᖁ ᐊᖂᕌᒦᐊ ᖃᕐᒃᓇᓐᖕᐅᑉᕐᐅᒥᐊᓂᕐ, ᔾᖃᕐᐱᒥ Tᑲᖅᕐᔾᐃᒪᓐᐊᔾᑦ ᖃᕐᖃᕐᖁᓯᖅᐅᒐᖃᒃᑐ. ᓯᐅᕐᐅᑦ̇ᖁᓪᒪ

ᐱᖕᖁᓕᐊᓐᖃᓐᔾᒍᑦᖃ Tᖅᕐᐅᑦ ᐃᕐᐊᓂ

the opportunity, and again, be careful what I ask for, to be invited back to this Committee to discuss just that report because it's going to be a substantial and substantive report. There's going to be a lot of stuff in it. Thank you.

Chairperson: Thank you, Ms. Keenan Bengts. Mr. Joanasie.

Mr. Joanasie (interpretation): Thank you, Madam Chairperson. Thank you for the explanation. As you are aware, the Office of Languages Commissioner recently released a report on its first systemic investigation which assessed the delivery of language services at the Qikiqtani General Hospital. In its report, the Office of Languages Commissioner identifies a number of issues that it faced in requesting documents for review.

Ms. Keenan Bengts, can you indicate if your office faced any challenges when requesting documents from the Qikiqtani General Hospital? Thank you, Madam Chairperson.

Chairperson (interpretation): Thank you, Mr. Joanasie. Ms. Keenan Bengts.

Ms. Keenan Bengts: Thank you, Madam Chairperson. Actually we found that the staff and management at the hospital were very open and went out of their way to get us what we needed. We did, however, run into more difficulty with the Department of Health because at the moment, the hospital is not a public body in and of itself. It is part of the Department of Health and the Department of Health was a little less inviting, shall we say, or interested in having us there and there was a little bit more reluctance. It took us a little bit more digging to get what we needed from

ᐃᓄᒃᑎᑑᖅᑎᑕᐅᔭᕆᐊᖃᕐᓂᐊᕐᒪᖔᑕ. ᐱᐊᖅᑲᖅᑎᑎᔪᒪᓪᓗᖓ ᑕᐃᒃᑯᓂᖓ ᐅᓐᖑᑎᑕᐅᖃᓂᐊᕋᐸᓪᓪᓗᖓ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᕆᓚᑦ ᓱᖑᓄᐊᖅᓂᐊᖅᒪᓪ ᑕᐃᒃᑯᐊ ᐅᖅᑲᐅᓯᕆᔭᕆᓪᓗᖓᑦ. ᐊᒃᓯᒃᓚᑐᓪᓗᑎᑦ ᐅᓂᒃᑲᖅ ᐃᕿᐊᓪᓗᑦ ᐃᓘᓇᑦᖅᓱᓂᓗ ᐅᓇᖅᑐᐊᓗᖅᓂᒃ. ᖅᑯᓐᓇᒥᒃ.

ᐃᒃᓯᕙᐅᑕᖅ: ᖅᑯᓐᓇᒥᒃ, ᒥᔅ ᑮᓇᓐ ᐹᐃᖕᔅ. ᒥᔅᑕ ᔪᐊᓇᓯ.

ᔪᐊᓇᓯ: ᖅᑯᓐᓇᒥᒃ, ᐃᒃᓯᕙᐅᑕᖃᑦ ᖅᑯᓐᓇᒥᒃᓗ ᑐᑭᓯᓇᖅᑎᑦᖃᓂᖅᓗᒍ. ᑕᐃᒪᓗ ᖅᑯᐅᓱᖅᕼᕼᐅᔪᓪᑦ ᐅᖅᑯᐅᓯᓂᓂᕐᔪᓪ ᑲᒥᓇ ᒫᓇᖅᑕᐅᔪᑕᐅ� ᑦᕐᒃᖅ ᐅᓄᖃᓇᑕᐅᑕᐅᔪᕐᒪᑦ ᑕᐃᐊᕐᒪ ᖅᐳᖅᑲᑕᐃ ᐊᓃᓇᔪᒃᒥ. ᐃᒃᐅ ᐅᖅᑯᐅᓯᓂᓄᒥᖅᖔ ᐱᔅᑎᕐᔪᓚᕐᖃᓇ ᒃᑯᐅᖅ ᐱᐊᒃᑭᐊᑎᑕᐅᕐᓚᖅᓂᖅ. ᑕᒪ ᐅᓄᖅᑲᓇᑐᕐᓯᕐᔪ ᓱᖅᖃᑕᐅᖅᖅ ᓄᑐᐊ ᑕᐃᑲᓇᓗ ᐅᖅᑯᐅᓯᓂᑕᐅᐳᓪ ᖃᑐᑕᐅᐳᓪ ᐅᖅᑯᐅᖅᖔᓕᓇ ᐊᒥᕐᔪᓚᓇᖅᓂ ᐃᓯᓚᔪᓐᑕᓗ ᓱᖅᖃᑐᖅᖃᑕᐅᖅᖅᓯᕐᔪ, ᐃᓘᒃ ᓯᕐᖅᓗ ᑐᖑᖅᖃᑐᖅᖃᑕᐅᖅᑐᑐᖅ ᐸᐃᐳᐃᖅᑎᓂᒃ, ᑕᒪᑕ ᖅᒥᕐᖃᑕᖅᓇ ᑲᔪᖅᖅᐊᒃᓇᕐᔪ ᐊᓪᓗᓗ ᐊᐳᖅᑎᖅᖃᑐᖅᖃᑕᐅᖅᖅᒪᒃᑯᖅ.

ᓇᑐᐊᐃᐳᐊᖅᓕᖅᖅ ᒥᔅ ᑮᓇᓐ ᐹᐃᖕᔅ ᖃᑐᐃᐳᓂᒃ ᕿᓯᓇᑖᓄᖅᑦ ᐊᖅᑲᖃᕐᑕᕐᑕᐅᑎᕐᖃᑕᐅᖅᕼᖦ ᑕᒪᓇ ᖅᒥᕐᖃᑕᕐᓯᖅᖃᖅᑎᐊᖅᓗᑎᐅᖅ ᖅᑭᖅᑕᓂᐊᕐ ᐊᐱᓂᐊᖅᓕᒃᒃ ᐱᐊᒃᑎᖅᑯᓇᖅᖔᓗᖅ? ᖅᑯᓐᓇᒥᒃ, ᐃᒃᓯᕙᐅᑕᖅ.

ᐃᒃᓯᕙᐅᑕᖅ: ᖅᑯᓐᓇᒥᒃ, ᒥᔅᑕ ᔪᐊᓇᓯ. ᒥᔅ ᑮᓇᓐ ᐹᐃᖕᔅ.

ᑮᓇᓐ ᐹᐃᖕᔅ (ᑐᑭᖦᐊᑎᒍᑦ): ᖅᑯᓐᓇᒥᒃ, ᐃᒃᓯᕙᐅᑕᖅ. ᖅᑭᐅᐳᓕᐅᖅᑐᖅ ᐃᖅᖃᓇᐃᖅᖅᐱᑦ ᐊᐱᓂᐊᕐᒥ ᐊᖅᐸᓪᖅᕼᑕᐃᑐᓗ ᓗᔾᐊᕼᓕᑎᐊᕐᐳᓕᐅᖅᖅᑐᖅ. ᑕᐃᒪᖃᓂᐅᖅᑐᖅ ᐊᖅᓚᖦᓯᑎᓂᖅ ᑐᓯᑦᓯᑎᐊᕐᐳᓕᐅᖅᖅᑐᖅ ᑭᐳᐊᓂᐳ ᐊᖅᓯᓇᖅᑐᖅᖅᑐᖅᔪᐳᓚᐅᖅᖅᑐᖅ ᐊᖅᓯᐊᖅᖃᑕᐅᖅᑐᑕᓂᒃᒃᕐᔪ ᐱᓯᓚᖑᖑᓂᖅ, ᐱᐊᖅᑎᓂᓗ ᐊᖅᓯᓇᐱ ᑖᖃ ᒫᖃ ᓗᖅᓚᕐᑯᑦ ᓂᒃᖅᑎᓇᖅᖔᕐᖢᒍ. ᑖᖃ ᐃᓚᕐᖢᒍ ᐊᖅᓯᓇᖅᑐᖅᖅᑐᓇᖅᖔᐳᓂᖅ ᐱᓯᓂᖅᓇᖅᕐᑯᑦ ᐊᐃ, ᐱᓯᓚᖑᖑ ᐊᖅᓯᓇᖅᑐᖅᖅᑐᓇᖅᖔᐳᓂᖅ ᑐᖅᖔᐳᓚᓗᐊᖦᖑᑕᐅᖅᖔᖅᑐᑯᓚᖑᐊᑦ ᐊᒃᖦ ᑕᐃᒃᐳᖅᑎᐊᑕᖅᐳᐊᕐᖑᖦᐃᔅᑎᐊᑎᑕᐅᖅᖔᖅᑐᖅᖅᑐᖦ ᐅᖦᓇᖅᖔ. ᑭᐳᐊᓂᖅ ᓂᑐᖅᐳᓗᐊᖦᖑᑕᐅᕼᐳᓚᐅᖅᑐᖅ.

ᐊᖅᒃᑭᐳᖦᖑᖦᐃᖅᐳᓐᒃᒃ ᑐᖦᓯᖦᓱᐃᖅᖑᖦᓱᓇᓂᑐᐅᖅᖅᑯᐃᑕ.

them. Thank you.

Chairperson: Thank you, Ms. Keenan Bengts. Mr. Joanasie

Mr. Joanasie (interpretation): Thank you, Madam Chairperson and thank you for your response. As the commissioner stated that the report will be comprehensive after she has investigated the Qikiqtani General Hospital and I note that on page seven of your 2015-16 report; briefly, can you provide the committee today with a brief summary of the findings of your recent privacy audit of Qikiqtani General Hospital and can you indicate what specific privacy issues you identified, if any, during the course of your audit?
Can you provide the committee today with a brief summary of the findings?
Thank you, Madam Chairperson.

Chairman: Thank you, Mr. Joanasie. Ms. Keenan Bengts, can you offer us a few tidbits of what's coming up?

Ms. Keenan Bengts: Thank you, Madam Chairperson. As I said in my opening remarks, you can expect that I will be recommending that health-specific privacy legislation is required. Health-specific privacy legislation; I think there's a reluctance or reticence on the part of the Department of Health to follow through on that piece of legislation because they see it as interfering with what they do.

In fact, the *Health Information Act*, part of the reason for having a *Health Information Act* is to facilitate the exchange of information for health purposes, as opposed to prevent the exchange of information for health purposes. That's one of the things that

ᐱᕐᖓᓂᕐᓂᓴᖅᔪᐊᑕᐅᐅᖅᑐᑦ. ᖁᔭᓐᓇᒦᒃ.

ᐃᕙᔪᐊᐅᑕᖅ (ᑐᓵᔭᐅᑎᒍᑦ): ᖁᔭᓐᓇᒦᒃ, ᒥ ᑭᓇᓐ <ᐃᐳᔅ. ᒥᔅᑕ ᔪᐊᓇᓯ.

ᔪᐊᓇᓯ: ᖁᔭᓐᓇᒦᒃ, ᐃᒃᓯᕙᐅᑖᖅ ᖁᔭᓐᓇᒦᒃᑯ ᑭᐅᕆᐊᒡᓕᑦ. ᑕᒫᓇ ᐃᒪᒃ, ᐅᖃᖅᑲᐅᒻᒪᑦ ᐊᒥᒃᑫᓴᓂᒃᒍᖅ ᒪᔅᐱᒌᖅᑎᕆᑲᖅᑐᖅ ᑖᒪ ᖁᒦᖊᓂᕐᓂᖅ ᖄᓇᖅᓱᕕᖏᖅᒍᑕᓂᐟᓄᒃ ᒥᒡᓄᒃ, ᐃᒦᒃ ᖅᑭᖅᑕᓂ ᖄᓇᖅᑕᐅᒻ ᒥᒡᓄᒃ. ᐋᒡᓗ ᒪᔅᐱᒌ 7 ᐅᓇᒃᒃᓗᓂ 2015-16, ᓇᐃᔭᒡᓘᓇᒃ ᐃᒦᒃ ᖅᒪᖅᓴᑦ ᓇᐃᑦᑐᑒᒧᐅᕙᒃᒍᔅᑥ ᑭᕐᓂ ᐃᑐᑦᖃᒐᑦᖃᖅᒧᖅᑥ ᑕᑮᓇ ᐅᓇᖅᑲᖅᐊᓴᒦᑦᖅᑕᖅᑥ, ᐃᑐᒪᐱᐊᒡᓴᓂᕐᒦ ᖅᑲᖅᐱᕐᐊᖅ ᐃᒦᒃ ᑐᖅᓵᓴᑒᑕᐅᒐᓘᖃᖅᑥᑐᑎᓂᐟᒃ ᖅᑲᖅᐳᖃᒦᖅᑐᖅᐊᖅ ᑕᕐᖑᑲ ᐅᑎᖊᖅᑐᕝᐅᒐᓐᑥ ᑕᑮᓇ ᐱᕐᑍᑎᕐᒧᒍ. ᑭᕐᓂᖅ ᖕᓗᓇ ᓇᐃᖕᐱᖏᒃᕝᑍᒍ ᐅᖃᐅᓯᐅᒧᕝᓂᒦᒦᒍ? ᖁᔭᓐᓇᒦᒃ, ᐃᒃᓯᕙᐅᑖᖅ.

ᐃᒃᓯᕙᐅᑕᖅ (ᑐᓵᔭᐅᑎᒍᑦ): ᖁᔭᓐᓇᒦᒃ, ᒥᔅᑕ ᔪᐊᓇᓯ. ᒥ ᑭᓇᓐ <ᐃᐳᔅ ᐅᖃᖅᑲᐅᑦᑲᐅᓴᐊᒐᓂᑦ ᐃᑐᑦᑯᓗᓂᓴᖅᑳᕐᓂᖅ? ᖁᔭᓐᓇᒦᒃ.

ᑭᓇᓐ <ᐃᐳᔅ (ᑐᓵᔭᐅᑎᒍᑦ): ᖁᔭᓐᓇᒦᒃ, ᐃᒃᓯᕙᐅᑖᖅ. ᒪᑐᐃᖅᕈᖕᓂᖅ ᐅᖃᖅᑲᐅᒐᓗᒐ, ᐋ, ᐊᑐᒃᑎᔅᑕᐅᔅᑐᖅᑐᓗ ᖄᓇᖅᑕᓪᔪᕝᕐᑐᑎᕐᓂᒡᓄᒍ ᑐᑕᖁᓗᑖᓇᖅᑎᐊᒃᒥᒍ ᒪᒐᓪᒃᓂᒍ ᓴᖅᐳᑦᑲᓴᕝᑐᒐᓐᓗᒐ. ᖄᓇᖅᑕᓪᔪᕝᕐᑐᑎᕐᓂᒡᓄᒍ ᐃᖅᐊᕆᖅᖅᑳᑥᐳᕝᒧ ᑕᒡᒣᒃᒡᒐᒥᒐᓐ ᒪᒐᓪᒃᓂᕐᒦ ᑲᕝᑎᓂᖅᕝᓂᐊᕆᖕᕕᖅ ᑕᑖᕐᖏᑕᑯᕝᓕᑕ ᐊᕝᐊᖅᐳᑕᐅᐊᕆᕐᖑᓂᕐᖅᖅᓲ ᐱᑎᕐᐊᑎᕐᓂᒃᓴᑒᖕᐳᑯ.

ᖄᓇᖅᑕᓪᔪᕝᕐᑐᑎᕐᓂᒡᓄᒍ ᑐᖅᖑᑎᖏᑦ ᒪᒐᓪᒃᓂᕐᓂᖅ ᐱᕝᐊᑦᑲᖅᑦᕝᓂᖅ ᑐᖅᑯᕐᐊᖅᒦᒃ ᑕᐅᖅᒧᒃᕝᑎᕐᐅᖅᑲᖅᒧᖕᕐᑐᐊᖅᖅᓗᑕ ᖄᓇᖅᑕᑒᑐᑎᕐᓂᒡᓄᒍ ᑐᑖᕐᖑᒡᕐᒦ ᐃᒦᖏᒪᖕᕐᑐᑒᖅᑐᖅ ᑐᖅᑯᕐᐊᖅᒦᒃ ᑕᐅᖅᒧᒃᕝᑎᕐᐅᑦᑲᐃᓂᕐᐊᖅᒍᑥ ᖄᓇᖅᑕᑒᑐᑎᕐᓂᒡᓄᒍ. ᑕᒫᓇ ᓄᐃᒃᑒᒃᔪᔅᐳᓂᖅᑐᖅ ᐅᓂᒃᒥ.

will absolutely be coming out of the report.

Also, one of the major deficiencies, shall we say, that we found in the hospital is that there is no leadership within the hospital in terms of privacy issues. One of the recommendations we will be making is that there be a position created as the chief privacy officer for the hospital, located in the hospital and not located in the Department of Health. Part of the problem is that, as I said, the Department of Health and the hospital are really the same organization, but they don't act as the same organization in many ways. That would be one of the recommendations and other recommendations will kind of follow from the creation of the chief privacy officer within the hospital.

There will be recommendations with respect to the meditech system and the electronic system. Right now, the hospital works on a system of paper records and electronic records which doesn't help at all in terms of insuring privacy and that sort of thing.

There are a lot of little things that need to be done better, but there's a couple of big things that will make a big improvement. I hope that helps. Thank you.

Chairman: Thank you, Ms. Keenan Bengts. Mr. Enook, your turn.

Mr. Enook (interpretation): Thank you, Madam Chairperson. Allow me to go back to the audit of the Qikiqtani General Hospital. As you indicated earlier, I would like you to clarify and this is also related to one of the questions of Mr. Joanasie regarding whether the staff were cooperative when you wanted access to

ᐃᑲᖑᓕᕐᓗ ᐊᒥᒪᔪᐊᖅᑐᑯ, ᐅᖅᐳᕐᓇᕈᑦᑕ, ᖄᐅᔨᓚᐅᖅᑕᒋᐊᑦ ᐊᓐᓂᐊᕕᒻᒥ ᕐᖲᑕᐅᑎᕐᖃᖑᒪᑯ ᐊᓐᓂᐊᐅᐸᑦ ᐃᓗᐊᓂ ᑲᐧᔭᖅᑐᑎᓂᖅᑎᑯ. ᐃᑲᖑᓕᑦ ᐊᑐᖅᒃᑲᑕᐊᑎᓂᐊᖅᑕᐅ ᑲᐧᔭᖅᑐᑎᓂᖅᑎᖁᓄᑦᑎᑯᑦᒃᑯᓗ ᐃᖅᑲᓇᐃᔭᒻ ᐊᓐᓂᐊᕕᓗ, ᐊᓐᓂᐊᕕᒻᒃᓕᖅᓗᓂ ᐊᓐᓂᐊᕐᖃᓇᖅᑐᑎᓂᖕᑯᓴᖅᓄᖅᑐᖕᕐᑐᖅ.

ᐃᓗᐊᕐᓴᓇᖅᑕᐅᕆᐅᑦ ᐃᑲᖑ, ᐅᖅᐸᖅᐹᓂ, ᐊᓐᓂᐊᕐᖃᓇᖅᑐᑎᓂᖕᒃᒃ ᐊᒻᓗ ᐊᓐᓂᐊᕐ ᓵᖄᑎᐊᖅᑎᐅᓗᐊᖅᐸᓪᑕ ᓂᒋᐊᕐᖅ, ᑭᕈᓂ ᓵᖄᑎᐊᖅᖃᖕᑐᑦ ᐸᓴᖅᖁᐊᕐᕆᖕᒻ ᐊᒥᕆᖅᐳᑦ. ᓵᖄ ᐊᑐᖅᒃᑦᐸᑕᐊᑎᓂᖅᖂᐸᖅ ᐊᕐᖅᕐᓗ ᒪᑎᖕᕈᓗᔭᖅᑐᑦ ᓵᖄ ᑲᐧᔭᖅᑐᑎᓂᖕᖕᒃ ᐃᖅᑲᓇᐃᔭᖅ ᓂᖅᐸᑕᐅᑎᖕᓗᓄᑦ ᐊᓐᓂᐊᕕᒻᒥ.

ᐊᑐᖅᒃᓴᐅᖅᑕᐅᓂᖅᑎᒃ ᒪᑎᖕᑯᖕ meditech-ᕐᖕ ᑕᐃᔭᖅᐊᕐᖕ ᐊᒻᓗ ᕐᖃᑎᖄᐱᔭᐱᔭᖅᑎᖕᖕ. ᒪᖕᐊᖕᑕᖕ ᒪᑯᐊ ᐸᐊᖕᖕᔫᒃᔭᐅᖕᑯᒃᖅᑕᐅᐸᖕᕐᑦ ᑕᖅᖏᓇᖅᑎᐅᖅᖃᕐᖃᖅᑐᖕᑎᖕ. ᐃᑲᕈᑎᖕᒃᒃᓚᖕᑦ ᐊᒥᕈᑦ ᑐᕐᖁᐸᖅᑯ ᑕᓕᖅᑯᐊ ᑲᐧᔭᖅᑐᑎᖅᑕᐅᖅᓗᐊᖅᒻᖕᓂᖅᑎᖕᖕᒃ.

ᐅᓂᖅᑐᑯᓗᔮᐃᑦ ᒥᒃᑐᑯᓗᔮᐃᑦ ᐊᕈᐊᔪᖕᖕᓂᖅ ᐃᖏᓇᖅᖕᐸᖕᑯ ᑲᓕᖅᔭᐅᖄᖅᖃᕐᓗᐊᖅᒻᖕᑯ ᑕᓕᓗᐊ. ᑭᐅᖏᖅᖑᖕᑯᐃ? ᖅᑯᔮᖄᒥᖕ.

ᐃᕐᔮᖅᐳᑕᖅ (ᑐᖅᖄᐱᑎᐊᖕᑯ): ᖅᑯᔮᖄᒥᖕ, ᒥᖕ ᖏᐊᖕ ᐸᐊᖅᖕ. ᑕᐊᒃ? ᒥᑯ ᐊᖕᖕ, ᐃᖄᖕᖕ ᑕᓕᒃ.

ᐃᖕᖕ: ᖅᑯᔮᖄᒥᖕ, ᐃᖅᔮᖅᐳᑕᖅ. ᑕᖅᔮᖕᖄ ᐅᑎᖕᖕᓇᓕᐅᕿᖕᔪᕈᖕᓴᓕᐊᑯ. ᐊᓐᓂᐊᕕᒻᒥ, ᕐᖏᖅᖅᑕᐊ ᐊᓐᓂᐊᕕᒻᒥ ᕐᖲᑕᐊᔮᓇᓂᖅᓗᑯ ᐅᖅᖲᔮᖏᖅᖃᑐᖅᔪᖕᑯ ᖃᖓᑳᐃᖅᖕᐸᖕᖕᕐᑯᑐᖕᑦ. ᐊᖕᖕ ᒥᑯ ᕈᐊᖅᔮᑯᐊᐱᖅ ᐊᐱᖅᔮᑎᖅᖃᑐᖅᔪᖕᑯ ᑕᐃᖕᑯᐊ ᐊᓐᓂᐊᕕᒻᒥ ᐃᖅᑲᓇᐃᔭᖅᖕᑎᖕ ᑐᖕᖕᓇᓇᖕᐅᑐᖅᖏᖕᑯ ᐸᖅᖕᓗᖅᓂᖕᖕᓗ ᕐᖃᐃᖅᖏᑎᖅᔪᖅᖃᖅᐳᑎᖕᑯ. ᐅᖅᔮᖅᖃᑐᕈᐊᑯ ᐊᖕᓂᖅᖃᖅᐳᖅᖓᓄᖅᖃᑯᓕᓇᔪᐅᑐᐅᖅᖃᑐᖕᔪᖕᕐ ᐊᓐᓂᐊᕕᒻᒥᖅᑐᑯ, ᐊᓐᓂᐊᕕᒻᒥᖅᖕᓗ ᐃᖅᑲᓇᐃᔭᖅᖕᖃᑯ.

some files; you indicated that they were cooperative. I also understood that it was only the Department of Health officials who were not cooperative or seemed to put up resistance or not wanting you to be there at all. Can you elaborate more on that? Thank you, Madam Chairperson.

Chairman (interpretation): Thank you, Mr. Enook. Ms. Keenan Bengts.

Ms. Keenan Bengts: Thank you, Madam Chairperson. For example, it took us until probably two weeks ago to be able to finally get an interview with the Assistant Deputy Minister. When we were here in June at the hospital, we invited her to meet with us, she couldn't or wouldn't meet with us, I don't know which, but we got to speak to virtually everybody at the hospital.

We had a hard time getting some of the policies that we asked for. We discovered, not through the department but through another source, that there was a set of privacy directives that had been drafted some years ago, but nobody at the hospital knew about them and we didn't get them from the Department of Health, who should have provided it when we asked if there were privacy policies in place. It was kind of that sort of thing. We got all the information we needed in the end, but some of it came kind of in a roundabout way. Thank you.

Chairperson: Thank you, Ms. Keenan Bengts. Mr. Enook.

Mr. Enook (interpretation): Thank you, Madam Chairperson. With the Department of Health being hard to work with or belittling what you're trying to do when you're trying to make an audit, what was it that they were not very

ᑐᑭᕈᖅᑲᐅᕐᓂᒍ ᐅᖃᐅᓯᒃ ᐱᕆᐊᓂᒍᖅ ᐋᓂᓴᖅᑲᒻᒍᖕᑐᑎᓇᔅᒃᒐᑦ ᐱᓕᕆᕕᖕᒡᓇᓇᕋᓂᖅᑐᑦ ᑐᖅᖓᑕᓇᑕᐅᖕᒥᒐᑕ ᐅᕐᐅᖓᓇᓇᑦ ᐊᖃᐊᕈᕈᖅᒡᓂᓇᑕᐅᑕᐅᔅᒐᑕ ᐅᕐᐅᖓᓇᓇᑦ ᑕᐱᑐᖅᒡᑕᖅᒡᓂᓇᑕᐅᖕᒥᒐᑕ. ᐃᕐᓯᒻᖕᓇ ᓇᓗᓇᐃᖅᕿᓇᖅᒃᓇᓇᐊᕐᓗᔅᓇᖅᐱᑎᒍᑦ? ᖅᓂᔩᓇ, ᐃᕐᔪᐸᐅᑕᖅ.

ᐃ�069ᐅᑕᖅ: ᖅᑏᓂᓇ, ᒥᔅ ᐃᓄᒃ. ᒥᔅ ᑭᓇ ᐸᐃᖕᔅ.

ᑭᓇ ᐸᐃᖕᔅ (ᑐᖅᕿᑎᒍᑦ): ᖅᑏᓂᓇ, ᐃ�069ᐅᑕᖅ.

ᐆᒃᑑᑎᕆᓗ ᒪᔭᖅ ᐱᖕᓯᖅ ᐱᓇᕿᐊᕿᕈᐅᓇᕐᑐᖅ ᒥᓂᑦᑕᐅᕽ ᑐᖕᑎᕿᐊᑕ ᐃᑲᕽᖕᑎᐊ ᐊᔭᖅᒡᕈᖓᖅᒃᕿᑕᖅᖓᓇᓇᑐᖅᒡᕿᕐᔭᒋᐅ ᑕᒪᖕᓇᓇᑎ ᔪᖑᒥ ᐊᖕᓇᐊᐸᖕᒥ. ᐃ, ᑲᑎᒪᖅᑲᑎᕋᖓᖅᒃᕿᑕᐊᓇᑕᐅᖅᒡᑎᖅᒋᑦ ᖅᖕᓗᑎᖅᓇᐊᒡᓗᔅᒃ. ᕿᖕᑐᓯᓂᖅ ᐊᖕᓇᐊᐸᖕᒥ ᐃᖅᒃᖓᓇᐃᖅᒡᓂᒃ ᐅᖅᒃᓇᓂᕿᑕᖅᒋᕋᖓᖅᒃᕿᑕᐅᖅᒡᑕᖅ.

ᐱᕐᐊᓂ ᒪᑯᐊ ᐃᓂᖑᒡᒋ ᐊᑐᐊᒡᓂ ᐱᓇᕿᕐᔪᑎᒃᒡᕿ ᐱᕐᕿᓇᓇᖅᒡᕈᖅᒃᖅᒡᑕᐅᖅᒡᑐᖅᒋ. ᐊᒻᓗ ᖅᑲᐅᕿᑐᑕᕿᓇᓗᕽᒡᒃ ᐊᖃᐊᑲᖅᒡᒃᓇᖓᖅᑐᑎᓇᔅᒃᑯᑎᓇᖅᒡᑐᖅ ᐊᕿᐊᒍᖕᓂ ᐃᓇᒍᑦ ᑐᕿᓇᔭᓇᑦ ᒥᓂᑦᑕᐅᕽ ᑎᓇᑐᕈᑎᖕᓂᓇᖓᑐᖓᓇ ᐱᓇᑐᖅᒋᓇᖅᑲᒡᓇᖅᒡᓂᖅᒡᑐᑦ ᐊᔅᖃ ᖅᒃᓇᑎᖕᕿᐊᖅ ᐊᔭᖅᒡᕿᓂᒡᓇᖅᒡᑐᑦ. ᐱᕐᐊᓂ ᑕᐃᒃᑯᐊᕿᒡᔪᓇᖅᒡᑕᖅᕈᑦᖕᒃ ᐊᖃᐊᕿᐊᖕᒥ ᐃᖅᒃᖓᓇᐃᖅᒡᑎᓂᖕᓇᑦ ᖅᑲᐅᕿᓇᔭᖅᒋᐅᖕᒻᕆᑕ ᐅᑕᓇᖕᖕᓇᑦ ᐊᖃᐊᕈᖅᒡᒃᓇᖓᖅᑐᑎᓇᔅᒃᑯᑎᓇᖕ ᐱᔭᖃᓇᔅᒡᕈᑎᐅᖅᒡᕈᖅᒋᕋᖓᖅᒃᕿᑕᐅᖅᒡᕈᖅᒋ. ᐃᒡᖕᒃ ᑕᒪᖕᑯᑕᐊᕈᒡᓗᔭᐃᒡᒃ ᑕᐃᒃᑯᐊᕿ ᑐᖕᕿᑦᕿᓇᖅᒡᕽᔔᓂᒡ ᐱᔅᓗᔅᒡᒃ ᐱᖅᒡᑲᖅᒃᓇᑕᐅᖅᒡᑕᖅᒡᑐᑦ. ᐱᕐᐊᓂᕿᑕᐅᖅᒋᐅᖅ ᐃᒡᖕᓂᖕᒃᑯᑦ ᓂᖅᖓᖓᖓᔾᒡᒃᕈᑎᖕᓇᖅᒡᒃ ᐊᔭᖅᒡᕿᓇᖅᒋᐅᖅᒡᕽᖓᑕ ᐱᔭᒡᒃᓇᖅᒃᕿᑕᖅᒃᓇᑕᐅᖅᒡᕿᑕᐅᖅᒡᑕᖅᒡᑐᑦ. ᖅᑏᓂᖅ.

ᐃ�069ᐅᑕᖅ (ᑐᖅᕿᑎᒍᑦ): ᖅᑏᓂᓇ, ᒥᔅ ᑭᓇ ᐸᐃᖕᔅ. ᒥᔅ ᐃᓄᒃ.

ᐃᓄᒃ: ᖅᑏᓂᓇ, ᐃ�069ᐅᑕᖅ. ᐊᖃᐊᕿᐊᖅᒡᒃᓇᖓᖅᑐᑎᓇᔅᒃᑯᑎᓇᖅᒡᒃ ᐱᓇᑎᐊᖕᓇᕿᖕ ᑕᐃᒪᖕᓇ ᐃᓂᖅᒡᒃᕿᑕᕽᑐᑎᓇᖓ ᔭᖅᒡᓗ ᐱᓇᑎᖕᒃᑎᕿᓇᖅᒋᐅᖅᒃᓂᓂᕿᖅᒃᕽᑎᓂᖕᓇᔭᖅᒋ ᐅᕐᐅᖓᓇᓇᑦ ᐱᔅᒃᓇᑐᐅᓇᖅᒡᒃᓂᓇᖅᒃᒡᕽᑐᖅᒋᓇᖅᒡᑎᓂᖕᓇᔭᖅᒋ ᖅᑲᐅᕿᖕᓇᑐᓇᖅᒋᖅᒡᒃᓂᓂᖅᒡᒃ, ᖅᑯᐃᒪᒐ ᑕᐃᒪᖕᓇᕿᓇᖅᒡᓂᖕᑎᕿᑕᐅᖅᒡᕽᖓᑕ? ᐱᕿᒥᐱᐊᖅᒋ ᐊᔨᖕᒃᕿᑕᖕᑯᐃᖕ

cooperative during your audit or what was the reasoning behind the Department of Health not being very friendly or receptive to your audit? Can you respond to that? Thank you, Madam Chairperson.

Chairperson (interpretation): Thank you, Mr. Enook. Ms. Keenan Bengts.

Ms. Keenan Bengts: Thank you, Madam Chairperson. While I can't speak for them, I do know that in the Northwest Territories, and I will hark back to them, the Department of Health is also a department I have trouble dealing with over there. I think it's difficult to juxtapose the delivery of health care with privacy protections. It's one of those things that are quite difficult to address and it's one that I think departments of health generally would rather not have to address.

Many bureaucrats I have dealt with, not just here and I'm not going to say that's what's going on here, in the Northwest Territories, that I have heard of from my colleagues across the country is that many bureaucrats who work in the department of health just see privacy as something they have to deal with because they have to deal with it, not because they think it's important to deal with. I'm not sure if it's something that stands in the way of their getting things done and I think that probably there's something to do with that.

It's a difficult juxtaposition. It's really hard to balance the two. It's easier if you can just provide health services without having to deal with privacy, but you can't do that because health information can be very sensitive information and it should be up to the individual to be able to determine what and who has access to

ᒥᒃᓗ ᐊᖅᐊᐊᐳᙵᖅᑰᐳᐃᓚᐅᑎᖅᐸᑦ ᖃᐅᔨᓴᖅᓯᓂᕐᕈᔪᕐᖑᓂ ᐅᙵᔪᐅᔪᓂᑦ ᑭᓯᒥᐱᐊᖅ ᐱᕐᒍᑎᐅᖅᓯᑦ ᐱᔪᑎᖅᓯᙳᑎᐅ ᐃᓱᐂᓗᓕᒐᓐ ᐱᓚᕆᓪᑰᔭᐅᐳᐅᖅᑕᕆᒃ, ᐱᓚᕆᖅᑎᖅᑭᐲᒪᙵᑰᐳᐅᖅᑕᕆᒃ. Ćᐭᓚ ᑭᐅᔭᐭᓚᖅᓯᐅᐳᖕ? ᖅᑯᔭᐭᓚᖅᑕ, ᐃᖅᕆᐅᐳᑥᖅ.

ᐃᒃᓯᐅᕐᐱᑕᖅ: ᖅᑯᔭᐭᓚᖅᑕ, ᒥᒃ ᐃᓄᒃ. ᒥᓯ ᑮᓇᐤ <ᐃᕐᕁ.

ᑮᓇᐤ <ᐃᕐᕁ (ᑐᙵᐳᑎᐳᑦ): ᖅᑯᔭᐭᓚᖅᑕ, ᐃᒃᓯᐅᐳᑥᖅ. ᐃᖅᑯᐊ ᐅᖅᖃᑎᑐᔪᐭᓚᖅᑕᕋᓗᐊᖃ ᑭᕈᐊᓂ

ᖃᐅᔨᒪᔪᐸᓗ ᓄᐊᓕᑎᐊᕐᒥ ᑕᐃᒃᐊᐊ ᐅᑎᖅᒪᕐᖃᐃᓚᐅᖓᐊᖅᕐᕈ. ᐊᐭᓂᐊᖅᓚᖅᐱᐊᑎᑯᓪᑎᖅᕆᖕᒥᓚᑎᓘ ᑕᐃᒃᐊᐊ ᑕᐃᖃᓂ. ᓚᕐᐊ ᐊᐭᓂᐊᖅᓚᖅᐱᑐᖅᓯᒪᖅᓂᔪᓂᒃ ᑐᖅᕁᓕᐊᐭᒃ ᓕᖅᑭᑕᖅᑕᖅᑥᖅᕐᐱᖅ ᐊᓪᓗ ᐃᓕᒋᔭᕁᓕᐊᐭᒃ ᓚᑲᓪᒪᐳᖅᓯᕐᐱᑕᐱᑥᖅᕐᕈᕐᐱᖅ ᐱᖅᕁᐅᑎᓕᔪᕐᐹ ᐅᖅᖃᐳᓯᓂᐊᕐᔪᔪᒍ ᐱᓯᓂᐅᕐᒪᓪᑦ.

ᐊᐭᓂᐊᖅᓚᖅᐱᑐᖅᓯᒪᖅᓂᕐᐹᑦ ᓇᓂᒥᓪᖎᒃᐊ ᑕᐃᒪᐃᒥᖃᖅᑰᒪᙵᑕ. ᐃᑲᐃᑐᐊᔪᐊᖅᕐᕈᖅ ᐃᑲᐃᒥᐅᓂᐊᖅᑰᐊᖅᕐᕈᖅᑐᐱᑰᒃᕁᕕᖅ ᐱᓯᖅᐊᖅᕐᒪᓪᑦ. ᑕᐃᒪᐃᒥᓪᑰᐱᑦᑭᕐᑎᓚᔪᐊᖅᑐᒃᑰᑎᓪᐅᓚᐊᖅ ᑭᕈᐊᓂ ᓄᐊᓕᑎᐊᕐᒥ ᑲᑎᖅᕁᐊᖅᑕᖅᑎᓂᓚ ᑐᓵᑰᑰᖅᕐᕁᓪᖎᓚ ᑕᐃᒪᐃᒃᑐᖅᓄᕐᓚᖅᕐᐱ ᐊᐭᓂᐊᖅᑐᖅᓯᒪᖅᕁᖅᕐᐱᑕᒃ. ᐃᓕᒋᔭᕁᓕᐊᐭᒃ ᑐᖅᕁᓕᐊᐭᒃ ᑕᓪᐸᐊ ᑭᓕᖅᓯᓂᐊᖅᕐᐱᖅ ᐱᖅᕁᐊᖅᔪᓂᓯᓕ ᐱᖅᕁᐅᑎᐳᖅᕐᓯᑐᒍ. ᐃᒻᓕᖅ Ćᐭᓚ ᐅᖅᖃᐳᓂᖅᓂᐊᕁᓚ ᐃᓕᒋᔭᕁᓕᐊᐭᒃᑦᑭᕐᑎᓚᔪᐊᖅᑰᕐᐱᑕ ᐊᐭᓂᐊᖅᓚᖅᐱᑐᖅᓯᒪᖅᓂᕐᓂᓪᒍ ᐃᓕᒋᔭᕁᓕᐊᐭᒃ ᑭᕈᐊᓂ ᑲᓕᖅᓚᑐᖅᐅᓂᐊᖅᕁᕐᓪᖎᓚᖅᕐᓪᑦ.

ᐊᑎᖅᕐᖅᑐᒍ ᑕᐅᑐᓪᓚᖅᕁᐊᕐᓚ ᐱᖅᕁᓂᐊᕐᐱᑐᖅᕐᖅᖅ. ᑕᓪᑰᑥᒃ ᑐᑭᕐᐊᖅᑐᖅᕁᒥᖙᖕ. ᐱᖅᕁᐊᖅᐱᐊᖅᕐᕁᐳᓪᖑᖅᑰᑰᖅᑐᖅ ᐊᐭᓂᐊᖅᑐᖅᓯᓂᕁᖅᑎᖅᒃᐊᖅᕐᐸ ᑲᓕᖅᕁᐅᓯᐊᖅᕐᓂᑐᖕᒃ ᑲᐊᑰᐊᖅᑐᖅᓯᓂᐊᖅᕐᑎᑎᕐᕈᖅ, ᑭᕈᐊᓂ ᑕᐃᒪᐃᒫᐊᖅᕁᑎᖅᓂᕐᒪᓪᑦ ᐊᐭᓂᐊᖅᑐᖅᓯᓂᓂᔪᓂᒍ ᑐᑭᕐᖅᑕᖅᕁᔪᖅᕐᑎᖅ ᑲᐊᑰᐊᓯᖅᕐᓚᑰᓂᕕᖙᖕᐊᕁᓚᖅᕐᑎᑕ ᐊᓪᓗ ᐃᓄᒃ

ᓚᑎᖅᕁᖅᕐᖃᖅᕐᑕᖅᓚᐊᕐᐱᖅᕐᑥ ᑭᒃᖑᒃᑰᔪᓄᑦ ᑭᕈᐃᑰᓗ

that information. It's a difficult issue to deal with. Without putting words in their mouths or saying that that's what's going on here, I think that generally speaking across the country, there has been that kind of a struggle between departments of health and information and privacy commissioners. Thank you.

Chairperson: Thank you, Ms. Keenan Bengts. Mr. Enook.

Mr. Enook (interpretation): Thank you, Madam Chairperson. I do know that we have to proceed, but it is a major concern of mine. Our government's departments should not be obstructing any audit when they have a chance to make improvements in the way their programs or services are being run. I don't think they should be obstructing anything that makes improvements.

I don't want to stay on this page and even if that's the case, I'll say it here in the House that your recommendations are going to be coming out in the fall of next year. I would like you to know that the Chair and the Standing Committee are going to be lobbying the government, because the people of Nunavut have to know about what you're doing and the importance of it.

We'll be lobbying the government, and if we can make them approve it, I'll try as hard as I can to have a health-related piece of legislation. I can't even wait to see the draft, and I can't wait to see the recommendations that you're going to be putting in your next report.

I'll move onto another subject Madam Chairperson. Now that you've completed the audit of the Qikiqtani General Hospital, are you going to be doing an

ᑐ�run Phline Cᐊᓐᐊᓇ ᖦᑦᒫᖦᓐᖅ. ᒪᖦᑦ ᐱᐅᖦᓇᕷᒃᖦᓐᖅ. ᐃᒫᕆᐅᓃ ᕷᓯᓐᕍᓇᓯᕷᒃᒍᒿ ᓴ ᓂ ᐊᓘᕿᖦᐱᐊᖁᒪᒍ ᕕᕷᓇᕐᓂ ᑐᑢᖓᕗᓇᕷᓇᖦᐊᒿᓇ ᕷᒪᖏ.

ᐃᑲᕐᕈᐅᑖᖦᒃ (ᑐᑢᓯᖌᑕᓇ): ᕷᒿᖁᓇᔾᖅ, ᒡᖌ ᐃᒃᖓ ᐊᓕᐊᓕ. ᒡᕷᓇᓕ ᐃᓄᒡ.

ᐃᓄᒡ: ᕷᒿᖁᓇᕷᒿ, ᐃᑲᕐᕈᐅᑖᕷ. ᐃᑲᕐᕈᐅᑖᕷ ᕷᖦᐱᕝᒫᑲᖌᑦᑐᕷᓇ ᑲᐃᒿᔪᕷᐊᕍᑕᒃᖦᒃᑐᑐ ᖁᓇᕍᓇ ᕆᖏᖀᐊᒿᓇᕍᑕᕷᕕᒃ. ᑯᕿᒪᒃᑟᑕ ᐱᕍᐱᕃ ᕷᐱᓇ ᖦᒫᕷᕆᒿᖦᒫᑲᕆᓇᖦᖀᒿᖦᕷ ᕷᖦᐱᕷᔾᓇᕷᓇ ᖀ ᐱᑐᓇᖦᖀᕷᕷ ᑯᕷᐱᒿᕷᖀᖦᖀᕷ. ᕷᖦᐱᕀᖀᖀᑮᖀᕷᓇᕷᕷᒿ ᕷᖀᕷᑐᖀᕷᖀᖀᓇᕷᓂᒿᕍᑟᒿ ᕀ ᖦᒫᕆᕷᖦᕷᐃᕷᑟᖀᑕᕍᒫᕷᑕᕀᖦᓇᖦᒿᕆᕷᖀᒿᕍᖏᑮ ᓇᖦᒿᕷ.

ᑕᕷᑐᖀᕷᓇᕆᒪᖀᕷᖀᕷᕍᑟᒿᖔ ᖀᕷᕆᕷᑐ ᑕᐃᒪᐃᐱᖀᕍᑟᑮᕷᕍᑔᕷᖀ, ᑕᐃᖀᐊ ᐅᕼᖁ ᐅᕷᖌᐅᕼᖏᕷ, ᐅᕷᖌᕷᑕᕷᖦᕷᐅᐱᕷ, ᐊᑐᕷᖌᑐᕍᖀᔾᐊᕷᑟᑮ ᐊᒥᖏ ᕷᖀᖦᒫᕷᖌᕼᖏᕷᑟᑮ ᓂᕷᖁᒿᕍᑕᔾᕷ ᐅᕷᐊᕷᑟᒿ, ᐅᕼᐅᕷᒫ. ᕷᖦᐱᓇᓕᑮᐅᕷᑮ ᐃᕷᕆᕷᐅᑖᕷ ᖀᕷᓇ ᖦᓇᕍᖀᖀᕷᖀᕷᖏᕷᒃ ᖀᕼᖀᖀᖌᕷᖏᕷᖌᓇᖀᕷᓇᕷᓇ ᑕᐃᕷᕆᕷᕷᖁᑐᕷᖁ ᐱᕷᔪᕷᕷᖀᔾᑮ ᐅᕷᖀᖀᖌᕆᕆᖌᑮᑟᕷᑮ ᓄᓇᕗᒥᐅᕷ ᕷᖦᐱᕍᑟᑎᕷᖌᖀᕷᖦᕼᕷ ᑕᒪᑐᒡᑮ ᐱᑐᕍᐱᕷᒿᕷ. ᐱᒫᑐᕍᔪᕼᖏᕷ.

ᑎᑐᕍᑐᑐᕆᓇᓂᕷᓇᕍᖀᐊᖦᕍᕼᕀ ᐊᕷᕆᕷᑎᑐᕍᕼᖀᕼᖏᕷᒿᖌᒫᖔ ᑕᖏ ᑲᓇᑟᕍᑎᑎᕷᕍᑕᕷᖀᕆᓇᕍᑟᕷᑕ ᐃᒫᕼᔪᕼᓂᖔ ᐊᕀᖀᒿ ᐊᕼᖁᕷᖀᑕᕷᕀᑮᖔ. ᐅᑕᕷᕆᔾᕷᓇ ᐃᕐᑟᓂᒿᖀᒿᓇ ᑕᖃᑐᕍ ᑎᑎᕷᖀᕆᖏᑮᑕᕷᕀᑮ ᕷᖁᕷᖔ ᐃᑐᕷᕼᕷᓇᕍᑟᑮᕷᑮ. ᕷᖦᐱᕍᒿ ᐱᒫᑐᕍᔪᐊᕷ ᓄᓇᕼᒿᐅᖔᕷ ᐊᕍᖔ ᐃᕷᖃᓇᕼᕷᕼᐊᑎᓂᕷ.

ᖀᕷᓇ ᐊᖀᒍᐊᕷᖁᕼᒿ ᐅᕼᕷ ᐊᕍᐱᕆᕷᖀᓇᖏᕷ, ᐃᑲᕐᕈᐅᑖᕷ. ᖀᕷᓇ ᐱᖦᖀᕷᕀᖌᕼᒫᕷᖏ ᐊᓇᕼᖀᕷ ᕷᖦᐱᕍᖀᒿ ᐊᕆᖀᖀᑮᑕᓇ ᓴᓇᑲᕷ ᐱᑐᕍᐱᑮᖀᓴᖏᕷ ᐅᕐᑟᔪᕼᕷᒿ ᑎᒫᕷᑐᑕᕍᑟᓇᖀ <ᕷᓇᖐᕼᕷᕷᑮᕕ

audit of any other government department or public body? Thank you, Madam Chairperson.

Chairperson: Thank you. Ms. Keenan Bengts.

Ms. Keenan Bengts: Thank you, Madam Chairperson. That's like asking a mom having just delivered a child whether she is going to have another one.

>>*Laughter*

I think it was a very useful project to undertake. It was interesting. It taught me a lot about how things worked at the hospital. I think that I would like to do another one, but probably not in the next year. It took a lot of time and effort. It just took a lot of my time. I enjoyed doing it, but I don't think I'll be doing another one in this fiscal year. Maybe towards the end of the next fiscal year I would think about doing another one.

Where I would go from here, like what public body, I haven't really thought about that at all, at this point. Thank you.

Chairperson: Thank you, Ms. Keenan Bengts. Mr. Enook.

Mr. Enook (interpretation): Thank you, Madam Chairperson. You stated that you're going to be doing an audit of a department, only starting in 2017. Our elections are coming up on the fall of 2017 and some of us will probably not be there. Some of us might not be running again, either that or not getting elected.

At that time, the next time you're going to do an audit, what would you base your decision on, which department you would audit? On what do you base your

---

ᖃᐅᔨᓴᕐᓂᐊᕈᑉᒪᓕᕐᑲᐅᑲᓐᓂᕐᖃᕐᒋᓂᖅ ᑭᓱᒻᑎᓕᕐᒥᖅ? ᓇᑐᐊᐃᖅᒌᓕᓐᖅᐱᓕᒃ? ᖁᔭᓐᓇᒦᒃ, ᐃᔅᕗᐊᐹᒡᔅᖅ.

ᐃᑉᔅᕗᐊᐹᒃᖅ: ᖁᔭᓐᓇᒦᒃ, ᒥᔅ ᑭᓄᒃ. ᐊᑉᓐᐃᑯᑎᖅᑕᖅᑎᐊᖅᑖ. ᒥ ᑭᓇ ᐸᐃᖕᒃᔅ.

ᐸᐃᖕᒃᔅ (ᑐᓴᖅᑕᐅᑎᒃ): ᖁᔭᓐᓇᒦᒃ, ᐃᑉᔅᕗᐊᐹᒃᖅ. ᓇᔅᖁ ᐊᐱᓕᔾᓐᒃ ᐃᓇᖅᑭᕆᒃᖅᖑᓇᓇ ᖅᑉᓴᖅᒃᖅᑲᖅ ᖅᐳᓴᖅᒃᒃᓂᖅᐊᖅᐱᒃ?

>>ᐃᒃᖅᑎᖅᑐᑦ

ᐃ, ᑕᒻᓇ ᐊᑉᒪᐊᓄᒃ ᖅᑲᐊᖃᓄᓴᖅᑐᖅ ᐱᑕᓇᐊᓇᖕᓄ ᐊᖂᓇᐊᖅ ᒪᖓ ᑕᒻᒪᖃᒡᒪᖅᕿᐳᖅᑕᐅᑎᓇᖑᔪ. ᐃ, ᒡᕿᒪᒃᓐ ᐱᑕᓇᖕᖅᖃᓇ ᑎᒌᒃᕿᖅᑎᒃᓇᖑᓂᓐᔪᖃᒃ ᖃᐅᔨᓴᐊᓕᓇᐊᖅᑐᖕᓗ ᑕᓘᓕᓇᐅᕙᖕᒃᑐᖅ. ᐊᑉᒃᖅᑐᑐᖅᕙᖕᒪᓐᐱᓇᐊᓗᓗᐊᑆᒃ ᐿᖅᓂ ᑲᒪᓕᖅᐅᑎᓇᐊᖑ ᑲᒪᓕᑎᓇᖑᒃᖅ. ᐿᖂᑐᐊᖅᑎᐊᖅ ᓇᖅᒃᓇᐃᖕᓇᐸᒃᓇᖅᐅᒡᒃᖅ ᐱᓇᐊᖕ ᑕᒻᒪᓇ ᐊᖅᒋᒥ ᐊᒃᖅᒃᑐᒥ ᑕᒻᒪᖃᒡᒃᖅᐅᖕᓴᐊᓇᒋᖅᑐᖕᓗ. ᐃᒻᓕᖅ ᐅᖕᓇᓇᒡᖅ.

ᑕᖅᐅᓄᖕᓯᒪ ᓇᓇᖅᐸ ᑕᒻᒪᖃᓕᖅᔅᐱᖅᑎᖅᖅᐊᓇᖅᕿᖕᒃᓐᒃ ᐃᖅᒪᖕᕿᖅᐳᐲᓇᐲᐅᖕᖅᒃᐅᖅ ᒍᖕᓇᒋᓐᓇᐅᖕᓄ. ᖁᔭᓐᓇᒦᒃ.

ᐃᑉᔅᕗᐊᐹᒃᖅ (ᑐᓴᖅᑕᐅᑎᒃ): ᖁᔭᓐᓇᒦᒃ, ᒥ ᑭᓇ ᐸᐃᖕᒃᔅ. ᒥᔅ ᑭᓄᒃ.

ᐃᓄᒃ: ᖁᔭᓐᓇᒦᒃ, ᐃᑉᔅᕗᐊᐹᒃᖅ. ᐃᒻᓕᖅ ᐃᓖᒃ ᐅᖅᒃᖅᒌᖅᕋᖕᐊᒃ ᐱᑕᓇᖕᒃᐊᐅᖅᑲᒧᔾᖅ ᑎᒥᐅᖅᒧᖑᖕᓄ ᖃᐅᔨᓴᐊᖅᐲᖅ 2017 ᓄᖕᒃᖅᐸᖅᐱᖕ ᖃᐅᔨᓴᓇᐊᖅᖅᔅᖅᕋᖅ. 2017 ᐅᐱᖃᖅᖕᓇᓇ ᓂᑉᐊᖅᓇᐅᖏᖕ ᐃᐊᖕᒧᖕᓇ ᐅᖅᖂᖅᖑᑎᖕᑐᐃᖕᖃᓇᖅᑉᖅᑐᖕ ᐃᐊᖕᒧᖕᓇ ᓂᑉᐊᖕᒃᐅᖅᖑᑎᖕᑐᐃᖕᖃᓇᖅᑉᖅᑐᖕ.

ᖃᐅᔨᓴᐊᖅᐸᒃᒡᒍᖅᐊᓇ ᑕᐃᖅᒥᓇ ᑮᔅ ᐃᓖᒃᒪᖅᐱᐅᒃᓗᖕᓄ ᓂᑉᐊᖕᒃᓇᖕᒃᐱᖅ ᓇᖅᖅᐊᖕᒃ ᖃᐅᔨᓴᖅᓚᑉᐵᖕᒃᐱᐅᒃᖅ? ᐅᖅᐅ ᑕᖂ ᑐᖕᒃᐸᒃᖅᖂᑎ ᓇᖅᖅᖕᒃ ᐱᑕᓇᖕᒃᐊᐅᒥᒃ ᑎᒥᐅᖅᒥ ᑎᒥᐅᖅᒧᖑᖕᓄ

decision to decide which department to audit? If I am clear, Madam Chairperson. Thank you.

Chairperson (interpretation): Thank you, Mr. Enook. Ms. Keenan Bengts.

Ms. Keenan Bengts: Thank you, Madam Chairperson. I would, first of all, pick a public body that collects a lot of personal information. Motor Vehicles maybe. Social services; financial assistance kind of organizations. The kind of organization that the public deals with all the time and has to provide their personal information in order to get services. That would kind of be my first reason for picking a public body.

The sensitivity of the information that they deal with would be another issue. These are all the reasons I chose the Qikiqtani General Hospital because it's a large public body. It deals with a lot of personal information and really sensitive stuff. Those are the sorts of criteria I would use to pick the next public body. Another reason I might choose a particular public body is if there have been a lot of complaints about privacy issues arising out of a particular public body. So those are the kinds of criteria I would use. Thank you.

Chairperson: Thank you, Ms. Keenan Bengts. (interpretation) Mr. Enook, do you still have more questions?

Mr. Enook (interpretation): Yes, apparently. Thank you, Madam Chairperson. Lastly, I will ask about the recent audit. You audited the Qikiqtani General Hospital. After the audit was completed, do you have an understanding now relating to the health centres in Rankin Inlet and Cambridge Bay, would

ᖃᐅᔨᓴᓚᐅᖅᓯᒪᓯᖅᐱᑦ? ᑐᑭᓯᓇᓲᑎᒋᒪ, ᐃᕝᕿᐅᐸᑕᖅ. ᖅᑯᔭᓐᓇᒥᒃ, ᐃᔅᕆᐯᐅᑕᖅ.

ᐃᕝᕿᐅᐸᑕᖅ: ᖅᑯᔭᓐᓇᒥᒃ, ᒥᔅ ᐃᓄᒃ. ᒥᔅ ᑭᓇᓐ ᐸᐃᖕᔅ.

ᑭᓇᓐ ᐸᐃᖕᔅ (ᑐᓵᔭᑎᒍᑦ): ᖅᑯᔭᓐᓇᒥᒃ, ᐃᕝᕿᐅᐸᑕᖅ. ᓯᕗᓪᓕᖅᐹᒥ ᓴᒃᑲᑕᒃᑯᑦ ᑎᒋᖅᑎᖕᖓᓂ ᐃᒻᒥᔪᖕᓴᕋᑦ ᓯᓪᓗ ᓄᓇᕆᐅᑎᓕᐊᖅᑯᑦ ᔭᖅᖅᑦ, ᐃᓄᓕᕆᖅᑯᑦ ᓂᖅᑲᑐᑎᖅᑲᑕᖅᑐᑦ; ᐱᓇᐅᔾᓂᒃ ᐃᖃᖅᑲᓂᖅᒍ ᑎᒋᐴᒐᐃᐅᑦ. ᑕᒪᒃᑲ ᑎᒋᐴᔅ ᑕᖅᑲᒃᑯᓐᒥ ᐱᓄᕇᖅᑯᑦ ᑕᓕᖅᑐᖅᑑᒥᒃ ᑐᖅᑭᑎᖅᑎᖕᑭᐅᕿᓐᓗ ᑐᓯᖅᑲᑕᐱᖅᖅᑐᖕᒃ ᐱᓯᑎᖅᑕᑐᖅᑲᖕᓂᒃ. ᑖᓇ ᓯᕗᓕᐅᐱᑎᓕᖅᑕᕋ ᐱᔪᐱᓂᓗ ᓂᕐᐊᖅᔪᓂᒥᒃ ᓴᒃᑯᑦ ᑎᒋᖅᑎᖕᓂᑦ ᐃᓕᖕᓂᖕᒃ.

ᑐᑭᓯᒃᐊᑎᖕᒃ ᐱᓂᐊᔪᖕᖏᒃᑐᑦ ᖅᕝᕿᑕᖅᖏᖃᖕᒃ ᐃᓯᒪᔪᓐᖅᖃᑭᒧᒥᐸᑲᖅᑐᖅᑭ. ᑖᒃᑲ ᑕᒎ ᓯᕙᑎᖅᖓᖅᑲᖅᑑᒃ. ᖅᑭᖅᖃᓂ ᔭᖕᓂᐊᐅᖕᒃ ᔭᖕᔪᓐᓂᓐ ᔭᒃᒍ ᐃᕝᐱᓇᖅᑐᑎᖕᓂᒃ ᐱᓂᐊᖅᖅᖃᖅᑲᖅᑐᑦ. ᑖᒃᑲ ᑕᒎ ᑐᖅᑲᓕᕆᓕᖅᑲᖕᒃ ᓂᖅᐊᕆᓂᖃᒪ ᓚᓇᐊᓴᓂᒃ ᖅᑲᐅᔨᓴᓂᐊᕿᑭᖕᒃᒪ ᓴᒃᑯᑦ ᑎᒋᖅᑎᖕᓂᒃ. ᓯᕙᑎᖅᖅᔪᒥᕿᖅ ᐃᒪᖅ ᐆᓕᖅᓂᖕᓂᑐᖕᒃ ᓂᑎᐊᖃᐅᖅᖅᑕ ᓗᑐᖕᓇ ᐃᒻᒥᔪᖕᕋᖕᒃ ᒃᓚᓚᖅᑐᑎᓂᓐᒍ ᑎᒋᐴ ᒥᖅᓄᑦ. ᑖᒃᑲ ᑕᒎ ᑐᖕᓚᕆᓂᖅᑲᖕᒃ. ᖅᑯᔭᓐᒥᒃ.

ᐃᕝᕿᐅᐸᑕᖅ (ᑐᓵᔭᑎᒍ): ᖅᑯᔭᓐᒥᒃ, ᒥᔅ ᑭᓇᓐ ᐸᐃᖕᔅ. ᒥᔅ ᐃᓄᒃ, ᓱᓕ ᐊᐱᕆᓂᐊᖅᐲᑦ?

ᐃᓄᒃ: ᐄᒍᖅ, ᖅᑯᔭᓐᒥᒃ, ᐃᔅᕆᐯᐅᑕᖅ. ᖅᑯᔭᓐᒥᒃ. ᑭᖕᔪᓪᓕᖅᖓᒃᒥᐃ ᑭᖕᔪᓪᓕᖅᖓᒥᒃ ᐊᐱᖅᑯᑎᖅᑕᐅᖅᐅᓇᒍ ᖅᑲᐅᔨᓇᐅᐅᑐᐅᖅᑐᕝ ᒥᒃᓄᑦ. ᖅᑭᖅᖃᓂ ᐊᖕᓂᐊᖕ ᖅᑲᐅᔨᓴᓗᐅᒐᐅᑦᖕ, ᖅᑲᐅᔨᓯᓂᖅᑲᐅᕲᓴᑦ ᑕᒎ ᐃᒻᒥᓐᖓᖅᑕ ᐊᒻᓗ ᑐᖅᔭᐅᓕᐊᑦ ᑭᖕᓕᖕᒥ ᖅᑎᑎᒥᑐᓂᓗ ᔭᖕᓂᐊᖅᖃᖕᔭᖕᒃ, ᑲᖕᓂᖅᓂᖅᑎᒃᑐᖅ,

ᐃᖅᓗᔾᒃᑎᐊᕲᑐᓐᓗ ᐆᔪᖕᒃ ᖅᑲᐅᔨᓴᓂᐊᑦ

there be similar guidelines for auditing those two centres?

Chairperson (interpretation): Thank you, Mr. Enook. Ms. Keenan Bengts.

Ms. Keenan Bengts: Thank you, Madam Chairperson. I think you'll find that many of the recommendations we will be making out of this may have all three health centres in mind. We haven't gone to those other health centres. We didn't talk to anyone at those health centres.

We got a lot of information about how the system works and how the information moves within the territory. I think our recommendations this time will also, to some degree, if not to a large degree, address some of the communication problems between the nursing centres in the smaller communities and the hospital here. There is a lot of information that gets exchanged and travels in the health sector.

One of the reasons it's so important to have health-specific privacy legislation is because the general legislation just doesn't work for the way that the health information needs to travel in order to be effectively used to provide health services. I would absolutely consider doing one of the other health centres, but I think you'll find that many of the recommendations we're going to make would be applicable to most of the health facilities. Thank you.

Chairperson: Thank you, Ms. Keenan Bengts. Just before we break, I want to take the liberty to ask a question. You did the investigation for the hospital here in Iqaluit; did you do the same thing in Yellowknife? If so, how similar were

ᐊᕈᐱᖏᖅᐸᐊᑦ ᑕᒫᓪ, ᐃᒪᐊᓇ ᐃᕐᓗᓇᖅᐸ? ᖁᔭᓐᓇᒦᒃ, ᐃᕐᐯᐅᐸᑖᖅ.

ᐃᕐᐯᐅᐸᑖᖅ: ᖁᔭᓐᓇᒦᒃ, ᒪᕐᑕ ᐃᓄᒃ. ᒥᔅ ᐲᓇ ᐸᐃᖕᕆ.

ᐲᓇ ᐸᐃᖕᕆ (ᑐᓯᐋᑎᒍᑦ): ᖁᔭᓐᓇᒦᒃ, ᐃᕐᐯᐅᐸᑖᖅ. ᑖᒃᑯᐊ ᐊᑐᑦᖁᔭᕈᐊᑦ ᐅᓄᖅᑐᑦ ᑕᐊᕙᓐᓴᒪ ᑖᑯᓂᖃᒪ ᐱᖕᓱᕐᓂᒃ ᐋᓇᐊᖅᖅᓂ ᐃᓯᒪᔨᖅᒍᖅᖼᑕ. ᑕᐃᒃᑯᐊ ᐅᐸᒃᕐᒪᐊᖅᑐᐊᑭᑦᖕᐊᖅᑕ ᐋᓇᐊᖼᑦ ᐅᖃᕐᐊᑎᒃᑕᒃᓱᒪᕗᓇᖕᑐ.

ᑕᒪᓪ ᓄᐊᑎᓄᐅᑕᖅᑦ ᐅᓄᖅᑐᓂ ᖃᓄᖅ ᐊᐅᓚᓂᖅᑕᓪᖁᒧᕐᑦ, ᖃᓄᖅᓗ ᐃᓴᖅᖁᖅᒪᖅᓔᑦᔩᑕ ᓄᐊᕗᑦ ᐃᓗᐊᕐ. ᑕᐃᒪᐊ ᐊᑐᑦᖁᔭᖅᑦ ᒪᒪ ᖃᓄᑎᖕᕆᐊᖅ ᐊᖅᕆᒡᒧ ᔪᖅᐊᖅᑦ, ᐊᒡᐸᐅᓗᔪᐊᖅᔪᖅᐊᖅ. ᒪᑯᐊ ᑐᓴᐅᓯᖃᖅᑕᑦᓇᓘᖅ ᐋᓇᐊᖼᖅ ᓄᐊᑎᖕᓇ, ᓄᐊᑎᖕᓇ ᒥᑭᔪᑕᖅᓘᖅᓇ ᑕᐊᖁᓇᓗ ᐋᓇᐊᖼᒥ. ᑕᐃᒃᑯᐊ ᐅᓄᖅᑐᖅᔪᐊᖁᓇᖕᓂᒃ ᐊᒥᖃᖃᕐᓯᓖᑦ ᑐᓴᐅᓚᔪᑎᖕᓄ ᑖᒃᑯᐊ ᐊᐅᓪᐊᖅᖅᖕᑎᒧᓘᖅ.

ᑕᒪᓪᓂ ᐱ�'ᓘᓇᐅᕐᔮᑎᖕᒧ ᐋᓇᐊᖃᓇᖕᖅᑐᕋᓂᓇᐅᕐ ᑐᖕᖕᓘᖅᓴᓇᐅᕐᖅᖕᑐᓂ ᒪᓕᖃᖕᑎᖃᕐᖕ. ᒪᒪ ᒪᓕᖃᕆᖕᐅᖅ ᐊᑐᑎᖃᖕᓇᕐᒧᑕ ᑖᒃᑯᓇᐅᒧ. ᑕᒪᒪ ᒪᑯᐊ ᑐᓴᐅᓚᖅᖕᓇᐅᐮᖅ ᐋᓇᐊᖃᓇᖕᖅᑐᕋᓇᓯᖕᓇ ᐱᔪᑎᖅᑎᖃᖕᓇᐅᖅᒧᐊᖕᒪ ᐋᓇᐊᖃᓇᖕᖅᑐᕋᓇᓯᖕᒥᒃ. ᑕᒪᒪ ᐊᕐᒪᖅᖕᔭᑎᐅᑎᑖᖁᖅᕝᖅᐮᑦ ᑭᖼᐊᓇ ᐊᑐᑎᐊᖕᐮ ᑕᒃᑯᐊ ᐅᓄᖅᑐᑦ ᐊᕐᒪᖃᖕᓯᓇᑐᓄᐮᓇᓘᖅ ᐊᕐᓇᓄ ᐋᓇᐊᖼᖕᓄ. ᖁᔭᓐᓇᒦᒃ.

ᐃᕐᐯᐅᐸᑖᖅ (ᑐᓯᐋᑎᒍᑦ): ᖁᔭᓐᓇᒦᒃ, ᒥᔅ ᐲᓇ ᐸᐃᖕᕆ. ᓄᖅᑲᓇᐅᖅᖕᓇᓇᑎᖕᓇ ᐊᐱᕆᔪᕐᓘᒍ ᐊᕐᓗᑐᐋᓇᖅᖅᑐᑦ ᖃᐅᔭᖕᖅᑎᖕᑐᓇᑦ ᐋᓇᐊᖼᒥ ᑕᐊᖁᓇ ᐃᖃᓗᖕᓇ, ᔪᓗᐅᐋᖕᒥ. ᑕᐃᒪᖕᓇᓘᓇᐅᖅ

ᐱᓇᓇᖕᖅᑎᑖᖅᑦ? ᖃᓄᖅᓗ ᐊᕐᓘᖕᑎᓂᒐᐅᖅᐸᕐ? ᖁᔭᓐᓇᒦᒃ.

they? Thank you. Ms. Keenan Bengts.

Ms. Keenan Bengts: Thank you, Madam Chairperson. I've never done a privacy audit before. This is my first. I am now considering doing one in the Northwest Territories, but I haven't, as yet, raised that possibility with them. No, the short answer is I haven't done it there. Thank you.

Chairperson: Thank you, Ms. Keenan Bengts. Just one other question, well it always leads to another one. Would you consider doing another one here before you do one in the Northwest Territories? When we talk about a year from now, would you wait a year because you are going to do one in the Northwest Territories? Or is it because you just need that time and then in a year's time maybe you'll think of doing another one here? If you are, I might suggest QEC would be a good one to look at. Thank you. Ms. Keenan Bengts.

Ms. Keenan Bengts: Thank you, Madam Chairperson. If I think another privacy audit should be done in Nunavut, I'd do it. I try to separate "do one there, then one here," I don't do it that way. The Northwest Territories operates a little differently than here. I think that I would be more welcome here in doing another privacy audit. I find that the people of Nunavut are always anxious to improve what they're doing and how they're doing it. That's my experience. To that reason, I think the audits are a little more welcome than they might be in the Northwest Territories. Thank you.

Chairperson: Thank you, very much too. I think at this time, we'll take a ten minute break. Thank you.

Γᐦ Ṗᒐᵃ ᐸᐃᵃᖃᐟ.

Ṗᒐᵃ ᐸᐃᵃᖃ (ᑐᕼᐱᠺᑐJᶜ): ᕿᑦᖅᵃᒡᖅ, ᐃᖃᕐᓀᐅᑐᑳᖅ. ᖃᵃᔪᒐᖅᑐᑎᓂᓂᒃᔾ ᕿᑲᐱᖏᐅᖅᓱᒐᖅᒪᒐᒪ ᑳᒐ ᓱᙵᓚᖅᐸᖧᐅᑐᕐᒡᶜ. ᓄᒐᶜᑎᐊᕿᒐ ᐃᓱᒪᒃᕼᖅᓴᐅᑎᖅᖅᑐᖧᑢ ᐅᕐᐊᒐ ᒡᵃᒐ ᓱᑕ ᕼᖅᕈᓚᐅᵃᖅᕐᑕ ᐱᑎᓂᑐᒐᓐᓀᐊᖅᕆᓱᒐ ᑖᐃᑯᒐᖧᖧᖧ. ᑭᐅᓱ, ᑖᐃᒐᓚᑐᐅᐅᖅᓱᒪᐊᖅᑐᖧᑢ ᑕᐅᕿᓂ. ᕿᑦᖅᵃᒡᖅ.

ᐃᖃᕐᓀᐅᑐᑳᖅ (ᑐᕼᐱᠺᑐJᶜ): ᕿᑦᖅᵃᒡᖅ, Γᐦ Ṗᒐᵃ ᐸᐃᵃᖃ

ᐊᑌᒐ ᐊᐱᖅᑯᑎᖃᖅᓄᖅ. ᓄᒐᶜᑎᐊᕿᒐ ᑖᐃᑕᐃᐅᑐᒐᐅᑌᖅᓂᑐᖧᑢᑞ ᒪᓂ ᐱᑎᓂᖃᵃᓂᒪᐅᓚᕼᖃᖅᐱᑢᑞ ᒡᵃᖧᔭ ᐊᖅᔾᒡᓂᔾᐊᖅᑐᖧᑢᖧ? ᐊᖅᔾᒡᒐ ᑐᑉᖃᒃᐱᓚᖃᔥ ᓄᒐᶜᑎᐊᕿᒐ ᐱᑎᓂᑲᐅᖅᒐᖧᓂᖧᒐ, ᑐᖅᖦᒐᒐᖦᑢᶜ ᐊᓂᖅᖃᓀᐊᖅᖃᖧᐊᑞ, ᐊᒪᵃᒐ ᐊᖅᔾᒐᑐᒡ Ꮮᖅ ᐃᓱᒪᒃᕼᖅᐅᑐᒐᖅᖧᖧᑐᐅᖅᖅᒐᖧᖧᐅᓂᖅᓄᖅ. ᑖᐃᑕᐃᐅᑐᒡᓂᐊᖅᖃᖅ ᐅᖅᖦᖃᒐᖅᑐᖧᑢ ᑮᖧᐊ ᐅᐳᒡᖅᑎᑎᓐᓱᖧᑯᒐᶜ ᑖᐃᑕᐃᐅᑐᕐᒡᒐᒐᕼᖅᒐᑞ. Γᐦ Ṗᒐᵃ ᐸᐃᵃᖃ.

Ṗᒐᵃ ᐸᐃᵃᖃ (ᑐᕼᐱᠺᑐJᶜ): ᕿᑦᖅᵃᒡᖅ, ᐃᖃᕐᓀᐅᑐᑳᖅ. ᑖᐃᓚᑞ ᖃᵃᔪᒐᖅᑐᑎᓂᓂᒃᔾ ᓄᒐᐳᶜᒐ ᕿᑲᐱᖏ ᐊᖅᖦᖅᑐᕿᖃᖃᒐᖅᖧᔥᖃᖧᐸᑞ, ᐊᑐᖦᵃᒐᑎᒐᐅᕼᖦᶜᖃᕼᐳᶜ ᑕᐅᕿᓂ ᐱᑎᓂᓚᐅᑞᒐᖧᑢ. Ꮮᖅᓂ ᐱᑎᓂᒐᕼᒐᖧᑢ ᑖᐃᑕᐃᐅᖅᖅᖃᐅᑐᕐᒡᒐᒪᑞ. ᓄᒐᶜᑎᐊᕿᒐ ᐊᖧᐱᕿᑎᐊᖅᖅᑎᕿᖧᒐᓂᖧᶜ ᐊᐳᒐᓂᖅᖃᖅᖅᑎᖅᒡᒐᑞ ᐊᑌᒐ ᑳᒐᓂ Ꮮᖅ ᑐᖅᖦᖧᑎᕼᖅᖅᐅᓚᕼᖅᔾᒐᑞ ᑖᐃᒐᒃ ᕿᑲᐱᖃᖅᖧᐅᕼᒐᒪᒐ. ᐅᕼᖦᓚᕼᖧᒡ ᓄᒐᐳᶜᒐ ᑐᖅᖦᓀᒐᖅᖧᐊᖅᕿᖃᖃᖦᑎᒐᖧᐅᕿᖃᖅᖅᒐᑞ ᕿᑲᐊᑎᐅᖅᒐᕿᒐᖧ ᕼᖅᐱᕼᖅᖅᑎᖧᓂᔾᓂᒐᓐᓄᖃ ᕿᑲᐱᕼᒐᕼᓚᕼᒐᑞ. ᑕᒪᵃᒐ ᐱᖧᑎᒐᖧᑞᒡ ᕿᑲᐱᖧᓂᐅᖧᕿᖅ ᑐᖅᖦᖧᑎᓚᖅᖦᑎᐅᑎᒐᖅᖧᐅᕿᖅᖅᒐᑞ Ꮮᖅ ᑕᐅᕿᖅᖅᓄᖅᓂ ᓄᒐᶜᑎᐊᕿᒐ. ᕿᑦᖅᵃᒡᖅ.

ᐃᖃᕐᓀᐅᑐᑳᖅ (ᑐᕼᐱᠺᑐJᶜ): ᕿᑦᖅᵃᒡᖦᒪᕆᓂᖅ. Ꮮᵃᒐ 10 ᒥᓂᕼᖦᒡ ᓄᕿᖃᖅᓚᖃᐃᵃᒐᖧᒐᖅᖠᑐᶜ. ᕿᑦᖅᵃᒡᖅ.

>>Committee recessed at 15:05 and resumed at 15:21	>>ᓄᖅᑲᖴᓕᒃᐃᒃᖑᐊᖅᑐᑦ 15:05Γ ᑲᔪᵃᖃᓂᖅᑐᑎᓐᓕᓗ 15:21Γ
Chairperson: Welcome back, everybody. Next on my list is Mr. Shooyook.	**ᐃᒃᓯᕚᐅᑕᖅ** (ᑐᖭᝀᑎᒍᑦ): ᑐᒃᓯᕐᑎᕐᖅ ᐅᑎᖅᕆᕐ. ᐊᑎᖅᑎᖕᒪᓂ ᕒᐟ ᓱᔪᖅ ᐊᕆᓕᓂᐊᑦᒡᕼᕐ.
Mr. Shooyook (interpretation): Thank you, Madam Chairperson. Welcome to the Standing Committee. I don't want to stay in one place but my colleague, Joanasie, asked part of the question. I would like to ask a short question on page six as a question.	**ᓱᔪᖅ**: ᖄᑯᓐᝀᒡᕼᖅ, ᐃᒃᓯᕚᐅᑖᖅ ᐊᒻᓗ ᑕᐃᝀᝀ ᑲᑎᒪᕿᐊᑦᓯᓄᑦ ᑐᖮᕼᓲᕼᑕ. ᑕᐁᖅᓂᐑᑕᐃᝀᖭᖐᝀᖕᖃᝀᖅᑐᝀᑯᑕ, ᐃᒃᓯᕚᐅᑖᖅ. ᑕᐁᖅᓂ ᒪᕐᒪᑕᐅᖅᑎᐅᖅᑲᓇᒥ ᕼᐊᝀᓯᐳᑦ ᐊᖁᖅᑎᖅᖕᖏᝀᖂᝀᝀᖭᓄᒪ Ċᕐᒦᖕᖢ ᝀᐃᐖᕼᓗ ᐊᖕᓂᖃᖃᝀᝀᐊᔪᖤᓴᒪᝀᖗᖢ ᝀᖭᖃᝀᖂᖃᖅᐅᖗᝀ. 6-Γ ᑕᐁᖅᓂ ᐊᑐᐊᓕᓂᐊᕿᐖᝀᒡᓗᝀᖂ ᝀᝀᖂᖃᝀᖗᖆᖅᝀᝀᖅᖕᖔᖆᝀᖗ ᑕᐃᝀᝀ.
In the 2014-15 Assembly when we elected, I've always worked hard on implementing *Inuit Qaujimajatuqangit* inside the Assembly. With that being the case, when my colleague was asking the question, I understood firstly that you had never met with the *Inuit Qaujimajatuqangit* board and if you delay that any further then the *Inuit Qaujimajatuqangit* will not be part of the operation for the next five or ten years. What are your goals if *Inuit Qaujimajatuqangit* is not included in those audits?	2014-2015 ᑕᐃᒪᓂ ᓂᕆᐊᖅᑕᐅᑎᓐᝀᖆᑦ ᑕᒪᔪᒡᝀᖢᖅ ᐃᓄᐃᑦ ᖃᐅᔾᝀᝁᔭᑐᖃᖕᖃᝀᖂᝀᖂᝀᝀᖗ ᐅᖃᝀᒡᝀᝀᖃᖃᝀᖗᖂᝀᖗᖂᝀᖗᖂᝀᖗᝀᖗᖂᝀᖗᝀᖗᝀᖗᝀᝀᝀᖗᖂᝀᖗᖂᝀᖗᖂᝀᖗᝀᖗᝀᖗᝀᖗᝀᝀᝀᝀᝀᝀᖗᝀᝀᝀᝀᝀᝀᝀᝀᝀᝀᝀᝀᝀᝀᝀᝀᝀᝀᝀᝀᝀᝀᝀᝀᝀᝀᝀᝀᝀᝀᝀᝀᝀᝀᝀᝀᝀᝀᝀ
Chairperson (interpretation): Thank you, Mr. Shooyook. Ms. Keenan Bengts.	**ᐃᒃᓯᕚᐅᑕᖅ**: ᖄᑯᓐᝀᒡᕼᖅ, ᕒᐟ ᓱᔪᖅ. ᕒᔅ Ṗᝀᝀ <ᐃᖅᕼᔅ.
Ms. Keenan Bengts: Thank you, Madam Chairperson. I am not sure that I understand the question but I'm still trying to get a meeting with them. It might be before the end of December this year, it might not be until sometime next year but I'm still working on getting a meeting with them. Thank you.	**Ṗᝀᝀ <ᐃᖅᕼᔅ** (ᑐᖭᝀᑎᒍᑦ): ᖄᑯᓐᝀᒡᕼᖅ, ᐃᒃᓯᕚᐅᑖᖅ. ᒪᕒᐊᝀᖅ. ᑐᑭᓯᕼᝀᝀᖅᒡᓯᝀᖀᖭᑯ ᖃᐅᔾᝀᝀᖅᑭᑯᝀᝀᖀᖅᑐᖕᖢ ᝀᝀᖃᖅᑎᝀᖐᖅ. ᔅᖝ Ḷᝀᝀᝀ ᑲᑎᖃᑎᕆᝀᖅᑲᑖᖅᕳᝀᝀᐖᖃᖃ. ᐢᝀᝀᝀᖅᐅᕳᕼᝀᖃᝀᖢ ᐅᖅᕳᖚᖃᝀᖂᝀ ᝀᖅᕳᔪᝀ ᝀᝀᖗᖃᝀᖆᖂᝀᖃᝀᖃᝀᖆᖂᝀᖭᝀ. ᖅᝀᝀᖃ ᐃᑎᝀᝀᖃᝀᖆᝀᖃᝀᖅᝀᝀᖃᖅᕳᑯᝀᝀᖃᝀᖃᝀ ᑕᐃᝀᖅᕳᝀ. ᖄᑯᓐᝀᒡᕼᖅ.
Chairperson: Thanks, Ms. Keenan Bengts. Mr. Shooyook.	**ᐃᒃᓯᕚᐅᑕᖅ** (ᑐᖭᝀᑎᒍᑦ): ᖄᑯᓐᝀᒡᕼᖅ, ᕒᐟ Ṗᝀᝀ <ᐃᖅᕼᔅ. ᕒᐟ ᓱᔪᖅ.
Mr. Shooyook (interpretation): Thank	ᓱᔪᖅ: ᖄᑯᓐᝀᒡᕼᖅ, ᐃᒃᓯᕚᐅᑖᖅ. ᖄᑯᓐᝀᒡᕼᖅᖂ Ċᝀᝀ

you, Madam Chairperson and thank you. With the timeline for the legislation to be amended, it would be preferable to see in Nunavut; we are only told by the government that there is no legislation and with us having Nunavut now, we have to start getting involved with *Inuit Qaujimajatuqangit*.

With that being the case for instance, we're still under the old legislation of the NWT. The changes that you're planning, if that's implemented and we approve it. In that case, would Inuit traditional knowledge be included more in your activities? Thank you, Madam Chairperson.

Chairperson (interpretation): Thank you, Mr. Shooyook. Ms. Keenan Bengts.

Ms. Keenan Bengts: Thank you, Madam Chairperson. You actually ask a really interesting question and something that I've thought about from time to time over the years, because access to information and protection of privacy legislation, particularly the privacy side of the legislation, is based very much on southern European government history. It has never really taken into account the people's history, especially up here. I think there is a very different approach to the concept of privacy in Inuit culture.

That said, I think it's also important in today's day and age that Nunavummiut have privacy protections available to them. I think that there probably would be, and I think you're right in that it would be very valuable to discuss these concepts with the people who know the culture.

In the end, I think it's important that the legislation does what it's supposed to do,

LᑕᑕᑉᏆᢖᑉ ᐃ�services ᓄ Ć ᐴᓪ ᐊᑉᑕᐅ ᓱᑦᐅᑎ ᐅᑦᑦᕐᓈ ᑎᑦᑎᑕ ᐊᑉᑕᐅᓱᑦᑕ ᑕᑯᕐᒐᐊᑦ ᖃᐅᔨᒪ ᕐᐊᖅ ᕐ ᕈ ᑦᕐᑦᕐ ᓱᑦᑎᑦ ᓐᒑᑕ ᕐᖃᓄ ᑦ ᓱᑦᑎᑦ. Ćᐊᓇ ᑲᖕᑦᓵᕋᖕᐅᖕ ᐅᕐ ᖃᒃ ᑦ. ᓄᕐ ᖅ ᕐ ᑦᕐᓐᑦᒐᓄ ᑦ ᕐ ᐃᓑ ᐅᑕᓐᕐ ᐊ ᕐᖃᒃ ᕐ ᕐ Ć Ćᕐ ᕐ ᑕᒻᒃ ᐊᓇᒐᖕᑦ ᐃᓄ ᐃᓄᐊ ᕐ ᑦᕐ ᐳ ᕐ ᖃᒃ ᕐᐊ ᕐ ᐊ ᕐ.

ᑕᒻᒃᐊᓇ ᑕᐅᑕᓕᐅᒻᒐᓐᑕ ᐊ ᕐ, ᓄ ᐃ ᑎᓐ ᕐ ᐊ ᒐ ᕐᑦ ᕐᕐ ᕐᕐᑦ ᕐ ᐅᕐ ᕐ ᕐ. ᑕ ᒐ ᕐ Ćᐊᓇ ᖃᒃ ᕐ ᐊᖕ ᒃᐅ ᕐ ᐊ ᕐᖃ ᕐ ᕐᑦ ᕐᑦᑎᑦ ᑕᒐ ᕐ ᑕᒻᒃᐊ ᕐ ᐅᐊ ᐃᓄ ᐃᓄ ᖃᒃᕐᐊ ᕐᐊ ᕐ ᐊᑎ ᑕᐅᕐᕐ ᐅ ᕐ ᕐ ᒻᒐᖕ ᕈ ᕐᖃᐅ ᓐ ᕐ, ᑕᒻᒃ ᐊᖃ ᕈ ᐊ ᐅ ᒻᒃ ᑕ ᕐᕐᓱᓄ ᐊ ᕐ ᑎ ᕐ ᐊ ᕐᑎ ᓄᑎ? ᕐᑦᕐᐊ ᕐᒃ, ᐊ ᕐ ᖃ ᐅᑦᒃ.

ᐊ ᕐ ᖃ ᐅᑦᒃ: ᕐᑦᕐᐊ ᕐᒃ, ᒐ ᕐᑕ ᕐ ᕐ ᕐ ᒃ. ᒐ ᕐᑕ ᕐ Ṗᐊᓇ <ᐊᓗᒃ ᕐ.

Ṗᐊᓇ <ᐊᓗᒃ ᕐ (ᒍᕐᕐᑎᒐᑦ): ᕐᑦᕐᐊ ᕐᒃ, ᐊ ᕐ ᖃ ᐅᑦᒃ. Ćᐊᓇ ᐊ ᕐ ᕐᒃ ᕐ ᒃᒐᒃᕐᒐᖕ ᒻ ᕐ ᐅᕐᒃ. ᐃᓄ ᕐᓄ ᕐᑕ ᐅ ᕐ ᕐ ᕐᑕ ᐊᕐᕐᒃᒐᒃᑦᒐ ᐅᑎ ᕐᒐ ᕐᒃ ᕐᕐᕐ ᕐᒻᒐ ᕐ ᒻᑦᑕ. ᕐ ᕐ ᐊ ᕐᒻᒐ ᐊᒐ ᐅᐊ ᕐ ᕐᒐᖕ ᕐ ᑲᖕᒐ ᕐᒍ ᕐᒐ ᕐᕐᓄᑦ ᐊᒻᒐᓄᒃ ᕐ ᐊ ᓄ ᕐ ᑦ ᕐ ᕐ ᒻ. ᑲᖕᐊ ᐃᒻᒐ ᕐ ᕐ ᒃᕐ ᑕᓕᐅ ᕐ ᐊᖃᓄ ᒍ ᕐᕐ ᒐ ᕐ ᑕ ᕐᑎ ᕐ ᕐ ᕐᒃᕐ ᐊᑎ ᐊᓕ ᕐ ᒻᒻ ᕐᒐ ᕐ ᒻ. Ćᕐ ᕐᕐ ᐊᖕᕐ ᐅᕐᒃ ᕐᒻ ᕐᒐ ᕐᕐ ᕐᒻ ᕐᑦᑦᑕ ᑲᖕᐊ ᐃᓄ ᐃᓄ ᕐᑦᕐ ᕐ ᒻ ᕐ ᒻᒃᒐ ᕐᓄ, ᒻᑎᓄ ᕐ ᕈ ᕐ ᒃ. Ćᐊᓇ ᕐᐳᓇ ᕐᑦᑎᒻ ᒐᑦᓄ ᕈ ᕐᒻ ᒻᒃᒐᐳ ᕐ ᒻᒃᒐᒃ ᒻᒐᒐ ᕐ ᐊᒻᒐᓄ ᒃᒐ ᕐᒻ ᒃ ᐃᓄ ᐊ ᒻᒃᒐ ᕐᑦ ᕐ.

ᑕᐅᒻᒐ ᐅᕐᒃ ᕈ ᐊᕐᒃ ᒻ ᒻ ᐊᕐᒃᒐᐅᕐᒃ ᒻᒐ ᐅᒻ ᒍᕐᑦᑕ ᕐ ᒻᒃ Ćᕐ ᕐᕐ ᓄ ᕐ ᕐᑕ ᕐ ᕐᑕ ᕐᒃᒐ ᕐᐳᒻ ᕐ ᒻ ᕐᒃ ᑲᖕᐊ ᒻᒻᒃᒐ ᕐᒐᐊ ᕈ ᕐ. ᕐᑦᒻᕐ ᒃ ᒻᒐ ᑕᒻᒃᐊ ᐊ ᐳᑎ ᕐᒃᒐ ᕈ ᕐᒃᕐᕐᑎ ᕐᒐᐊ ᕐ ᑕᑕᐅᒻᒐ ᕐ ᖃᒃ ᕐ ᕐ ᒻᒃᒐ ᒻᒃ.

Ṗᕐᐊ ᕐᒐ ᐅᑕ ᕐ ᕐᒻᒃᒻᑦ Ćᐳᒻ ᑕ ᕐ ᐊ ᕐ ᓄ ᐊᑕᒻᑎᕐᕐ ᐅᒻ ᕐᒻᒐᒃᑕ ᐃᓄ ᕐ ᒻ ᒻᒃᒐᓄ ᒻ. Ćᐊᓇ

in terms of protecting the individual's privacy. I think that's a policy issue. It's not for me to say what policy should be. I think that there are things that could be done within the legislation, which would make it more sensitive to Inuit culture. I also think that it's really important in today's day and age, where everything is electronic and personal information is a commodity, that people in Nunavut are protected. So there has got to be a balancing. I hope that answers the question. Thank you.

Chairperson: Thank you, Ms. Keenan Bengts. (interpretation) Any more questions? Mr. Shooyook.

Mr. Shooyook (interpretation): Thank you, Madam Chairperson. Thank you for your response. I think you understand my question fully. This is very important. Our culture is very important. I have come to understand the importance of privacy issues in Inuit culture. There are privacy issues also when you're counselling other people. It's completely different from our present legislation.

Elders who deal with individuals, they never bring that out to the public. With that being the case, Inuit counselling under IQ would either be through policies, or even through just legislation in the future. It is only then that traditional knowledge will help improve life. That's what I would like to see. I would like to see it in policies or legislation. If it cannot be included, please consider that very carefully so that the board can properly plan for that. I wanted to comment on that. Thank you, Madam Chairperson.

Chairperson (interpretation): Thank you, Mr. Shooyook. That was just a comment.

ᐊᒍᐊ�11ᖅᑎᒍᖏᓕᐊᖅᑲᖅᑯᒡᖁᒪᔅ. ᐅᖃᐯᖅᓇᖅᑎᒍᖕᓕᑕ ᓇᓕᐊᖅᑯᑦ ᐊᒍᐊᖃᑎᒍᔨᓇᐊᖅᖃᒡᖃᒐᒡᐃᓐ. ᑭᕆᐊᓂ ᐃᓯᒪᔪᖕᖃᐢᓇ ᐳᖅᑯᐊ ᒪᑕᓕᑕᐯᕆᖅᖅᑯᑦ ᐃᒍᐊᓂ ᐃᓕᖁᐊ ᐃᔅᐱᖕᖀᓂᐊᒍᓂᖅᔡᑐᕌᖕᒡᓇᖕᖠᖅᔡᕐᖁᓐᒧᓐᓐ. ᑭᕆᐊᓂ ᐃᓯᒪᖃᒥᒡᒐᒡᒐᐯᖅ ᐱᓗᒐᐊᐱᕆᓐᒍ ᐅᓐᒐᒥᐯᓐᒐᖅᑐᖅ. ᒪᑕᐊ ᖃᖃᓐᐯᕐᖃᐢᑎᒍᔅ ᖃᖃᓐᐯᕐᖃᐢᔡᖃᕐᖣᒪᐯᑐᐯᑯᓐᖕᒡᑐᒐ ᑕᒡᑕᖅᐯᓗ ᓂᐯᐢᔡᑕᐯᖃᐯᑕᕐᖃᖅᔧᓐᒧᓐ ᓴᐯᓗᒐᐯᔡᓐᐊᖃᕐᖃᐯᓇᑕ ᖃᖕᖏᒐᖅᔅᐯᒐᑎᖕᖀᕐᐢᑐ ᐃᓐᒐᒍᓐᖕᒡᒡᕐᖃᐢᐢᑐᖕᖏᐯᖅ. ᐯᖕᒐ ᓇᓐᒍᒍᑎᐊᕐᐯᒐᐯᑎᐯᓐᒐᖅᔡᒐᑕ. ᑭᐯᓗᒐᐯᕐᖐᒐᖕᒐᖅᔧᐊᖅᔅ. ᖃᐯᒍᐊᒥᐯ.

ᐃᒃᓯᕙᐅᑕᖅ (ᑐᓵᔨᑎᒍᑦ): ᖃᐯᒍᐊᒥᐯ, ᒥᔅ ᑭᓇᐊ ᐯᐃᖕᒡᓯ. ᒥᔅᑕ ᓱᔫᒃ.

ᓱᔫᒃ: ᖃᐯᒍᐊᒥᐯ, ᐃᒃᓯᕙᐅᑕᒥᐯ ᐊᒻᒐᓗ ᖃᐯᒍᐊᒥᐯ ᑕᒪᑐᒐᖕᖕᒡᓇ ᐊᐯᖃᖅᑯᑎᒥᕐᒐᖕᖘᒐᖅᑎᒐᓯᖅᓇᖅᐯᖕᒐᒍᔅ. ᐯᐃᖕᒐ ᐢᐯᐯᓐᖕᒡᐯᓗᓐ ᐢᐊᐯᖃᓐᔡᓐᐯᖅᕐᔅ, ᐢᐊᐯᓐᖕᒡᐯᓇᖕᒍᓇᖕᖅᐯ ᑐᐯᓐᔡᐢᐯᖕᒐᖕ ᐯᖃᕍᐯᖅᑯᑕᖕᒡᓇᖕᖣᖅ ᑕᒪᐢᐯ ᐃᓗᐊᐯᓐ ᐃᐢᖕᒡᐯᖕᒡᖕᒡᖕᒡᓐᒐᖕᒐᐯᖕᖅ ᐯᑕᖃᖕᒐᒪᓐᓂᖕᒐᓐᒐ, ᐅᖃᐯᐯᖕᒐᖕᖘᐯᐯᖕ ᐃᓗᐊᖕᒐᐯᖕ. ᐯᖕᖕᒐᓗ ᐯᐊᐯᖘᐯᐯᕐᖃᕍᐯᐯᕐ ᒪᖕᒐ ᒪᑕᓗᐯᓇᔅᐯᖕᒐ ᐊᔅᔡᐯᖕᒐᖕᖅᑎᕐᖕᒐᐯᐯᓇᖕᒐᐯᒃᓗᒐ. ᐃᓗᐊᖕᒐ ᐃᖕᒐᖕᒐᖅᑕᖕᒐ ᖃᐯᓐᒐᒐᐯᓗᐯᖃᐯᓗ ᖃᐯᖕᒐᐯᖃᐯ ᐃᓗᖕᒐᖕᒐ ᖃᐯᑕᖕᒐᖕᒐ ᓴᖃᓐᖕᒐᖕᖘᐯᐯᖅᔧᖅ ᐯᖃᕍᖕᒐᑕᖕᖘᐯᐯᖅᔡᖃᕐᖅ ᑎᒍᒐᖅᔡᐯᓐ.

ᑕᐯᒐᐯᖕᒐᑐᓐᖕᒐ ᑕᐯᑯᐯᖃ ᐃᓗᐊᐯᓐ ᖃᐯᐯᐯᒐᖕᒐᖅᑎᕐᖅ ᐃᓗᖃᕍᖃᐯᖘᐯᐯᖕᒐ ᑕᐯᓇᖕ ᐅᖃᐯᕍᐯᒐᖅᑲᐯᐯᕍᐯᐯᕐ ᐊᒍᐊᐯᐯᑐᐯᕍᐯᓐ ᐅᐯᐯᒐᐯᓐᒐᐯᓐ ᒪᑕᓗᐯᒐᖃᕐᖃᐯᐯᐯᐯᒐᖕᒐᑎᕍᓐᒡᖘᐯᓐ ᑕᐯᐯᐯᖕᒐ ᓭᖕᒐᖕᒐᐯᓐᒐᖅᓇᖕ. ᑕᒪᐢᐯ ᐊᑐᐯᖘᐯᐯᒐ ᑭᕆᐊᓂ ᐃᓗᐊᐯᓐ ᐯᖃᕍᐯᖕᒐ, ᖃᐯᐯᐯᒐᖕᒐᖅᑎᕐᖅ ᐃᓗᐊᐯ ᐊᖅᐯᐯᕍᐯᖃᖕᖘᐯᐯᒐᖅᔡᑕ ᑕᒪᑐᒐᖕᖕᒡᓇ ᐃᓗᐯᐯᕍᒡ ᐯᐯᐯᐯᐯᐯᐯᐯᐯᕍᐯᐯᖕᒐᖕᒐᖅᔧᕍᐯ. ᑕᒪᐢᐯ ᑕᑯᔡᓐᖕᒐᐢᓐᐯᐯᕍᓇ ᑕᒪᐢᐯ ᐊᒍᐊᐯᐯᓐᖕᒐᖕᒐᐯᓐᒐᓗᓇ ᒪᑕᓗᐯᓗ ᑕᐯᓇᖕ ᐯᐯᓐ ᐃᓐᓇᑐᐯᖘᐯᐯᕐᔡᐯᐯᖃᖕᖘᐯᐯ ᑕᒪᐢᐯ ᐃᐢᖕᒐᒐᓐᒐᖕᒐᖅᓇᖕᒐᖃᕐᖘᐯᐯ ᑕᑕᖕᒐᖕᒐᖅᔡᓯᖕᖃᐯ ᖃᐯᓂᖕᒐᐯᒐᖅᔅᖅᔅᑕᖕᒐ ᑕᒪᐢᐯ ᐅᖃᐯᐯᓐᐯᐯᖕᒐᐯᐯᖃᖃᐯᖕ. ᖃᐯᒍᐊᒥᐯ, ᐃᒃᓯᕙᐅᑕᖅ.

ᐃᒃᓯᕙᐅᑕᖅ: ᖃᐯᒍᐊᒥᐯ, ᒥᔅᑕ ᓱᔫᒃ. ᐅᖃᐯᑐᔡᐊᒐᐯᐯᖅᓇᐯᐯᖅᐯᔅ ᐊᐯᓐᖘᐯᐯᑎ ᐯᖕᒐᖅᔧᖕᖘᐯᐯᖅ

I don't think there is a question in there, but you can respond if you want. Ms. Keenan Bengts.	ᑭᕐᐋᓂ ᑭᐅᒍᒪᒍᐊ�containeᑦ ᑭᐅᒍᙰᖅᑐᏁᑦ. ᒥ ᑭ̇ᓇᐊ ᐸᐃᐊᖔ.
Ms. Keenan Bengts: I would say that the policy direction that any legislation takes would be up to you. I think you're quite right in terms of incorporating Inuit culture and Inuit approaches to privacy, which are different than what's in the legislation right now. I take your point, but I think that's a policy decision that is not my bailiwick. Thank you.	ᑭ̇ᓇᐊ ᐸᐃᐊᖔ (ᑐᓵᐳᑎᒍᑦ): ᐅᖅᑲᔭᙱᖅᑐᕘ ᐃᒪᕐᓇ ᒪᓕᒃᖃᖅᑲᑎᓐᓗᒍ ᐊᑐᐊᓕᑕᐅᕐᕌᖕᓂᓐᕁ ᐃᕐᕀᐃᑦ ᐱᓴᑎᐊᓐᒪᓴᐅᐳᑦ. ᐃ̇, ᓯᐊᕌᑎᑦ ᒪᒍᒎᖕᓂ ᐃᓕᑕᐅᕐᕀᓂᕁᓗᑦ ᐃᓄᐃᑦ ᐱᖅᑯᓯᕐᒪᓂᐅᑦ ᐊᒻᓗ ᐃᓄᐃᑦ ᐱᓴᑎᕀᔾᑀᓐᑎᕁ ᐃᒥᒏᑀᓗᕐᓯᐊᓐ ᑕᒃᐊᐊ ᐊᕀᒀᙰᕐᒪᓐᕁ ᒌᓐᐊ ᒪᓕᓴᕐᓐᓂ. ᑕᓐᐊ ᑐᓴᑎᐊᖅᑕᑕ ᐅᖅᑯᐳᓐᓚᑦ ᑌᓐᐊ ᐊᑐᐊᓕᕐᓐᑎᒍᑦ ᐊᕐᕁᑀᒍᙰᖅᒍᓕᑦ ᐅᕁᙰᐅᐳᕐᑎᑦᓯᑦ. ᕁᑤᕁᓇᒌᕐᕁ.
Chairperson: Thank you, Ms. Keenan Bengts. Mr. Mikkungwak, I think you're next on the list.	ᐃᕁᒃᕀᕞᐅᑕᕐᕁ (ᑐᓵᐳᑎᒍᑦ): ᕁᑤᕁᓇᒌᕐᕁ, ᒥ ᑭ̇ᓇᐊ ᐸᐃᐊᖔ. ᒥᕁᑦ ᒥᑭᕐᖕᒍᐊᖅ, ᐃᕁ(ᐊᐅᖅᒍᖅᑐᖅ.
Mr. Mikkungwak (interpretation): Thank you very much, Madam Chairperson. (interpretation ends) On page 17-18 of your 2015-16 annual report, you discuss a review of a recommendation that you make concerning the Department of Health. You indicate that you had recommended that compliance audits be conducted by the department at all health facilities in Nunavut to ensure that all policies and procedures with respect to file management were being followed. Can you explain what this type of compliance audit should entail? Thank you, Madam Chairperson.	ᒥᑭᕐᖕᒍᐊᖅ: ᒪ'ᓇᓗᐊᖅ, ᐃᕁᒃᕀᕞᐅᑀᖅᑐᖅ. (ᑐᓵᐳᒍᓐᕁᑐᖅ) ᒪᒪᐱᖂᒐᕁ 17-18 ᑎᕆ́ᕀᓂ 2015-16 ᐊᕐᕁᒎᒦᑕᕁᒫᐳᒥ ᐅᓇᖕᕁᓐᓄ ᐅᖅᑕᕀᕁᒐᕁᐊᓐ ᒌᑦᐊ ᐊᕟᓂᐊᕁᕁᑎᑦᑐᑎᓐᕁᒃᐊᑦ ᒥᕁᕑᓇᓐ ᓇᓄᐊᐃᕀᕀᓕᕁᐊᑦ ᒌᑦᐊ ᐊᑐᓂᕁᑀᕀᒪᕁᓐᐅᕁ ᒌᑦᐊ ᒪᓐᕁᑐᕁᑦᕡᙱᑦ ᕁᑭᐅᕀᕁᐄᓐᕁ ᐱᓴᑎᕁ ᒪᑦᕁᑦᕀᓐ ᐊᕟᓂᐊᓐᕀᓐ ᓄᐊᐳᒥ. ᒪᑦᕁᑦᐊ ᐊᑐᐊᓂᕟᑦ ᐊᑎᓐᐊᓐᐄᕁᓗ ᕁᒪᕁᕀᐅᓇᕁᕁᓐᕀᓐ ᒪᓐᕁᑭᐅᓂᓗᑦᕟᕁᙰᕀ. ᐅᖅᑕᕀᕁᑀᕁᓇᕁᕁᐳᕁ ᕁᓇᕁᑦ ᒪᓐᕁᑐᕁᑦᕟᕁᙰᕀ ᕁᑭᐅᕀᕁᓐᕀᑦ ᕁᓇᕁᕁ ᐃᑐᕁᕁᓐᕟᕁᕁᓕᕁ? ᕁᑤᕁᓇᒌᕐᕁ, ᐃᕁᒃᕀᕞᐅᑕᒌᕐᕁ.
Chairperson: Thank you, Mr. Mikkungwak. Ms. Keenan Bengts.	ᐃᕁᒃᕀᕞᐅᑕᕐᕁ (ᑐᓵᐳᑎᒍᑦ): ᕁᑤᕁᓇᒌᕐᕁ, ᒥᕁᑦ ᒥᑭᕐᖕᒍᐊᖅ. ᒥᕁᑦ ᑭ̇ᓇᐊ ᐸᐃᐊᖔ.
Ms. Keenan Bengts: Thank you, Madam Chairperson. In this case, one of the main problems was that the staff in the health centre wasn't following their policies and procedures and nobody was following up and I don't think it was a problem just in this particular facility. I think throughout the territory, there's a lot of turnover in	ᑭ̇ᓇᐊ ᐸᐃᐊᖔ (ᑐᓵᐳᑎᒍᑦ): ᕁᑤᕁᓇᒌᕐᕁ, ᐃᕁᒃᕀᕞᐅᑀᕁ. ᐃ̇, ᒪᒪᒪᕀᓐ ᐃᓄᐊᕁᓐᕐᑎᐅᕐᕟᑎᓐᕁᙰᐸᔾᓗᓐᐳᕁᕀ ᒌᑦᐊ ᐃᕁᑀᓇᐃᕁᕁᑀᕟ ᐊᕁᓐᐊᕁᕁᓐ ᐊᑐᐊᓂᕁᓐᕁ ᒪᓐᕁᐊᓐᕟᕁᑦᕡᗨᑦᑀᓗ ᐊᑐᓐᑀᐅᕁᕡᕁᒫᓐᑭᓐᕁᕁᐊᑦ. ᒌᑦᐊ ᕁᑭᐅᕀᕁᕀᕁ(ᑀᑦᕀᑀᕟᕁᓐᕀᑀᕁᕁᒫᗨᒫᕁᙰᕁᕁᕟᓐᐳᕁᕡᕁ. ᒌᑦᐊ ᐃᓄᐊᕁᓐᕐᑎᐅᕐᕟᑎᕁᒎᐊᕀᕁᐅᐊᕁᕟᑐᕁ ᑀᕁᕀᓂᐊᕁ ᐊᕁᓐᐊᕁᕁᓐ ᑭᕐᐋᓂ ᓄᐊᐳᒦᕟᒎᕁᕁᑐᕁ ᐃᕁᑀᓇᐃᕁᕁᑎᕁᑀᕁᕁᑀᕟᑀᓐᕁᕡᕁᕁᕁᙰᓐᑀᑦ. ᒌᑦᐊ

terms of staff and one person's bad habits get passed on or somebody just doesn't pass on the information.

I think my conclusion was, is that most likely most of the nursing stations, most of the nursing stations in the territory are probably not following their own policies and procedures just because of what happened in this particular case. I think that it would be important for somebody to go through the health centers and make sure that they're following the policies because the policies are there and they have pretty good policies for privacy protections but if they're not being followed, they are going to be problems and that was going on in this health centre.

For instance, they had a policy that when you took a file out of the file room, you had to put a card in that says, "this is when it was taken and this is the person who took it out" but that wasn't happening so if a file was missing, nobody knew where it was. So a compliance audit, to me, would be just having somebody go in and making sure that the policies and procedures are being followed. Thank you.

Chairperson: Thank you, Ms. Keenan Bengts. Mr. Mikkungwak.

Mr. Mikkungwak: Thank you, Madam Chairperson. On that same page again, 17 and 18 of your 2015-16 annual report, you discuss a review of recommendation that you made concerning the Department of Health. You indicated that steps be taken as soon as possible to transition health records in Nunavut to electronic format. What is the status on that? Thank you, Madam Chairperson.

ᐱᓕᕆᓂᕐᒧᒍᒪᕐᒥᖅ ᐊᔾᐊᓄᑦ ᐱᓕᕆᐊᖁᑎᒍᑐᐃᓐᓇᖅᑕᖅᑕᕐᒐᖕᒪᓄᑦ.

ᑖᓐᓇ ᐃᓱᒫᓗᒻᒥᐅᑎᖄᓐᑐᐃᐊᑎᖅᑐᐅᕋᖅᑯ. ᑕᖅᑲᐊ ᐊᖕᓂᐊᖅᐃᑦ ᐅᓄᕐᓂᖅᓴᐃᑦ ᓄᓇᓕᖕᓂ ᓛᓕᖅᑲᑦᖅᑕᒡᐃᕐᒻᒪᒋ ᓇᖕᒥᓂ ᐊᑐᐊᒡᒥᓂᖅ. ᑕᐊᖅᓇᓕ ᐊᑕᐅᔨᖅᒥ ᑖᐃᒪᐃᑕᐅᒡᐅᑐᖅᑎᓐᓄᖕᑦ ᐱ� ᐃᓐᓈᐃᑦᖅᑕᕐᒻᑎᑐᓄᖅ ᑖᓐᓇ ᐊᑐᐊᖅᑕᐅᑎᐊᒻᒻᓈᒻ ᐊᖕᓂᐊᖕᓂ ᐊᒻᓗ ᐊᑐᐊᓕᐊᒻ ᓛᒃᑕᐅᑐᐊᕐᓈᕐᒻᒻᒋ ᐊᓄᐊᐃᓲᖅᑕᕐᒃᓈᒻᔪᑦ, ᑖᓐᓇ ᐊᑐᐊᓕᐊᒻ ᐱᑕᖅᖅᐸᐱᕐᒻᑎᒐ ᐊᐸᔨᑕᐅᒋᒃᑯᓈᒃ ᐃᒻᒥᐋᒃᐃᑕᖕᒥ ᖃᐅᔨᖅᑎᖅᑐᑎᐃᓂᒍᒡᒍ ᓴᐊᒥᑖᐃᖅᑎᖕᒻᒋ. ᑫᔪᑎᒐ ᓛᑎᑎᐅᒻᒥᒻᒋ ᐃᓄᐊᖅᒃᑎᖄᐲᒻᑕᒃᖕᒪᒡᐃᕐᒻᑎᒻᒋ ᑖᐃᒪᐃᑕᖅᑐᓂᒍ ᑕᐊᖅᓇ ᐊᖕᓂᐊᖅᒻᒋ.

ᔪᕐᒍ ᐊᑐᐅᓕᖅᑕᐅᓱᒻᒻᒻᒋ ᑐᖅᐲᑎᓕᕆᒻ ᑎᔪᔨᐊᒐᐃᖕ ᑎᑎᖅᖃᕈᒪᐃᕐᒻᒋ, ᑎᑎᖅᑐᕈᐊᖅᒻᒻᔪᖅᑐ ᐃᒻᒪᐃᐊᒻᒻᔨᕐᒻ, "ᐅᓇ ᑎᔪᐅᖅᒃᖅᑐᐃ ᐅᑭᐊ ᖃᖕᑐᐅᑎᖕ ᐊᒻᓗ ᐋᒻᐄᐲᓂᒃ ᑎᔪᖅᒻᐳᔨᒻᓕ" ᖃᔨᑎᒐ ᑕᐃᒻᒻᐃᖃᕆᕆᐅᖄᖕᒻᔨᒻᒐ ᐊᔪᐃᐋᓂᖃ ᑐᖑᒻᒻᖅᑐᖅ ᐊᔨᐊᔨᒡᒻ ᖃᖃᔨᒥᒻᒥᔪᓱᐃᖃᓂ ᐋᒥᒻᒻᒻᔨᖅ. ᑕᐃᒻᒪᑲ ᓛᑎᑎᒪᖃᕐᒻᓈᖕ ᖃᖃᔨᒥᐊᑕᐅᒻᒥᖅᑎᐅᔨᑎᖕᒻᔨᖅᖅᑕᖅ ᐃᓂᒻᒻᒻᒻ ᑖᐃᑯᒥᒻᒻᒻᒻᔨᖅᑐᖃᒻᒻᔨᒻᒐ ᐊᑐᐊᓕᖕᒻᒻᔨᒻ ᓛᒻᒃᑕᐅᒻᓈᐊᕐᒻᒻᔨᖃᖃᒻᒻᒻᔨᒻ ᖃᖃᔨᒥᐊᑐᐊᒻᒻᒻᒻᓱᓈᐅᒻᒻᔪᓈᐳᓕᖅ. ᖄᐲᒻᒻᔨᒻᔪᐃᖕᒻᒻᒻᒋ.

ᒥᑭᖑᔪᐊᖅ (ᑐᓵᔨᑎᒍᑦ): ᖃᐅᔪᒐᒻᒻᔨᖕ, ᐃᒃᓯᕙᐅᑖᖅ. ᑕᐊᖅᓇᒻᒃᑖᒻᒻᒻ 17-18, 2015 ᐅᖅᐅᔭᖅᒃᖃᖕ ᐊᑐᓕᖅᔪᑭᓂᖕᒻᒻ ᐱᖕᒍ ᐊᖕᓂᐊᒃᒻᐊᖕᒻᑐᓂᑕᔪᐃᒃᖅ ᐃᓕᓐᖕᒃᒻᒥ ᓄᓄᐃᖅᑯᒻᒻᒻᒻᒻᒻ ᑖᓐᓇ ᐃᓕᓐᑐᐃᐊᒻᖕᒻᒻᔨ ᐃᓕᓐᖕᒻᒻᒻᒻᔨᖕᒻ ᓄᐊᕐᒥ ᖃᖅᖄᐅᖅᖅᑎᔨᒻ ᑲᒪᖅᔪᖅᒃᖅᑎᓐᒻᒻᔨᒻ. ᖃᓄᐊᒻᒻᖕᒻᒻᓈᖅᐸᖅᕆᒻᒻᒐ ᑕᓚᒻ? ᖃᐅᔪᒐᒻᒻᔨᖕ, ᐃᒃᓯᕙᐅᑖᒻ.

Chairperson: Thank you, Mr. Mikkungwak. Ms. Keenan Bengts.

ᐃᑬᕆᐊᑕᑦᒃ (ᑐᓵᔭᑎᒍᑦ): ᖅᑯᔭᓐᐊᒥᒃ, ᒥᔅ ᒥᑭᖕᒃᑯᐊᖅ. ᒥᔅ ᑮᓇᓐ ᐸᐃᓐᒃᑦᔅ.

Ms. Keenan Bengts: Thank you, Madam Chairperson. Nothing in my privacy audit is going to be new. You're asking me all the questions now. From what I understand, particularly from the privacy audit that we just completed is that the meditech system is being rolled out slowly and that it was planned, and I don't know whether this is going to come to fruition or not; this is just what we were told so I don't know. You probably have to ask the Department of Health that all health centers will have the Meditech system by sometime in 2017.

ᐱᖄ ᐸᐃᖕᒃᔅ (ᑐᓵᔭᑎᒍᑦ): ᖅᑯᔭᓐᐊᒥᒃ, ᐃᑬᕆᐊᑕᒃᑦ. ᑕᖀᓂ ᖅᑲᐅᖑᓯᓂᖏᓂᑦ ᑕᖀᓂ ᓄᑕᖅᑕᖅᑲᑦᔭᔭᑭᒪᓕᑦ. ᖅᑯᔭᓐᐊᒥᒃ ᐊᒡᒥᓕᓐᑲᑭᖅᒃᔭ. ᑖᒃᑯᐊ ᐱᖁᕆᖄᕐᒃᑕᓕᐊᖅᑲᑦᑕ ᐃᒡᒥᔫᖕᓴᓄᖅ ᖅᑲᐅᖑᓂᖅᒍ ᑖᒃᑯᐊ ᓂᓐᑎᖅᒃᑯᖣᓕᐊᖀᓐᒃᖅᑕᓐᑕᖅᑦᕈᒃ ᐊᑐᓐᖅᑎᐅᖁᓐᐊᒡᖣᔭᖣᓕᑦ ᐊᒐᒪᖄ ᓵᖀᖃᐅᑲᔭᖀᖃᖅᒍ ᖄᐅᖣᓕᖅᒃᑎᖀᒃᓕᖏᖀᑦ ᑖᑉᒃᑯᐊ ᖄᒃᐅᖣ ᖄᔭᖀᖃᒻᔭ ᑖᑉᒃᑯᐊ ᓐᑎᖀᖅᒃᖅᓐᒃᑕᖅᑦᕈᒃ ᖄᐅᒃᖀᒍ ᑖᒃᑯᐊ ᐊᑐᓐᖅᑎᐅᖁᓐᒃᒐ ᖄᖀᖃᓯᖅᒃᑯᑎᖀᐅᖅᒍ ᖄᖀᖅᒃᓕᖀᒃ ᑖᒃᑯᐊ ᐊᑐᓐᖅᒃᑕᓕᑦ ᓐᑎᖀᖅᒃᖅᒃᒐ ᐊᑐᓐᖅᒃᓕᖀᒃ 2017 ᐃᓄᐊᓂ.

That said, there's a long way to go before that happens because most of the health centers as far as I know right now are still paper base systems and even the Qikiqtani Hospital still isn't fully functional in its electronic record. The hospital is still dealing on a paper base/electronic system. There is essentially more than one record for each person because they haven't fully converted. Thank you.

ᑕᐃᒪᖄ ᕐᒃ ᐱᖁᓐᑐᖀᖆᒪᓐᐅᖅᒐᖅᒃᒃᖀᑦ ᕐᒃ ᑲᕐᐅᕐᐊᒃᐅᐊᖀᖀᖅᐅᖅᒍ. ᑖᒃᑯᐊ ᐊᑐᓐᖀᒃ ᐅᖀᖅᒃᓂᒃᖀᖀᑦ ᐸᐃᖀᑎᖀᖀ ᕐᒃ ᐱᓐᑕᓐᖀᒍ. ᑕᖀᓂᖣ ᖀᑭᖅᖀᒃᑕᓂ ᐊᑐᓐᖀᖀᖀᒃᖣ ᐊᐅᑕᖀᖅᒃᖅᒃᖀᑦ ᕐᒃ ᐊᐅᑕᖀᖅᒃᖅᒃᒃᑎᐊᖀᖀᖀᒃᖣ ᕐᒃ ᖄᖀᕐᖀᖅᒃᑎᖀᖀᒍᖣ ᐊᑐᓐᖀᒃ ᐸᐃᖀᑎᖀᐅᖣ ᖄᖀᕐᖀᖅᒃᑎᖀᐅᖣ ᕐᒃ ᐱᓐᑕᓐᖀᑦ. ᐃᓕᖀᖀ ᐊᖀᐅᐈᖅᒃᒃᑎᔭᖀᖅᖀᖀᖃᑉᒃᒃᒃᑎᐊᖀᒍ ᓐᑎᖀᖃᖀᑎᖀᖀᑯᔭᖀᖀᑦ ᐃᓄᖀᒃᒍ ᐊᐅᐈᖀᐅᖀᒍ. ᖅᑯᔭᓐᐊᒥᒃ.

Chairperson: Thank you, Ms. Keenan Bengts. Mr. Mikkungwak.

ᐃᑬᕆᐊᑕᑦᒃ (ᑐᓵᔭᑎᒍᑦ): ᖅᑯᔭᓐᐊᒥᒃ, ᒥᔅ ᐱᖄ ᐸᐃᖕᒃᔅ. ᒥᔅᑦ ᒥᑭᖕᒃᑯᐊᖅ.

Mr. Mikkungwak: Thank you, Madam Chairperson. In listening to the response: how often should compliance audits be completed at health facilities? Thank you, Madam Chairperson.

ᒥᑭᖕᒃᑯᐊᖅ (ᑐᓵᔭᑎᒍᑦ): ᖅᑯᔭᓐᐊᒥᒃ, ᐃᑬᕆᐊᑕᒃᑦ. ᑭᐅᔭᑎᖀᒪᔭᖣ ᑐᖀᓐᒍ ᖅᑲᓄᓐᑎ ᖅᑯᓐᖀᒃ ᐱᖄᖀᖅᒃᖅᒃᒐᖀᖀᖀᐊᖅᒃᖅᒃᐅ ᐊᑐᓐᖀᖀᖀᖀᒃᓂ ᖀᖅᑐᑲᐅᖅᒃ? ᖅᑯᔭᓐᐊᒥᒃ, ᐃᑬᕆᐊᑕᒃᑦ.

Chairperson: Thank you, Mr. Mikkungwak. Ms. Keenan Bengts.

ᐃᑬᕆᐊᑕᑦᒃ: ᖅᑯᔭᓐᐊᒥᒃ ᒥᔅᑦ ᒥᑭᖕᒃᑯᐊᖅ. ᒥᔅ ᐱᖄ ᐸᐃᖕᒃᔅ.

Ms. Keenan Bengts: Thank you, Madam Chairperson. I would say once a year. The problem is that the turnovers are so great at these centres, and policies fall by

ᐱᖄ ᐸᐃᖕᒃᔅ (ᑐᓵᔭᑎᒍᑦ): ᖅᑯᔭᓐᐊᒥᒃ, ᐃᑬᕆᐊᑕᒃᑦ. ᐅᖅᑲᖄᖣᖅᒍᖀᖣ ᐊᖀᖄᔪᑕᒥᑦ ᑭᓯᐊᓂ ᐃᓄᐊᖀᖀᒃᑎᖀᐅᑎᖀᖀᖀᓕᒍ ᑖᑉᒃᑯᐊ ᐃᖀᖃᓇᐃᖀᖅᑕᖀᖀᑦ ᐊᔅᔭᖀᖀᑲᖀᑦᖅᒃᑐᑕᖀᖀᐅᑎᖀᖀᔪᑎᖀᖀ ᐊᑐᓐᖀᖀᖀᓂ. ᐀, ᑖᑉᒃᑯᐊ

the wayside, it seems fairly quickly. If there is not one person in each health facility who is responsible for privacy issues, then I would say that a compliance audit should be done once a year. If there is somebody in place, it should be their responsibility to do it on an ongoing day-to-day basis. Thank you.

Chairperson: Thank you, Ms. Keenan Bengts. Mr. Mikkungwak.

Mr. Mikkungwak: Thank you, Madam Chairperson. As we're talking about humans and personnel, on page 18 and 19 of your 2015-16 annual report you discussed a review recommendation that you made concerning the Workers' Safety and Compensation Commission. You indicate that while you did make a number of recommendations to the commission, your recommendations were accepted in part. Can you explain why the Workers' Safety and Compensation Commission did not fully accept your recommendation? Thank you, Madam Chairperson.

Chairperson: Thank you, Mr. Mikkungwak. Ms. Keenan Bengts.

Ms. Keenan Bengts: Thank you, Madam Chairperson. I'm just going to remind myself of what this one was particularly about. I think in this case, it was a matter of a difference of opinion between counsel for WSCC and myself, in terms of the necessity for … . It kind of circled around solicitor client privilege. I made a recommendation that if personal information is being given to counsel to deal with an issue … . Maybe I should back up.

In this case what had happened is that the individual had filed a number of

ᐊᑐᐊᠪᒪᐊ�c ��either ᑕᐱᒪc ᐅᓂᐊᠪᒪᐊ ᐃᑎᐊᔨᑎᠪ ᑕᐅᓂ ᐊᑎᓂ ᐊᔭᓂᐊᠱᒥ ᐸᒥᠪᐅᐸᒪᐅ ᑲᒋᓴᠪ ᒥᠪᕆᓂᠪ, ᑕᐅᒥ ᒪᒃᐸᐅᐊᒥᠪᒃ ᠪᐅᐱᐊᠱᠱᑕᠪᑲᓂ ᐊᠱᠪᑕᒥᑲ ᐊᠪ, ᑕᐅᒪᕙ ᑲᐱᠱᐊᠱᒪᒃ ᐅᑕᒪᒪᐊ ᑲᒋᒃᐊᠪᑕᐊᐊᓂᐊᠪᠪ ᒪᐊᠪᠱᓂ. ᠱᒪᠪᠱᓂ.

ᐃᑉᐸᐅᐸᑕᠪ (ᑐᠱᐱᑎᒪᐊ): ᠱᒪᠪᠱᓂ ᒥ ᐱᓇ. ᒥᓂᑕ ᒥᠯᐊᠱᒍᐊᠪ.

ᒥᠯᐊᠱᒍᐊᠪ (ᑐᠱᐱᑎᒪᐊ): ᠱᒪᠪᠱᓂ, ᐃᑉᐸᐅᐸᑕᠪ. ᐃᐅᠱᓂᠪ ᒪᒪ ᐅᠪᐅᠱᒪᑕᐊᠪᑲ ᐊᠪᐸᐊᐸᠱᐱᠱᓂᠪ 18

ᐊᒪ 19, 2015-2016 ᐊᠱᒍᑕᐆᠱᐱᑕ ᐅᠱᑲᒪᠱᒪ ᠱᐱᠱᐸᠱᒥᠪ ᐊᑐᐊᠱᒪᐅᐱᓂᠪ ᐱᠱᐸᠪᑲᠱᒍᕆ ᐊᠪᑲᐅᐃᠱᠱᠪᠪ ᐊᠪᐅᐊᠪᑐᓂᐱᐆᠪᠱᓂᠪ ᐹᓇᐅᠱᑕᠱᐱᑕᠱᐆᐊᓂᐅ ᐊᑐᑲᠱᒪᔭᐊᠱᐊᐱᓂᠪᑐᐅᠱᐊᐆᠱᒪᠪ ᠱᒪᠱᒃᑲᠪ, ᐊᠱᐱᑕᐅᐱᐆᓂᓂ ᐃᑕᠱᐱᑎᒪᐊ. ᐅᠱᒪᠪᐆᠱᐱᐊᐊᠪ ᠱᒪᐅᐊᒪᐊ ᐸᐅᐊ ᐊᓂᐅᐱᓂᓂᐊᐆᐅᐸᐊ ᐊᠱᑲᐅᐃᠱᐱᑎ ᐊᑕᠱᐱᠱᒍᐊᠱᐱᓂᐊᐅᒥᒪᐊᠪᠪ? ᠱᒪᐊᒪᐊ? ᠱᒪᠪᠱᓂ, ᐃᑉᐸᐅᐸᑕᠪ.

ᐃᑉᐸᐅᐸᑕᠪ (ᑐᠱᐱᑎᒪᐊ): ᠱᒪᠪᠱᓂ, ᒥᓂᐊᠱᒍᐊᠪ. ᒥᓂ ᐱᓇ ᐸᐃᐊᠪᠪ.

ᐹᓇᐊ ᐸᐃᐊᠪᠪ (ᑐᠱᐱᑎᒪᐊ): ᠱᒪᐊᒪᐊ, ᐃᑉᐸᐅᐸᑕᠪ. ᐊᠱᑲᐃᑎᐸᐆᑕᐅᐊᠪᓂᠪᐊᐆ ᐊᒥᒥᠪ ᐹᒪ ᐱᠱᐸᑲᐅᐆᠪ. ᑕᐅᓂᐊ ᐊᠱᐹᐱᠪᐆᐱᒪᠪ ᐊᐱᑲᠱᐆᓂᓂᐱᠪ ᐹᐆᑕᐊ ᒪᐅᐊᐊᐱᠱᐆᐊᠪ ᐅᠪᐅᐊ ᐊᠱᐹᐱᠪᐆᠱᑕᒪᠪ ᐊᐱᑲᠱᐆᓂᓂᐱᠪ. ᑕᒪᐊ ᐱᑕᠱᐸᐊᠱᠱᐊᠪ ᐱᠱᐆ ᐅᐊᠱᠱᐱᑕᐅᐸᑎᐊᐊᠪᒪᐅᐊᠱᠪᑕ ᑕᐊᒪ ᐊᑐᐊᠱᒪᐊᠱᐅᑕᐆᐅᐸᑎᠪᑲᐅᐊᒪ ᑕᒪᠪᐆ ᐊᒥᒥᠪᐅᐊᠱᓂ ᑐᠱᐱᠪᐆᐊᠪ ᒪᒪᐅᓂᐊᐅᐊᐆᐊᠪᐆᐅᓂᠪ. ᐊᒪᐊ ᑲᒥᠱᐆᠪᑯᐆᐅᑕ ᐱᠱᐸᑲᐅᓂᐊᠱᠪᐆᐅᑕᐱ.

ᑕᒪ ᐊᒪᐊᐅᐊᐊᐅᐸᐊᐆᑕ, ᑕᐊᒪ ᐊᒥᠪ ᐊᒪᐊᐊᠱᐸᐱᐊᠪᓂᠪ ᠱᐱᐊᠱᐸᒃᑐᐊ

complaints against WSCC. It was the individual pitted against WSCC; it wasn't the individual dealing on an equal basis with the client. He filed a number of human rights complaints, I believe most of them were, and had made a lot of threats about bringing WSCC to court on various other issues.

WSCC handed over certain parts of his medical records in order to deal with those complaints. One of the recommendations that I made was that if you're going to hand that information over to your lawyers, you should tell the individual that's what you're doing. To give the individual a chance to say, "No, I'd rather you didn't share. I'm going to withdraw my complaint."

WSCC, in this case, decided that there is a very long history of conflict between the WSCC and this individual. WSCC felt that they needed to be able to consult with their lawyers without the individual knowing. So it was just a matter of a difference of opinion, in terms of what that lawyer felt she needed to do in order to address the legal needs of WSCC and what I recommended.

Let's be clear. When I make recommendations, particularly when it comes to privacy issues, I make big recommendations. For that reason, I don't always expect them to be accepted. I make recommendations as if we lived in a perfect world and we don't. I understood in this particular case, why she chose not to accept my recommendations. I don't necessarily agree with her, but I understood the reasoning behind her refusal. I wasn't terribly upset about that one, it's not one that I would've ever taken to court or take it further or anything like that. She

---

ᑎᑎᕐᔅᓟᓚᐅᑐᑊᒪᑦ ᓇᑦᑕᐅᑲᓕᑕᐅᖅ ᑐᓂ. ᑖᒃᑐ ᓇᑦᑕᐅᑲᓕᑎᓇᖕᒃᑐᖅ ᐃᓄᓪ ᐃᓕᖕᐊ ᐊᕐᖁᒃᓗᑐᖕ ᐱᓴᑎᐅᑐᖕᕐᒥᖃᑎᖕ ᑕᐃᒪᑕ ᐸᐃᕿᖔᖕ ᑎᑎᕐᔅᓟᓚᕿᖕ ᐊᒻᒪᒃᓴᖕᑐᔅᑐᖟᒥᖕ ᖃᔭᑉᖕᖟᖕᖅ ᐱᔭᓇᐅᑎᕐᑕᑦ ᔅᕿᑕᐅᓇᒥᓚ. ᐃᓕᖕᐊ ᑲᖕᐱᐊᒥᖕᖃᑕᑕᐅᖅᑐᖕᓗ ᑖᒃᑐ ᓇᑦᑕᐅᑲᓕᑎᓇᖕᑯ ᐊᖅᑉᖅᑐᐃᖕᑲᓂᒪᔭᖕᑎᑐᖟᒻᓗᓂᒃ.

ᑖᒃᑐᓗ ᐃᖅᑲᓇᐃᔭᖕᑎᓗᖕ ᓇᑦᑕᐅᑲᓕᑎᓇᖢ ᐊᖕᓇᖕᐊᖕᒥ ᑎᑎᖅᕿᖕᑎᖕᖕᒥᖕᖅ ᓴᖕᐸᑎᓟᓚᖕᓯᒪᑦ ᑖᒃᑐ ᑲᑎᖟᐊᖢᖕᒐᖕᖅ ᐊᒻᒪᒃᓇᖕᕐᔅᐸᐅᖕᕿᑦᓟᓚᕿᑦ. ᑕᐃᒪᑕ ᐊᑐᖕᑯᑕᐱᑝᑎᓟᖕᑎᓚᐅᖕᒪᐅᓚ ᐃᓇᖕᒃ ᑕᐃᒪᑕ ᑖᒃᑐ ᑐᕿᐅᒪᐅᖕᒃᓂᑉᕿᑦ ᑐᓂᓇᑉᕿᒻᑦ ᒪᓚᖟᓇᖕᖕᒥᑦ

ᑕᐃᒪᐊᑕ ᐃᓄᖕ ᑕᖕᓇ ᑐᕿᐅᓯᑎᖕᖟᐊᖕᖅ ᐃᓕᖕᐊ ᐅᖅᑉᒪᓇᕐᖕᖃᖕᑯᖕᓗᒐ. ᑕᖕᓇ ᑐᕿᕐᐅᖕᑯᖟᖕᕐᒐ. ᐊᒻᒪᒃᖟᖕᖕᔅᐱᑎᑐ ᐲᖕᐊᑯᖕᑯᖕᕐᒐ.

ᑖᒃᑐ ᐃᖅᑲᓇᐃᔭᖕᑎᓗᖕ ᓇᑦᑕᐅᑲᓕᑎᓇᖕᒃᑯ ᐃᓕᖕᐊ ᐃᓇᖕᒃᑯᔅᖕᖃᑐᖕᑲᐅᖕᑦᑦᖕᔅᓟᖟᖕᒐᑦ ᑖᒃᑐ ᓇᑦᑕᐅᑲᓕᑎᓇᖕᑯᖢ ᑕᖕᓇᖢ ᐃᓄᖕ ᐊᒻᒪᓗᖕᒃᑕᐅᑎᖟᖕᕐᖃᑕᑕᐅᕿᖕᓟᖢᖕᖃᐅᖕᒪᑦ ᒪᓚᖟᓇᖕᖕᑯᖕᓂ ᒪᓚᖟᓇᖕᖕᕿᑦ ᐅᕐᖕᖕᑎᖕᕐᖃᑕᐅᓇᑕᐅᖕᒪᑦ ᑕᖕᓇ ᐃᓄᖕ ᑐᕿᐅᓚᑎᖕᑕᓇᖕᒐ. ᐲ, ᖃᓄᖕ ᒪᓚᖟᓇᖕᔅ ᐅᐊ ᖃᓄᐃᐅᖕᑎᓇᖕᒃᖕᑐᖟᖕᒪᐲᖕᒥ ᑖᒃᑐ ᐊᖕᖑᕐᖕᓂᒻᓗᖕᕐᒐ ᒪᓚᖟᖕᖕᑐᑦ ᑖᒃᑐ ᐃᖅᑲᓇᐃᔭᖕᑎᓗᖕᒥᑦ ᓇᑦᑕᐅᑲᓕᑎᓇᖕᑯᑦ.

ᐲ, ᓇᖢᓇᐃᑎᖕᐊᖕᓗᒐ ᑕᐃᒪ ᐊᑐᖕᑯᑕᐱᑝᓚᑎᐅᕿᖕᖕᒪᐅᓚ ᑕᒪᒃᑯᓂᖕᖢ ᐊᖕᖃᐅᔪᑎᖕᖕᒥᖕ ᐊᖕᒥᕐᖕᕿᐊᖕᖕᒃ ᐊᑐᖕᑯᑕᐱᑝᓚᔅᒍᒪᓪ ᓯᓇᐅᕿᖕᓂᑎᑊᕐᒐᓗ ᐊᖕᕐᖕᖃᑕᐅᓇᐊᑎᐊᖕᕿ. ᐊᑐᖕᑯᑕᐱᑝᓚᖕᕐᓚᐅᖕᒐᓪ ᐃᓕᖕᐊ ᐊᔅᒪᑎᐊᖕᑐᑯᓗᖕᖕᒃ ᐃᓅᕐᖕᖃᕿᑦ ᑕᐃᒪᐃᑲᐅᕿᖕᒍᓗᕿᖕᖕᒃ ᐲᕿᐊᖕ ᑕᐃᒪᐊᐅᕿᖕᕐᖕᒐᑦ. ᑦᕿᖕᓇᖕᖕᒃᖕᒃᖕᒃᖕᕿᖕᒃ ᖃᓄᐃᒪᖕᑦᓚᖕᑦ ᐊᑐᖕᑯᑕᖕᕿᖕᖕᒃ ᒪᓚᒍᓚᑎᐅᖕᕐᖕᖕᒪᑕᐅᖕᕿ ᐊᖕᕐᖕᖃᑎᑉᕐᒐᓪᕿᑦᑎᑐᐊᖕᖕᒐᓪ ᕿᓚᖕᓂᒐ ᐱᖕᓚᑎᖕᕐᖕᒐ ᑐᑉᕐᐅᓚᖕᖕᒃ ᐊᑎᖕᓯᖕᓂᓚᑎᐅᕐᖕᖃᖕᒃᖕᓗᒐ. ᑕᖕᓇ ᐅᖕᔪᓇᓗᐊᓚᐅᖕᕐᖕᖕᒐᑕ ᐃᓕᖕᐊ ᐃᖅᑲᕐᖕᒃᖢᑐᐊᑯᖕᕿᖕᑎᖕᔭᖟᓗ

ᐃᒪᓚᐊᖟᓇᐅᑕᑎᐅᖕᒪᑦ ᐃᒪᓚᒃᖕᔅᔅᐱᑐᑎᐊᑲᓇᑎᓚᖕᑐᖕᕐ

clearly thought it through and made a considered decision not to follow one particular recommendation I made. Thank you.	ᐊᑕᐅᓯᖅᒥᑦ ᐊᑐᓕᖅᑎᔾᔭᐅᖁᔨᒍᓯᖅᐩᓯᓂᖅ ᒪᓕᓐᖏᑦᑐᒥᓃᖅ. ᖁᔭᓐᓇᒦᒃ.
Chairperson: Thank you, Ms. Keenan Bengts. Mr. Mikkungwak.	**ᐃᒃᓯᕙᐅᑕᖅ** (ᑐᓵᔨᑎᒍᑦ): ᖁᔭᓐᓇᒦᒃ, ᒥᔅ ᑮᓇᓐ ᐸᐃᖕᒃᔅ. ᒥᔅᑕ ᒥᑯᖕᒐᖅ.
Mr. Mikkungwak: Thank you, Madam Chairperson. Moving right along I'll move on to another department here. In its recent responses of the Standing Committee's report on the Information and Privacy Commissioner's 2015 appearance, the government accepted the committee's recommendation that it consult with your office to determine a practicable timetable for having the *Access to Information and Protection of Privacy Act* apply to district education authorities.	**ᒥᑯᖕᒐᖅ** (ᑐᓵᔨᑎᒍᑦ): ᖁᔭᓐᓇᒦᒃ, ᐃᒃᓯᕙᐅᑖᖅ. ᑲᔪᓯᑎᐊᕐᓗᖓ ᑕᖅᔭᖕᒥ ᐊᔾᔨᒐᓄᑦ ᐱᑎᓐᖔᖕᒍᑦ ᓄᑦᓗᖓ. ᑖᒃᑯᓇᖕᒥ ᑭᐅᔭᐅᑎᕆᐅᖅᑲᖕᒐᓇᒥᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᕋᓕᓄᑦ ᐅᓂᒃᑳᖕᒋᑦ 2014-ᒥ ᖃᐅᔨᒪᔪᓕᔭᖕᒍ ᓄᓇᓕᖕ ᒪᖄᒃᑯᔭᖕᒋᑦ ᑎᑎᕋᖅᓴᓂ ᐅᖅᑲᖅᑎᖃᕐᓇᖅᑎᓂᖕᓄᑦ ᓇᒻᒃᒐᒪ ᖃᓄᖅᑎᒍᑎᓄᑦ ᖃᖕᑯᑯᓄᐊᖅᓂᖕᓇᓂ ᑖᒃᑲ ᐊᑐᐊᖕᐊᐅᓂᖕᑦ ᓯᐳᒻᓯᐅᖕᒪᑦᓄᓗ ᐃᓯᒌᖕᓇᖅ ᒪᓂᓯᖔ ᑕᒃᒃᑯᓇᖕᒋ ᐃᓇᖕᓇᐊᖅᑐᓖᓐᔲᓄᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔾᔪᒥᓂ ᐊᑐᓄᖕᒋᑎᒪᔲᓄᖕᑦ.
Can you indicate if your office has been consulted on this initiative since your September 2015 appearance? Thank you, Madam Chairperson.	ᓇᓄᓇᐃᖅᒐᕐᓇᖅᐃᑦ ᑎᑎᕋᕐᓯᕆ ᑐᓴᐳᓕᑎᑕᐅᖕᒪᕆᕐ ᐱᒋᐊᕈᑕᐅᖅᑎᒍ ᓯᑎᐱᕆ 2015-ᒥᑦ ᐅᕦᓴᓕᒪᐅᖕᑎᓄᓐᑎ? ᖁᔭᓐᓇᒦᒃ, ᐃᒃᓯᕙᐅᑖᖅ.
Chairperson: Thank you, Mr. Mikkungwak. Ms. Keenan Bengts.	**ᐃᒃᓯᕙᐅᑕᖅ** (ᑐᓵᔨᑎᒍᑦ): ᖁᔭᓐᓇᒦᒃ, ᒥᔅᑕ ᒥᑯᖕᒐᖅ. ᒥᔅ ᑮᓇᓐ ᐸᐃᖕᒃᔅ.
Ms. Keenan Bengts: Thank you, Madam Chairperson. Yes. We've been having ongoing discussions on how best to do that. I don't know exactly where they're at but I know they're still working on it and we have had discussions back and forth between EIA and myself on that issue. Thank you.	**ᑮᓇᓐ ᐸᐃᖕᒃᔅ** (ᑐᓵᔨᑎᒍᑦ): ᖁᔭᓐᓇᒦᒃ, ᐃᒃᓯᕙᐅᑖᖅ. ᐄ, ᐅᖅᖃᑎᒋᖃᕐᓴᓕᖅᖃᖅᐊᕐᑎᖕᒪᖅᑖᖅ ᓇᐅᒃᑯᑦ ᐱᐅᓂᖅᓴᐅᒐᓇᖕᒋᑦ. ᓇᓇᕐᓕᕐᓕᕐᒐᖕᒌᑦ ᖃᐅᔭᒪᓐᖅᑲᑐᖃᕐᔪᖕᒪ ᑮᔾᐊᓇ ᖃᐅᔭᒪᓕᕐᒐᖕᒪ ᐱᑎᓐᐊᔾᒍᖅ ᓲᓇ, ᐅᖅᖃᑎᒌᓐᓇᖅᑕᖅᖃᖅᖃᑕᐃᓇᖅᑐᖅ, ᓇᕚᐅᖅᑎᓂᕐᔲᑎᓄᑦᑐᓐᐊᖅᑯᖕᒍ ᐅᕙᒍᓐᓗ. ᖁᔭᓐᓇᒦᒃ.
Chairperson: Thank you, Ms. Keenan Bengts. Mr. Mikkungwak.	**ᐃᒃᓯᕙᐅᑕᖅ** (ᑐᓵᔨᑎᒍᑦ): ᖁᔭᓐᓇᒦᒃ, ᒥᔅ ᑮᓇᓐ ᐸᐃᖕᒃᔅ. ᒥᔅᑕ ᒥᑯᖕᒐᖅ.
Mr. Mikkungwak: Thank you, Madam Chairperson. On pages 21 and 22 of your 2015-16 annual report, you state that in recent years there have been more and	**ᒥᑯᖕᒐᖅ** (ᑐᓵᔨᑎᒍᑦ): ᖁᔭᓐᓇᒦᒃ. ᑕᖕᖁᓂ ᒪᕝᐱᖅᑐᓕᖅ 21 ᐊᒻᒪ 22, 2015-16 ᐅᓂᒃᑲᓂ ᐅᖅᖃᕐᓯᕈᓕᓇᑦ, ᐊᕐᕈᔲᕐᑳᖅᑐᓂᔲᖅ ᓇᒻᒃᓇᖕᕐᔭᕐᑎᓂᖅ

more complaints involving various education authorities. Can you indicate how many complaints involving education authorities you received in 2015-16 fiscal year? Thank you, Madam Chairperson.

Chairman: Thank you, Mr. Mikkungwak. Ms. Keenan Bengts.

Ms. Keenan Bengts: Thank you, Madam Chairperson. I can tell you that I have made two review recommendations dealing with the district education authorities. How many complaints? There are probably one or two additional ones that aren't yet complete. Thank you.

Chairperson: Thank you, Ms. Keenan Bengts. Mr. Mikkungwak.

Mr. Mikkungwak: Thank you, Madam Chairperson. Also in your annual report, you indicate that while you haven't been able to address issues concerning district education authorities by making the Department of Education responsible for access and privacy in the school system, it makes much more sense to make education authorities directly responsible for both access and privacy.

Can you explain why it is important that education authorities are directly responsible for access and privacy within their own school districts? Thank you, Madam Chairperson.

Chairperson: Thank you, Mr. Mikkungwak. Ms. Keenan Bengts.

Ms. Keenan Bengts: Thank you, Madam Chairperson. The easiest way to answer that is that's where the information is. The information about students is in the school and if there are no policies or

ᓴᖅᑭᑐᖅᖃᐳᒡᑖᑦᑖᐊᖅᑎᖃᓇᖏᖏᑦ ᐃᓕᓐᓂᐊᖅᑐᑎᓕᒃᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖅᒃᑕᑉ ᒥᒃᓕᓄᑉ. ᓇᑐᐊᐃᖅᔾᔪᖃᖅᐱᑦ ᖅᑲᑎᓂᒃ ᓄᑦᑎᖃᖏᓂᓂᖅᑕᓄᒃ ᓄᑦᑎᖃᓗᑕᓂᓂ ᑐᓂᐳᔾᑦᖃᓂᖅᒥᔾ ᐃᓕᓐᓄᑦ ᑕᒪᓂ ᐊᖅᔾᔪᖅᔪ? ᖅᑯᔾᓇᒌᑉ, ᐃ�796ᒥ.

ᐃ�796ᒥ (ᑐᕿᐱᑎᒍᑦ): ᖅᑯᔾᓇᒌᑉ, ᒥᓐᑕ ᒥᖅᓇᐏᔾᒥ. ᒥᓐᑕ ᐱᓇᒥ ᐸᐃᐱᓐ.

ᐱᓇᒥ ᐸᐃᐱᓐ (ᑐᕿᐱᑎᒍᑦ): ᖅᑯᔾᓇᒌᑉ, ᐃ�796ᒥ. ᒪᖅᔾᓂᒃ ᑖᒪ ᐊᑐᖅᑯᐊᔾᖏᓇᖅᑎᖃᓄᕼᒪ ᐃᓕᓐᓂᐊᖅᑐᑎᓂᔾᖃᑉ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖅᑕᑉ ᒥᖅᓇᒥᑦ. ᐊᑎᐱᓯᔾᐳᑕᖅᔾ ᒪᖅᖃᑕᓂᒃᑐᕼᐅᒃᑦᐅᔾᔾᕼ ᐱᕼᓇᖅᓄᒪᖏᔾᑐᓂᒃ. ᖅᑯᔾᓇᒌᑉ.

ᐃ�796ᒥ (ᑐᕿᐱᑎᒍᑦ): ᖅᑯᔾᓇᒌᑉ, ᒥᓐ ᐱᓇᒥ ᐸᐃᐱᓐ. ᒥᓐᑕ ᒥᖅᓇᐏᔾᒥ.

ᒥᖅᓇᐏᔾᒥ (ᑐᕿᐱᑎᒍᑦ): ᖅᑯᔾᓇᒌᑉ, ᐃ�796ᒥ. ᑕᒪᓂᕼ ᐊᖅᔾᔫᑲᓂ ᐅᓂᑉᑲᓄᕼᑐᒧᑦ ᐅᓂᑉᑲᒌᑐᕼᓇ ᐅᖅᖃᕼᒪᒪᓂᔾ, ᑕᒪᒃᖃᐊᔾᑯᖅ ᐱᕼᓗᓇᖅᑐᐃᒃ ᐱᑐᐃᐊᐱᑕᖅᔾᕼᖓᖓᕼᒥᑦ ᐃᓕᓐᓂᐊᖅᑐᑎᓂᔾᖃᑉ ᐱᕼᒪᓂᕼᑎᑎᕼᕼᒥᕼ, ᑲᑎᒪᖅᑉ ᐱᕼᒪᓗᕼᑕᖅᑕᖅᑎᖃᓂᑦ ᑎᓇᐊ ᐃᓕᓐᓂᐊᖅᑐᑎᖃᓄᐊᓂᕼᒍᑦ ᑲᒪᐊᕼᖃᑐᕼᑎᑐᐳᔾᔫᑉ. ᑕᐊᓂᕼ ᑕᒪᔾᖅ ᐃᓕᓐᓂᐊᖅᑐᑎᖃᓄᕼᒃᑦ ᖅᒪᓂᕼ ᐊᐳᐊᑎᓂᕼᕼᐳᑕᕼᓇᖅᑐᐊᑦ.

ᓇᖃᐊᔾᖅᓄᖅᐳᕼ ᔾᒪ ᐱᕼᓗᖃᐊᒌᕼᒪᖏᖓᕼ ᐃᓕᓐᓂᐊᖅᑐᑎᖃᓄᕼᒃᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖅᑕᑉ ᐊᐳᑎᐊᑎᒪᓂᕼᖃᑕ ᒌᕼᒪᓂᕼᑐᕼᓇᓂᕼ ᖅᒪᓂᕼ ᐃᓕᓐᔾᔾᓂᑐᐃᒥᕼᓂ? ᖅᑯᔾᓇᒌᑉ, ᐃ�796ᒥ.

ᐃ�796ᒥ (ᑐᕿᐱᑎᒍᑦ): ᖅᑯᔾᓇᒌᑉ, ᒥᓐᑕ ᒥᖅᓇᐏᔾᒥ. ᒥᓐ ᐱᓇᒥ ᐸᐃᐱᓐ.

ᐱᓇᒥ ᐸᐃᐱᓐ (ᑐᕿᐱᑎᒍᑦ): ᖅᑯᔾᓇᒌᑉ, ᐃ�796ᒥ. ᐊᒥ ᑭᐳᔾᓂᕼᓄᕼᒍᕼ ᑕᐊᓂ ᑐᑉᔾᖃᕼᖓᕼᐱᑎᕼᕼᐊᑉ ᑕᐊᖃᖅᑐᕼᓇᓄ ᐳᐊᓄᕼᖓᕼ, ᐃᓕᓐᓂᐊᖅᑐᑎᖃᔾᖃᓂᕼ ᐱᕼᔾᑎᖃᑦ ᐃᓕᓐᔾᔾᑉᐳᑕᕼ ᐃᓗᕼᖃᕼᒪᑕ.

ᐊᑐᐊᖃᖅᑕᖃᖏᖏᑉᐳᑦᑦ ᖅᒪᓄᕼ ᐊᐳᑕᑐᐳᐊᔾᒪᕼᖓᑕ

procedures in place within the schools to address these issues then they're just not going to be addressed at the, shall we say, corporate level of the Department of Education. It makes sense to me to give responsibility for protection of privacy at the place the information exists. Thank you.

Chairperson: Thank you, Ms. Keenan Bengts. Mr. Mikkungwak.

Mr. Mikkungwak: Thank you, Madam Chairperson. In listening to your response and the response that was just stated now, if there ever was a breach of confidentiality, who would be held liable? Thank you, Madam Chairperson.

Chairperson: Thank you, Mr. Mikkungwak and an interesting question. Ms. Keenan Bengts.

Ms. Keenan Bengts: Thank you, Madam Chairperson. Well in fact, one of the review recommendations I mentioned in my report, was exactly one of those issues where the individual, in this case it was a teacher whose employment information had been disclosed and he made a complaint to his supervisor and got no response. He made a complaint to the principal and he went up the ladder, in any event. He went to the DEA. He went to the Department of Education and nobody took responsibility. No one would respond to his complaint because nobody wanted to take responsibility for it.

I think probably that's why that particular recommendation is why I am suggesting that the District Education Authority have to take responsibility. They're the ones on the ground. Nobody wanted to take responsibility in that particular case.

ᐃᓕᓴᐃ�ᐅᔭ ᐃᔪᐊᓯ, ᑕᒃᑯᐊᐊᖕᕆᒡᒃ ᐅᖃᐅᓯᕋᒃᖃᖕᒃᓇ. ᐃᓱᒪᓗᓇᖃᑐᐊᒃ ᓯᖕᑕᖃᑕᐅᒍᐊᓇᕋᔭᐊᖕᒃᒪᖦᒐ, ᐃᓴᐊᓇᕋᖃᑐᓴᓂᔪᒪᓕᓇᖃᑐᖃᑐᐊᖃᔭᖦᒐ ᐅᖃᐅᓯᓄ. ᔪᖅᔭᓇᑐᐃᓇᑐ ᐅᖎᐊᓄ ᐸᓯᐊᖃᖃᓇᐊᖃᖃᕐᖃᖅ ᓴᓕᔭᓂᕋ ᖃᐊᒡᓇᖃᑐᓂ, ᑕᐃᖃᖁ<<ᑕ ᐃᓇᖁᐃᓯ ᓄᐊᓇ. ᖃᑦᓇᓕᒃ.

ᐃ�}ᐸᑐᖃᖅ (ᑐᓯᐱᑎᔪ): ᖃᑦᓇᓕᒃ, ᒥ ᐸᓄ <ᐃᖃᕹ. ᒥᒃ ᒥᑮᖃᔪᖃᕹ.

ᒥᑮᖃᔪᖃᕹ (ᑐᓯᐱᑎᔪ): ᖃᑦᓇᓕᒃ, ᐃᑵᐸᑐᖃᕹ. ᕈᐅᕼᐃᖕ ᐴᐊ ᑐᓯᓄᒍᔪᐃᓕᒃ ᐅᖃᓇᖃᖃᑕᐃᓇᒃ, ᑕᐃᒪ

ᖃᐊᒡᓇᖃᑐᓂᖃ ᓴᖃᓴᖃᖃᑐᐊᓯᓂᖃᓇ ᐳᓇ ᑕᐃᒪ ᓴᐊᓗᓱᓇᐅᖃᓇᖃᖃ, ᓯᖃᐊᖃᖃᑕᓂᖃᒃ? ᖃᑦᓇᓕᒃ, ᐃᑵᐸᑐᐸᕹ.

ᐃᑵᐸᑐᖃᕹ (ᑐᓯᐱᑎᔪ): ᖃᑦᓇᓕᒃ, ᒥᒃ ᒥᑮᖃᔪᖃᕹ. ᒥᒃ ᐸᓇ <ᐃᖃᕹ.

ᐸᓇ <ᐃᖃᕹ (ᑐᓯᐱᑎᔪ): ᖃᑦᓇᓕᒃ, ᐃᑵᐸᑐᐸᕹ. ᐃᓇᖃᓕᒃ ᑕᖁᖅ ᖃᒐᕼᔭᑕᐅᖃᑐᖃᖁ ᐊᑐᑕᖃᑕᓇᔭᑲᖁᓇᕼᓕᖁᓇ ᐅᖃᐅᓯᓇᔭᓕᓯᖃᐃᒃ ᐅᓇᖃᓇᖃᐊᒿᓇᓇ ᑕᐃᓇᐃᑕᖁᑕᓇᐅᖃᐅᖃᑐᖁᖅ. ᑕᐃᒪ ᐃᓄᖃ ᐃᓇᖃᐃᓇ ᐃᖃᖃᇂᓇᐃᓯᐱᑎᖏᓇᖃ <ᐃ<<ᖃᓇᖁ ᑕᖁᓇᐊᖃᖃᓇᖁ ᓴᖃᐱᑕᐅᐊᖃᓇᖃ ᑕᐃᖃᐊᓇ ᐊᖃᖃᓴᓇᖁᓯᖁᓇᖁ ᐊᖁᕼᖃᖃᓗᓇᖁ ᐅᖃᐅᕼᑕᕼᓯᖃᓇᐅᖃᑐ ᐅᖃᓇᓇᕼᓇᓯᐊᓇᒡᑐᖁ ᐃᓇᖃᓇᖃᑐ ᐊᖃᖃᕼᔭᓇᖃᐅᖁᓇᖃ. ᐅᖃᑕᖃᖃᒃᔭᖁᖅ ᐃᓴᐊᓇᕋᖃᑐᓇᖁᖁᖁᓇᖁ ᐅᖃᑕᖃᐊᒿᖅ ᐃᓴᐊᓇᕋᖃᑐᓴᓇᔪᒪᓇᖃᒡᒃᓇᔪ ᐅᖃᕹᓴᓇᐊᓇᖃᒃᔭᖁᓇ ᐸᓇᖃᓇ ᓯᖕᑕᖃᑐᓇᖃᖃᐃᑐᖃ ᖃᓇᖃ ᐃᒃᐃᓇᖃᕼᖃᑕᐅᓇᖃᓇᐅᑐᖃ <ᓇᓇᕼᐅᖁᓇᖁᓇᓇᔪᒪᐊᖃᖃᐊᓇᖁᕼᒐ.

ᑕᐅᓇᖁ ᑕᖁᖅ <ᑐᖃᖃᓇᖃ ᑕᐃᒪᓇᖃᖁ. ᐃᓯᖃᓇᖃ ᑕᖁᖅ ᐃᓴᐊᓇᕋᖃᑐᓴᖃᑐᓇᔪ ᓯᖃᐱᑕᐅᖃᑐᐃᓇᕼ ᑐᐊᓇᖃᐊᓇᖃᕼᖃᖁᓇ ᐊᓇᖃᓇᖃᑕᖃᓇᖃᓂᖁ ᑕᐃᖃᐊᓕᑕ ᓄᖃᓇ. <ᓇᖁᖁᓇ ᑕᐅᓇᖁᐊᖁᓇᖃᑕᐅᖃᒡᑐᓇᔭᓇᕼᒐ.

Eventually they did, but it wasn't until it reached the Department of Education. It was like four rungs up the ladder before he got any attention. I think it's important that these things be dealt with on the ground. Thank you.

Chairperson: Thank you, Ms. Keenan Bengts. Mr. Enook, you're next on the list.

Mr. Enook (interpretation): Thank you, Madam Chairperson. My only question is about education. Madam Chairperson, in Ms. Keenan Bengts' report on page 16, it states that the district education authorities are not formally listed as public bodies under the Act. I understand what that means. Even though they're in that situation and they're not formally listed with the authority that you have been given, you want to give the DEAs more responsibilities, but the DEAs have not been formally listed as public bodies. Can you please clarify that whole thing? Thank you.

Chairperson (interpretation): Thank you, Mr. Enook. Ms. Keenan Bengts.

Ms. Keenan Bengts: Thank you, Madam Chairperson. Part of the problem is that I don't understand the function of the DEAs. The reason I don't understand the function of the DEAs is because nobody would explain it to me when I was doing these recommendations. If I'm confused about it, others are confused about it.

As I understand the DEAs are the local organizations who deal with the educational issues that arise in community. They are made up of volunteers, as I understand it, and at least in theory are at arms length from government. That's on the one hand.

ᐱᐊᒋᓂᐅᓂᕐᖅᑐᖅᐸᖅᐸᖏᓴᓪᓚᑕᐅᖅᑐᒍᓗᔭᖅ. Ċᖅᑯᐊ ᐃᓕᓐᓂᐊᖅᑐᑕᓐᓴᖅᑯᑦ ᑭᔭᐊᓇ ᑕᕝᕗᐊᖅ ᖅᑯᓂᖔᓄᑦ ᑎᓴᒪᓄᖅ ᖅᑕᑎᓂᖅᖮᖃᓄᖅ ᑎᖅᐹᑎᓕᒥ ᑭᔭᐊᓂ ᑐᑭᕆᖕᓂᖅ. ᐱᑎᐊᓪᓴᐦᐄᑐᐊᖃᓕᓴᖅᓄ ᔭᖕᓛᓕᖅᖬᖏᓴᓕᓴᓄ ᐊᐱᖁᖃᓕᓄᖅ ᑐᑭᕆᑎᑕᐅᔮᖃᓂᐊᑕᖔᒥᓄᐅᓗᔭᖅ. ᖅᑯᖔᒥᖅ.

ᐃᑲᔪᖅᐸᑐᑕᖅ (ᑐᑭᖔᑎᒍᑦ): ᖅᑯᖔᒥᖅ, ᒥ ᓀᖔ ᐸᐃᖕᑦᔅ. ᒥᑦᑕ ᐃᓄᖅ, ᕐᒍᑦᓕᑕᐅᓕᑦᕆᖔᑎᑦ.

ᐃᓄᖅ: ᖅᑯᖔᒥᖅ, ᐃᑲᔪᐹᑐᖅ. ᑕᖁᖕᒍᑐᐊᖅ ᐊᐱᖅᑯᑎᖕᓴᖅᑲᕈᐅᑲᔪᓕᕿᖔᒍ ᐃᓕᓐᓂᐊᖅᑐᑕᓐᓴᖅᑯᑦ ᐱᑕᓕᐊᖃᖔᓂᖔᓂᖅ. ᐃᑲᔪᐹᑐᖅ, ᐅᑲ ᓀᖔ ᐸᐃᖕᑦᔅ ᐅᓂᖅᑕᓕᐊᕿᓂᖔ ᒪᖃᖅᑐᖅᓕᖔ 16-ᖔ ᑎᑎᖅᖑᕈᓪᓗᕕᖕᓴᑦ, ᑎᑎᖅᖅᑐᑎᖖ Ċᖅᑯᐊ ᐃᓕᓐᓂᐊᖅᑐᑕᓐᓴᖅᑯᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖔᕐᑕ, ᐃᓕᓐᓂᐊᖅᑐᑕᓐᓴᖅᑯᑦ ᓄᓇᓕᖅᖔ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᑦ ᐃᓴᓯᓕᒋᒥᓕᖔᓯᖕᓪᔾᐳᖖᑦᖔᑐᖕᒃ ᒪᓕᓕᖅ ᒪᓕᖅᒍᓗ. Ċᖔᖔ ᑐᑭᕆᖔᕿᖅᑲᓕ. ᑕᐅᖔᖅᑲᖖᒍᓴᓂᖅᓗᖕᓗ ᐃᓕᓴᓯᔮᕿᓕᖔᕿᖕᓗ ᐄᖃᓕᓗ ᐱᐊᓕᓴᖔᖅ ᒪᓕᖅᒍᓗ ᐱᑎᖔᓪᓯᐹᖃᖁᖔᕐᑐᕿᓗᖕᑕ ᐅᖁᐊ ᐃᓴᓯᔮᕿᖔᖕᖔᕆᖖᑐᑕ ᒪᓕᖔᑕᐸ ᐃᖔᐊᖕᑕᖖ. Ċᖕᕆᒥᖔ ᑐᑭᕆᑎᖔᐊᖔᐸᖃᖔᖖ ᖅᖔᖔ ᐅᖅᑲᐅᕿᖔᖔᖖᑎᖔᓕᖔᐹᖅ. ᖅᑯᖔᒥᖅ, ᐃᑲᔪᐹᑐᖅ.

ᐃᑲᔪᐹᑐᖅ: ᖅᑯᖔᒥᖅ, ᒥᑦᑕ ᐃᓄᖅ. ᒥᑦ ᓀᖔ ᐸᐃᖕᑦᔅ.

ᓀᖔ ᐸᐃᖕᑦᔅ (ᑐᑭᖔᑎᒍᑦ): ᖅᑯᖔᒥᖅ, ᐃᑲᔪᐹᑐᖅ. ᐃᓕᖔᒍ ᐊᑲᑕᖔᖅᖔᖃᑕᐅᕿᖔᖔᓕᕐᖕᑕᖃᖕᖑᕕᐊᖮᖕ ᐃᓕᓐᓂᐊᖅᑐᑕᓐᓴᖅᑯᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖔᕐᑕ ᓄᓇᓕᖅᖔ ᐱᑎᖔᐊᑎᖔᖔᖅᑕ ᑐᑭᕆᓴᐦᐊᖃᓂᖔᒪᖔᕕᐹᕿᑦ ᑭᔭᖔᓕᓴᑦᓕᖃᕐᖕᑐᖕᓕᓴᕆᖔᑕ. ᖔᓗᖔᐃᖅᑐᖔᖅᑕᐅᑐᖔᖅᖀᖔᖕᒃᑕ ᐊᐱᖅᓯᓯᐊᖅᓕᖔᖔ ᐊᑐᖅᖔᓴᑕᐅᕿᖔᖔᖔᕿᖔᖖᑐ. ᑕᐄᖕ ᑕᖔᖅ ᖔᓯᕆᔅᑯ ᐊᕿᖔᓄᑲᐅᕿᖔ ᖔᖔᕐᓕᐅᖔᖃᑦᖔᖅᖅ.

ᑕᐃᖕᒃᐊ ᓄᓇᓕᖕᓄ ᑎᒋᐅᓕᕐᑕ ᑲᒪᖮᖕᓕᖅᑐᖖ ᐃᓕᓐᓂᐊᖅᑐᑕᓐᓂᖕᒍ ᐃᓕᓐᓂᐊᖅᑐᑕᓐᓂᖔᒥᖅ ᐃᕆᖔᓕᖔᖔᖃᑐᖔᖅ ᖔᖖᑲᖀᖃᑕᖔᖅᑐᖔᖅ. ᐱᒍᓕᕐᑕ ᑐᑭᕆᔭᖔᑐᐅᑕ ᐱᒍᓕᕐᑕ ᖁᓇᖅᕆᖔᖮᓕᑎᖖ ᐃᑲᔭᖅᑎᐅᕿᐅᖖᒍᐦᑕ ᑲᑎᒪᔮᖔ ᓴᕂᓕᖕᑕᑦ ᕂᑯᐦᖔᖔᕿᖔᖅᑐᑎᑦ. ᑕᐄᖕ ᑕᖔᖅ ᑐᑭᕆᔭᓕᕐᖔᖕᑕᖃ.

ᐃᖅᖔᖔᐃᔭᖔᐦᖖᑎᖖᖔᖃᑕᕆᖮ ᐊᖔᑎᖔᖃᑕᕆᖖᒍᓕᒋᕐᕿ

They hire and fire, as I understand it, the teachers, but the teachers aren't employees of the DEAs, they're employees of the government. The DEAs are responsible for the running of the school, but they're not responsible for privacy or access to information. It's just very confusing.

To me, the people who are responsible for the day-to-day running of these schools should be responsible for ensuring privacy and for addressing access to information issues. That's why I think the DEAs should be named as public bodies under the Act. They aren't right now. At the very least, the Department of Education should be insisting on DEAs all having privacy and access policies in place.

It's a matter of who is responsible and can we point to that person. To me, in most cases, it's going to be the DEA. That's who the teacher went to and he didn't get any love from the DEA because they didn't have any responsibility, or wouldn't take responsibility. That's why I think the DEAs should be public bodies under the Act. Thank you.

Chairperson: Thank you, Ms. Keenan Bengts. Mr. Enook.

Mr. Enook (interpretation): Thank you, Madam Chairperson. What I'm trying to say is some of us as MLAs have previously stated that one of the reasons for us wanting Nunavut is so that each community would have more responsibilities and have more authority and take part more in the running of Nunavut. Perhaps at that time, Ms. Keenan Bengts, you didn't hear that.

ᐃᓕᓴᐃᔨᓂᒃ. ᑖᒃᑯᐊᓕ ᐃᓕᓴᐃᔨᐃᑦ ᐃᓐᓇᓂᐊᖅᑐᑕᕐᓂᔅ�dᖅ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖏᕐᐊᓄᑦ ᐃᖅᑲᓇᐃᔭᖅᑎᐅᔭᕆᐊᑎᒃ ᒐᕙᒪᒃᕚᓂᖅ ᐃᖅᑲᓇᐃᔭᖅᑎᐅᑦᔪᑎᒃ. ᑖᒃᑯᐊ ᓄᓇᓕᓐᓂ ᐃᓕᓴᐃᔨᐃᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖏᑦ ᐃᓕᓴᕐᓂᖅ ᐊᐅᓚᑦᓯᔪᐊᑦᑕᔭᖅdᑦ ᑭᔪᐊᓂ ᑲᑎᔭᐅᒐᓂᑦ ᑲᓐᓄᓇᖅᑐᑕᓂᖅᒥ. ᑕᒪᓐᓇ ᓇᓄᓇᑦᓴᓕᒃ ᐅᕘᓄᑦ.

ᑕᐃᒃdᐊ ᐃᓕᓴᕐᓂ ᐊᐅᓚᑦᓄᑦ ᑲᑲᐅᔭᐅᔭᑦᑦ ᖃᐅᑕᒫᑦ ᐃᓕᓴᐃᐅᐸ ᐊᐅᓚᑦᐃᐅᖃᔪ ᑕᐃᒃᑯᐊᓕᐃ ᑕᒪᓕ ᑲᓕᐅᓕᐊᑦᓯᒃᔭᖅᑖᑦ ᑲᓐᓄᓇᖅᑐᑕᓂᖅᒥᖅ ᐊᒥᓕᖅᑕᖅᑕᖅ ᑕᖅᑕᐅᔭᑦᓄᐃᑦ ᑕᖅᑕᖃᖅᑐᓄ ᓯᖅᑐᓄᓖᓂᖅᕕᕆᐅᖅᑎᐊ. ᑕᒪᓕ ᑕᖅᑕᐅᔭᑦᓄᐅᔭᖃᖅᑦ ᑕᖅᑕ ᐃᓕᓴᐃᔭᐅᔭᕆᐊᖅᑖᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖏᑦ ᒐᕙᒪᒃᕚᓄᑦ ᑎᒥᐅᒐᖅᑲᐅᑕᐅᔭᑐᑦ ᑕᐃᒪᓕᐊᕐᒧᔮᑦᑕ. ᐃᓕᓴᐃᔭᐅᔭᕐᒥᓕᓕᒃᑕᒐᑦ ᑖᒃᑯᐊ ᐱᓯᓄᐊᕕᖅ ᐊᔭᐃᖃᓄᐊᔭᐅᓛᕆᒐᖅ ᓄᓇᓂᓂᖅ ᐃᓕᓴᐃᔭᐅᔭᑦᓄᔭᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖏᑦ ᑕᐃᒪᓕᐊᑦᓄᑐᖅ ᐊᐅᓚᑦᓄᖅᑕᐊᕚᓄᑕᑦᔪᑦ.

ᑭᖅᑯᑦ ᑕᒪᓕ ᐱᓯᓄᐊᖅᑕᕐᑕᖅᑕᖅᑕᖃᖅᑦ ᑎᖅᑐᐊᖄᑐᐊᖃᖅᑲᕿ? ᐅᕘᓄᑦᑦ ᑎᖅᑐᐊᖅᑐᖅᑕᖅᑕᐅᔭᑐᖅᖅᑐᖅᒃ ᐃᓕᓴᐃᔨᐅᔭᑦᓄᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖏᑦ ᐃᓕᓴᐃᐊᐃᐱᑦᖅᐳᐊᕚᓄᑦ ᑕᐃᒪᐊᓴᓄᐊᖄᑦ ᐃᓕᓴᐃᔭᓄᐊᐅᑐᖅ ᑐᕉᖅᐊᐅᔭᕈᑦᓄᐃᖅ. ᑕᐃᒪᓕᐅᒃ ᑕᐃᒪᓕᐊᖅ ᐱᔭᑦᓄᑎᓄᖅᔪᔮ ᑖᒃᑯᐊ ᓄᓇᓂᓂᖅ ᐃᓕᓴᐃᔭᐅᔭᑦᓄᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖏᑦ ᒐᕙᒪᒃᕚᓄᑦ ᑎᒥᐅᔭᕚᖅᑕᐅᖅᒃᓄᖄᐃᓄᖄᑐᖄᓕ ᕚᐊᕕ. ᖅdᑦᓄᓕᕗ.

ᐃᑭᑦᐳᐅᑖᖅ (ᑐᓯᐊᑎᓄᔮᖅ): ᖅdᑦᓄᓕᕗ, ᒥᖅ ᑭᓇᖄ ᐸᐃᖅᑖᖅ. ᒥᖅᑕ ᐃᓄᖅ.

ᐃᓄᖅᑦ: ᖅdᑦᓄᓕᕗ, ᐃᒃᔭᐊᐅᑖᕿ. ᐅᓇ ᐅᖅᑲᐅᕐᓄᓇᓕᕚᑕᕚ ᐃᒃᑕᑐᒃ ᒪᑎᑖᖅᑏᐅᐱᐅᔮᖅ ᐅᓯᓯᐊᐃᔪᑦᒃ ᐅᖅᑕᖅᑕᖅᑕᖅᔩᔮᕚᑐ ᐃᓕᖅᑕᕐᔭᐅᑎᑎᕚᒃᔪ ᓄᓇᔾᕈᖅᒥᓕ ᓄᓇᑖᖅᐱᓄᔮᑐᖅ ᓄᓇᓂᖅᑕ ᐊᐅᓚᑦᓄᓄᖅᑕᐊᕚᓄᔮᔮᖅᑕᖅ, ᓄᓇᓂᖅᑕ ᐊᔭᓄᐊᕿᖅᑕᕚᐊᕚᓄᔮᔮᖅᑕᖅ. ᓄᓇᓂᖅᑕ ᐃᑐᐊᓄᖅᕚᖅᑐᖄᑐᔮᔮᖅᑕᖅ. ᑭᓇᖄ ᐸᐃᖅᑖᖅ ᐃᒻᓖᖅ ᑐᖅᑕᖄᑦᕚᕿᓕᖅᑐᖅᒃᓄᔮᖅᑐᖄᖅ.

Recently the education department was reviewing the *Education Act* and doing community consultations. What we heard a lot, and what I've stated in this House a lot, is that the local DEAs, if they are going to be given responsibilities then they should be given resources. If they are going to be responsible for what you're talking about, then the proper resources have to be put into the communities right away.

The way the Department of Education usually works through the DEAs, is they give the DEAs, supposedly, a lot of authority by the education department. But then they don't give them any resources to do the work with. And then afterwards they are told no you're not able to do what we gave you to do, and you're not doing your work well enough. So we're going to be doing the work that you're supposed to be doing because you're unable to do it. The responsibility is too big for you. They have never even been given the authority to do the work.

Although I agree with this, what I'm scared of is they'll be formally listed as a public body, but then afterwards they'll be given more responsibility but then no resources to do the work with. Then it'll start from scratch all over again. That seems to be how it works.

Do you think that if the community DEAs are recognized as public bodies, that the resources will be given to them right away as soon as they're listed as public bodies? Thank you, Madam Chairperson.

Chairperson: Thank you, Mr. Enook. Ms. Keenan Bengts.

Ms. Keenan Bengts: Thank you, Madam

ᒫᓇᖅᑯᒃᑯᑦ ᐃᓕᓐᓂᐊᖅᑐᓕᕆᒃᑯᑦ ᐱᓕᕆᖃᑎᒌ ᒪᓕᒐᒃᓴᒥᒃ ᐅᖃᐅᓯᖅᖃᖅᑎᑦᑎᖃᑦᑕᖃᒐᓗᐊᒻᒪᑕ ᐳᓕᖃᑕᖃᑐᓪᓗ. ᐅᓇ ᐅᖃᐅᓯᐅᓕᕐᓂᖅᑑᕠᔪᖃᑕᐅᓐᒪᑦ ᐅᕙᖕᓗᑦᑕᐅᓐ ᐅᕙᓂ ᐃᐅᓗᒃ ᐅᖃᐅᓯᕆᖃᑦᑕᖇᒻᒪᑦᑕᐅᒃᑐ ᐃᓕᓐᓂᐊᖅᑐᓕᕆᒃᑯᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖏᑦ ᐱᔭᖦᖃᓂᖃᖅᑎᑕᐅᓂᐊᖅᐸᑕ ᓄᓇᓕᖕᓂ ᐱᓕᕆᔾᑎᖃᖅᑎᑕᐅᔭᕆᐊᖃᒐᓗᐊᒻᒪᑦ. ᓯᒃᑐ ᑖᕐᒻᒥ ᐱᓕᕆᕿᐅᐊᕈᑎᒃ ᐅᖃᐅᓯᕆᓚᐅᕐᓂᖅ ᐱᔭᖦᖃᐅᑎᒋᓂᐊᖅᖃᒻᒪᑦ ᐱᓕᕆᔾᑎᕐᔭᖃᓂᐊᖅᑎᕐᒻᒪᓗ ᓄᓇᓕᖕᒥ ᒪᓕᒃᑕᐅᑎᖃᓂᐊᖅᒻᒪᑦ ᓴᖃᔅᔭᖃᖅᑎᑕᐅᔭᓂᒃ.

ᐃᒪᐃᖃᑦᑕᖅᑯᕐᔭᖦᖕᒪᑕ ᐃᓕᓐᓂᐊᖅᑐᓕᕆᒃᑯᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖏᑦ ᒫᓇᐅᔭᖅ, ᐱᔭᖦᖃᐅᑎᓂᒃ ᖃᐃᓯᑎᕐᐅᑐᖅᑯᕠᕐᓗᓂᒃ ᐃᓕᓐᓂᐊᖅᑐᓕᕆᒃᑯᑦ ᐱᓕᕆᖏᒎᓄᒃ. ᐱᓕᕆᔾᑎᕐᔭᖃᓂᐊᖅᑎᕐᒋᓂᓗ ᖃᐃᑎᕐᔭᐅᔾᒡᒐᑎ. ᕿᔪᖃᖕᒍᑦ ᐅᖃᐅᓯᕐᔭᐅᑎᖅᕠᒎᓂᒃ, "ᐱᓕᕐᔨᖃᖕᖃᑦᑐᔾ. ᐱᓕᕐᐸᕐᔭᖅᖓᒃ ᐱᓕᕐᑎᐊᖕᖃᒎᓐᒎᐊᕠᔾ. ᐅᕈᒃ ᐊᐃ ᑖᖃ ᐱᓕᕐᐊᓕᖕᒋᒍᐅᕐᒋᖃᒃ, ᐱᓕᕐᔭᖃᖕᖃᑦᑐᒎᒎᓗᖃᔾ, ᐃᓕᕐᔾᒎᓄᒃ ᐊᖕᑎᒎᐊᒻᒪᒃ." ᐅᕈᕿ ᑐᓂᕐᔭᐅᑎᖅᔮᒍᖕᖃᑐᑦ ᐱᓕᕐᔾᖃᐅᑎᓂᖃᖅᑎᕐᒋᓂᒃ.

ᐅᓇ ᐊᖕᑎᑲᑎᕐᓗᒎᐊᖅᖓᒋ ᑕᖅᕿᖃᐃ ᑐᓂᕐᐅᓚᐅᖃᒎᐊᓯᒋ ᕿᔪᖕᖃᒃ ᐊᖃᕠᖃᐅᑎᖃᐅᑐᕐᖓᒃᓈᐅᖅ ᓯᖃᐃᒪ ᓄᓇᕠ ᐃᓕᓐᓂᐊᖅᑐᓕᕆᒃᑯᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖏᑦ ᐱᓕᕐᐸᕐᔭᖕᒋᓂᒃ ᖃᐃᑎᕐᔭᐅᖓᒎᐊᖅᖓᒎᓂᒃ ᐱᓕᕆᔾᑎᕐᔭᖕᒋᓂᒃ ᖃᐃᑎᕐᔭᐅᖕᖃᕐᓕᐅᕐᒃᖃᐅ, ᔾᑎᐅᕐᔾᑎᕐᔾᓂᐊᕐᓂᒎᓂᖅ.

ᑖᐃᒪᐃᖃᒎᔾᖅᖃᑦᑖᕐᒋ. ᐃᕠᒪᖃᖕᖃᑦᑕ ᓯᖃᒎ ᑖᖃ ᓄᓇᓕᖕᓂᒃ ᑐᓂᕐᐅᓂᐊᖅᐸᐃᒃ ᔾᑎᖃᐃᒪ ᐱᓕᕆᔾᑎᖏᕐᒎ ᐱᓕᕐᔾᖃᐅᑎᖏᕐᒋᓂᐊᖅᑎᕐᒋᓂᒎ ᒪᓕᒃᑎᑕᐅᑲᑎᕐᒪᕠᕐᔭᐅᔭᕐᒎᔾᖃᒃ? ᑐᑭᕠᖃᓂᖅᒪ, ᖃᐅᔭᖕᖃᒎᒃ, ᐃᕐᕠᑐᐅᑲᖅ.

Chairperson. That's obviously not a question I'm really in a position to answer. What I can say, yes, if you're going to give the DEAs additional responsibilities under the Act, they need the resources to do the work. There is going to be training involved. I don't think it would be onerous, quite frankly, on the DEA. One DEA might have one privacy complaint every two or three years. Then the problem becomes keeping the expertise in-house.

I'm not saying there aren't a lot of issues that wouldn't have to be resolved if DEAs were made public bodies, but that shouldn't prevent it from happening. There are all sorts of things that would have to happen from training to providing resources to creating more policies. My recommendation comes solely from the position of somebody has to be responsible. Thank you.

Chairperson: Thank you, Ms. Keenan Bengts. I think that's all from Mr. Enook. Mr. Akoak, you're next on the list.

Mr. Akoak: Thank you, Madam Chairperson. Good afternoon. We talked about a deputy commissioner that you are going to be hiring. You said this person is going to be responsible for Nunavut and the NWT. Being from Nunavut, will you be hiring somebody from Nunavut to fill in that position? Thank you, Madam Chairperson.

Chairperson: Thank you, Mr. Akoak. Ms. Keenan Bengts.

Ms. Keenan Bengts: Thank you, Madam Chairperson. As I said in my opening remarks, it's something that I've been kind of considering. Is having that person situated in Nunavut, obviously would be

ᐱᐅᔪᒫᓗᖅᑎᑕ ᑖᓐᓇ ᐊᐱᖅᑯᑎ ᑭᔾᐊᓂ ᐅᖃᐳᐊᓪᓗᐊᖅᑐᖏᓪ ᐃᓕᓐᓂᐊᖅᑐᓂᕆᔭᖅᑯᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖏᑦ ᐱᔪᖕᓇᖅᑖᑎᖃᖁᓈᓇᐊᕋᕐᔭᐅᖅ ᒪᓕᒐᐅᑉ ᐃᓗᐊᒍᑦ. ᑕᐃᒪᓕ ᐱᓕᕆᔭᒃᓴᖓᓂᑦ ᑐᓂᕆᐊᓕᕐᑎ ᐃᓕᓐᓂᐊᖅᑎᑕᐅᕐᐊᖅᕚᕐᒡᖔᖕᒪᑕ ᐃᖅᑲᓇᐃᔭᖅᑎᖕᑕᖏᑦ. ᐃᓚᖕᒃᑎ ᐃᓕᓐᓂᐊᖅᑐᓂᕆᔭᖅᑯᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖏᕐᓂᑦᒃ, ᐊᕐᙯᒍᖅᑲᐃ ᒪᔾᔫᖅ ᐱᖕᓯᓯᐨᔪᖕᑰᖕᑦ ᒪᓗᐊ�$ᐢᑦ ᑭᔾᐊᓂ ᑐᓯᖁᐸᕈᓂᓕᖕᑎᒍᐡ ᐅᖕᓂᓂᖅᕐᓱᕐᒡᑐᖏ. ᐃᓕᓐᓂᐊᖅᑐᓂᕆᔭᖅᑯᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖕᓂᖕᓂᓗᖅᑐᖓ. ᐊᑲᐅᖕᓕᑐᐅᕈᖕᢦᓝᓗ ᐊᖅᑭᑕᐅᕐᐊᖅᕐᖃᖅᑐᐊᑦ ᑕᐃᒃᑯᓇ ᐃᓕᓐᓂᐊᖅᑐᓂᕆᔭᖅᑯᑦ ᓇᓪᓕᕐᑯᑦ ᑎᒥᕐᓂᖅᕐᐸᑕ.

ᓄᖅᑲᖕᓗᕐᔪᑎᖅᕐᓱᕆᖅᖕᐸᕆᑐᓄᑦ ᑕᒪᒃᑯᓂᖕᔪ ᐃᓕᓐᓂᐊᖅᑎᑕᐅᕐᐊᖅᕐᖃᖅᑐᐊᑦ ᐱᓕᕆᔭᖕᓱᖅᕐᓱᖅᕐᐅᑎᐅᓗᑎᖕᓗᓗ ᐊᑐᐊᒐᓕᕆᔾᔪᑎᖕᓱᖕᒡᓂᖅᖕᖕᒃ. ᐃᔾ, ᐊᑐᐊᒐᐅᑐᖅᑰᖕᓂᔳᓗᑎᖕᓗᓗ. ᐊᑐᑐᖕᑯᐸᖕᑕᓕᖕᕉᒄᑦᑐᖅᖕᓝᒃᑐᑐᐊᔪᕐ ᑦᕅᕅᖕᔪᖕᖅ<<ᑐᔾᐊᑦ ᓯᕐᖕᒃᓗᔳᕐᔳᕐᑦ$ᑦᕐᓱ$ᑐᖅᕐᓱᖅᕐᔳᖕᓯᒡ. ᕐᑦᕅᕅᖕᕆ�.

ᐃᕇᕅᐅᑕᖅᕐ: ᕐᑦᕅᕅᖕᕆᑀ, ᒥᕝ ᑬᕉᕃ <ᐸᕈᕝᕝᕚ. ᑦᕅᕅᕅ ᒥᕐᑕ ᐃᐚᕜ ᐱᕈᕃᕐᕚᕐᑕᕚᐃᕚᕐᕚ, ᑕᐃᒪ ᒥᕐᑕ ᐊᕐᕂᕉᕚᕐ ᕅᕝᕉᕚᑀᕀᖅ$ᕃᕚ.

ᐊᕐᕉᕚᕐ (ᑐᕂᕐᕉᕚᕈᕚ): ᕐᑦᕅᕅᖕᕆᑀ, ᐃᕇᕅᐅᑕᖅᕐ. ᐅᖕᓂᕂᖅᕐᖅᕚ. ᑕᐃᒪ ᐅᖅᕐᕂᕝᕂᖅᕝᖅᕉᕚᕃᖅ ᑲᕅᕃᕝᕈᕚᕝ ᑐᕂᕐᑕᕂᕝᕚᖅᖕᕚ, ᐃᔾ, ᐃᖅᑲᓇᐃᔭᖅᕐᓱᕚᕃᕝᕃᕝᕐᖕᕅᕚᕝ. ᑕᐃᖕᕅ ᑲᕅᕂᐃᕅᕉᕚᕝᖅᕐᖅᕐ ᓄᕅᕃᕅᕐᕈ ᐊᕝᕃ ᓄᕅᕂᕝᕃᐢᕃᕂᕝ? ᓄᕅᕃᕝᕃᐅᕚᕂᕂᕂᖅ ᓄᕅᕃᕝᕃᐅᕚᕚᖅ ᐃᖅᑲᓇᐃᔭᖅᕐᑦᕃᕃᕝᑕᕈᕃᕐᕐᖅᕚᕂᖅᕉᖅᕀᑀ ᑕᐃᕂᕃᕂᕅᖕᕃ? ᕐᑦᕅᕅᖕᕆᑀ, ᐃᕇᕅᐅᑕᖅᕐ.

ᐃᕇᕅᐅᑕᖅᕐ (ᑐᕂᕐᕉᕚᕈᕚ): ᕐᑦᕅᕅᖕᕆᑀ, ᒥᕐᑕ ᐊᕐᕉᕚᕐ. ᒥᕝ ᑬᕉᕃ <ᐸᕈᕝᕝᕚ.

ᑬᕉᕃ <ᐸᕈᕝᕝᕚ (ᑐᕂᕐᕉᕚᕈᕚ): ᕐᑦᕅᕅᖕᕆᑀ, ᐃᕇᕅᐅᑕᖅᕐ. ᑦᕅᕅᖕᕉᕃᕈᕝ ᒪᒐᐃᖅᕐᑀᐢᕈᕃ ᐅᖅᕂᖅᕂᐅᕚᕚ, ᑀᕂᕃ ᐃᕂᕃᕉᖅᕐᔳᕉᕂᕐᕉᒃᕂᕃᕃᖅᕉᒪᕀᕐᖕᖅ ᑕᕃᕂᕝ ᐃᖅᑲᓇᐃᔭᖅᕐᑦᕃᖅᕝᕈᕃ ᓄᕅᕃᕝᕃ, ᓄᕅᕃᕝᕃ ᐃᖅᑲᓇᐃᔭᖅᕐᑦᕃᑀᕝᕉᕐᕃᕝᕃᖅᕐᑐᕈᕐ ᓄᕅᕃᕝᕃᐅᕚᕝᕈᕐᕂᕝ.

hired in Nunavut and would live in Nunavut; To me, in my non-governmental head, because I don't work for government; I've never worked for government; I've always been a businessperson and I run my own business so I think ahead in terms of how can I plan to best transition, and to me, the best plan for transition would be to hire this person to work with me but to work from here. In my best case scenario, in my best of all worlds, in my happiness place, the person hired would be from Nunavut and would work in Nunavut. There are a lot of things that have to go into this. The position isn't even approved. There's no job description at this point. I don't know if there's the expertise within Nunavut or whether there is somebody who would be willing to learn what's necessary to do the job, but as I said, in my business planning head, the best case scenario would be to have somebody, yes.	ᐅᕙᓄᓪᑎ, ᐃᓅᒃ ᐅᕙᖕᓂᑕ ᐊᓯᒪᓕᕆᔪᐊᖕᓇᖕᒃᓗ ᓇᕗᒃᑯᑕᓄᑦ ᐃᖅᑲᓇᐃᔭᖅᑎᓪᑕᓐᐊᔫᖕᖓᒪᖕᒪᓇᒪᒪ, ᓇᑉᒥᓂᖅᐅᑎᖕᖓᓂᖅᓂᖅ ᐊᐅᓚᑦᑎᔮᖕᓂᐊᑐᖕᖓᖕᒐᓇᖅᓀᖅᓪᒥᑐᒪᐅᔪᖅᓗᓂᓕᒪᒪ. ᑕᐃᒪᓐᑕ ᐅᕙᖕᑎ ᐊᓯᒪᓕᕆᔮᖕᓗᒐᐃᖅ, ᖃᓄᖅ ᑕᐃᒪ ᓵᖃᔪᖕᖃᖕᑲᓐ ᒋᖕᖃ? ᐊᓯᖕᔀᕇᑕᐊᑕᐊᓯᒪᐱᕘᖕᖅ ᑕᐃᒪᖕ ᓵᖃᔪᖕᖃᑕᑕ ᐃᖅᑲᓇᐃᔭᖅᑭᑎᑎᖕᑕᖕᓐᖅᖕᒪ, ᐃᖅᑲᓇᐃᔭᖕᑭᐅᑎᖕᓂᐊᒪᖕᓗᒐ ᐅᕙᓂ. ᑕᐃᒪᓪᓂ ᐊᓯᒪᓕᖕᐱᑯᒥᓂᖕᒪᖃᖅᑕᒃᓯ ᐃᓪᑭ ᐊᐅᓚᑦᑎᐊᖃᕐᖅᑐᖅᕒᑕᐊᖕᖅᓂᖅᖕᒃᖕᓐᑦᐅᑦᑭᖕᒃ, ᑕᐃᒪᖕ ᐃᖅᑲᓇᐃᔭᖕᑌᖃᑐᖅᒃ ᓄᓇᐲᖕᖅᒥᐅᐱᕕᐊᑦᑕᐱᖕᑐᖅ, ᓄᓇᐱᒥᓗ ᓄᓇᐅᖅᑯᓕᓂᒧᖕ, ᐃᖅᑲᓇᐃᔭᖕᓂᖕᖃᐱᑦᓄᓗ ᓄᓇᐅᖅᖕᒥ. ᒋᖕᖃ ᓴᖅᑯᑐᐃᖕᖃᓄᖃᓄᖕᒐᓗᑎᖕᒥᐊᑦ ᐊᖕᓂᖅᖃᑕᐅᓂᑯᐅᖕᓂᖕᖅᖕᑎᖕᑦ ᓯᑕ, ᐊᒻᓗ ᐃᖅᑲᓇᐃᔭᖕᓐᖃᑕ ᐃᔪᐊᑕ ᑭᓯᑎᖕᑦᐃᐱᒥᓗᓪᖕᓇᖕᑦᖃ ᓇᖕᓇᐃᖅᑐᑭᑎᖕᓂᑐᖕᖅ ᐊᖕᒃᐱᖕᓐᑉᓕᐊᓇᖕᖕᖅᓄᖕ, ᐊᒻᓗ ᐃᖅᑲᓇᐃᔭᓯᓕᐊᖃᓇᖕᖕᒃᖕ ᑕᐊᓕᐊᓪᑐᒥ. ᐅᕙᖕᓄᓈᖕᑉ ᓇᑉᒥᓂᖅᐅᑎᓄᑭᐱᓂᐅᒻᓗᖕᖕᖕ ᐃᓯᖕᑭᖅᖕ, ᐊᐅᓚᑦᑎᐊᖕᓂᖅᖃᕦᔭᓪᓴᖕᑦᐅᑦᖕᖅᑐ ᒪᓇᒥᒥᑯᖃ ᐱᒃᑦᖕᒃ. ᖃᑭᔪᖃᐃᖕᖅ.
Chairperson: Thank you, Ms. Keenan Bengts. Mr. Akoak.	**ᐃᒃᓯᕙᐅᑕᖅ** (ᑐᑭᓕᐅᑎᒃ): ᖅᑯᔭᐊᖃᐃᖕᖅ, ᒥᓯ ᑮᓇᖕ ᐸᐃᖕᑦᓯ. ᒥᔅᑕ ᐊᖅᑯᐊᖅ.
Mr. Akoak: Thank you, Madam Chairperson. On page 12 of your 2015-16 annual report, you provide a breakdown of the number of files that your office opened during that fiscal year. According to your annual report, you received five privacy breach notifications during the 2015-16 fiscal year, two from the Department of Health, one each from the Department of Justice, Executive and Intergovernmental Affairs, and one from Nunavut Housing Corporation.

I note that on pages 17 and 18 of your annual report, you provide a summary of the review recommendation report that you issued in response to one of the breach notifications made by the | **ᐊᖅᑯᐊᖅ** (ᑐᑭᓕᐅᑎᒃ): ᖅᑯᔭᐊᖃᐃᖕᖅ, ᐃᒃᓯᕙᐅᒋᖅ. ᒪᑉᐱᖅᑐᒋᖕᖅ 12-ᒋᑦ 2015-16 ᐅᓂᖅᑲᓕᐊᖅᓄᑉᖃᖕᓂᓂᐅᖕ, ᐊᖕᒐᖅᑐᖅᒥᓕᐊᑦᖕᓄᖃᒃ ᑕᐃᖃᑦᐊ ᑭᓕᓐᕙ ᓄᑎᖕᖕᓯᖃᒥᖅᑕ ᐅᖅᑲᓯᓇᓕᐅᖅᖃᐊᓕᑉᖕᑕ ᑕᓪᑐᓕᒥᖕ ᐊᖕᖅᖕᒧᖕᒪᓯ ᐊᑐᓂᐅᖅᑦᖕᒧ, ᐊᒻᓗ ᐊᖕᖅᖕᒧᖕᒐᓴᓕᖃᓯᖅᖕᑦᐊᖕᑉᖕᓐᖃ ᐅᓂᖅᑲᖃᖕᓂᐊᖕᓄ ᑕᖕᑕᓕᖕᒪ ᐃᒪᒥᔄᖃᓇᖅᓐᑕ ᒪᒧᕌᖕᑕᓕᖕ ᐱᖃᒪᖅᓐᑕᖕᓂᑭᖃ ᖃᐅᖃᐊᖕᑯᐲᖕᓯᖃᓐᖕ ᐱᓕᐅᖅᑭᕈᖕ 2015-16 ᐊᖕᖅᖕᒧᐊᖕᑉ. ᒪᖕᖄᖅᑏ ᐊᖕᓂᐊᖃᖕᓂᐅᑐᓇᖃᓇᖃᓂᒃᐺᖃ ᐱᓯᓂᖕᖕᖅᖕᒐᖃᖕᓂᖅᖃᐃᖕᖅᖕᑐᖕᑮ ᐊᒻᓗ ᐊᐊᖃᕐᔥᐱᖕ ᒪᓇᓯᓯᓇᒥᒃᐱᑦ ᐱᓕᐅᖅᑕᖕᖕᖕᖕᖕᖕ ᐊᒻᓗ ᓇᕗᓕᓯᓇᐸᐱᑦ, ᐃᓐᐊᓪᑎᓕᐱᖕᔭᖕᑮᐅᒑᓕᓂᖅ. |

ᐅᑯᐊ ᐅᔭᓯᓕᐅᖅᓐᖃᑭ ᒪᑉᐱᖅᑐᒋᓕᖕᓐ 17 ᐊᒻᓗ 18, ᐊᖕᖅᖕᒐᒧᖃᑕᓪᖕᖕ ᐅᓂᖅᑲᖃᖕᓂᖃ ᓇᐃᑐᑭᑎᓯᓕᐅᖃ ᖅᕌᖃᖃᓯᖃᖃᖃᑐᖅᖃᖃᑕᖃ ᐊᑐᖕᓇᖅ ᓇᖃᕙᓯᖃᓕᐅᖃᖃᑎ ᓇᖕᑐᒃᖕ, ᐃᓪᑭᑦ ᑐᖕᒃᓇ ᐊᖕᓂᐊᖃᖕᓂᖕᖕᖅᖃᖃᖃᑐᖅᖃᖃᓇᖃᓯᖃᒃᐺᖃ ᖃᐃᖃᖃᑐᓯ. |

Department of Health.	
Can you provide further detail what the additional breach notifications entailed? Thank you, Madam Chairperson.	Ċᵇdⴤᵇᐃ ᐅᠤᵇᐅᠯᠤᵇᵅᠣᠸᓄᔭᠣᘁᐱᠮᑕ Ċᐃᵇdⴤ ⴤᠯᔭᓄ �𝑏ᐅᕈᵇᵇᐅᑦ ᐱᓚᐅᵇᑕᑎᑦ ᑭᠯᠤᵇ ᐃᠤᠤᓭᵇᑕᐅᵚᣟᠸᔪᐹᑦ? ᵚdᔭᠤᠣᖷᵇ, ᐃᵇᔭ⍅ᐅĊᵇ.
Chairperson: Thank you, Mr. Akoak. Ms. Keenan Bengts.	**ᐃᵇᔭ⍅ᐅĊᵇ** (ᒍᖇᐱᐅᒍᑦ): ᵚdᔭᠤᠣᖷᵇ, ᒥ� ⴤᵚdⴤᵇ. ᒥᔭ Ṗᠤᠤ ⼂ᐃᠤᵇᕝ.
Ms. Keenan Bengts: Thank you, Madam Chairperson. My memory doesn't serve me as well as it used to in my younger days. One of them dealt with the jump drive that went missing in the mail. There was another one from a health centre that is still ongoing so I will be writing a review recommendation on that one but it's not complete yet. I think maybe what I'm going to have to do is take that one under advisement and get back to you on that. I could go back to my office and look them up for you. I don't have that information at my fingertips and my memory won't bring them back for me right now. Thank you.	**Ṗᠤᠤ ⼂ᐃᠤᵇᕝ** (ᒍᖇᐱᐅᒍᑦ): ᵚdᔭᠤᠣᖷᵇ, ᐃᵇᔭ⍅ᐅĊᵇ. ᐃᠨᵇ ᐸᐅᒍᵇᕋᐃᒍᑐᓗᠤᓭ⊏ᕋᒪ ᐅ‹ᠤᒥ. ᐃᓚᵚᑫ ᐱᔭⴤᑎᵇᓚᐅᵇᒍᵇ Ċᐃᵇdⴤ ᑎᑎᵇᵇdⴤᵇdᑦ ⴤᐅᵖ⊏ᵇᑎᐸᵅᠣᵚᖬᑦ ᵚᕝᣟᐅᔭᠤᑦ Ċᐃᵇdⴤ ᠸᵇᔭⴤᖬᑦᠣᒍᑦ ᐃᠤᠤᵘᠤᠤᵇ ᵚᕝᣟᐅᔭᵚ᠗ ᐃᑎᔭᔮᑦ
	ⴤᣙ᠗ ⴤᵅᠣⴤᵇᵚᵅᠸᠮᑐᓚᔭᵇdᵅᑐᵇᒍᵇ ᐃᓚᵘᓚᑦ. Ċᐃᵅᠤ ᐃᔭᔏᐅᐸᑎᑕⴤᵖᔭᠸᵅᠸᵅᠤᵇd ᔭᓕ ᐅᠤᵇᓚ⼂ᐃᐃᵅᠤᵇᑕᑦ ᐱᔭᖱᵇᔭᠸᵅᠸᑎᒍᵇ. ᐃᕝᵚᵇ Ċᵅᠤ ᵚᑲᐹⴤᐸᐅᐅᵚ᠗ᒍ ᑎᒍᑲᐃᵅᠤ᠊ᵚ᠗ᒍ-ᓚᒍᑎᒍᵇd ᑎᑎᕚᵅᠸᑎᵅᠣᑦ ᐅᑎᕝᒪ ᵚᖱᠣᠸᣙᵇd. ᒺᵅᠤ ᔭᠤᠤᵖᒐᓚᑦ ⴤᣙ᠗ ᐸᐅᒍᵇᕋᐃᠤᕋᒪ ᐸᐅᒍᕈ᠗ᵖᵏᑐᒍ. ᵚdᔭᠤᠣᖷᵇ.
Chairperson: Thank you, Ms. Keenan Bengts. I'll look forward to that information. Mr. Akoak.	**ᐃᵇᔭ⍅ᐅĊᵇ** (ᒍᖇᐱᐅᒍᑦ): ᵚdᔭᠤᠣᖷᵇ, ᒥᵘ Ṗᠤᠤ ⼂ᐃᠤᵇᕝ. ᒥᔭ ⴤᵚdⴤᵇ.
Mr. Akoak: Thank you, Madam Chairperson. On page 12 of your 2015-16 annual report, you indicate that you received seven formal requests for comment from public bodies in Nunavut and three formal requests for comment from entities outside of the Government of Nunavut.	**ⴤᵚdⴤᵇ** (ᒍᖇᐱᐅᒍᑦ): ᵚdᔭᠤᠣᖷᵇ, ᐃᵇᔭ⍅ᐅĊᵇ. ᒪᵇᐱᵇᒍᒪᵇ 12, 2015-16 ᐅᠤᵇᓚⴤ�ᓚᓚᐅᵇᑕᵚᠣ ᐅᵇᓚᓚᐅᵇᒍᑎᵇ, 7-ᠣ ᠣᵘᐊᐅᑎᕈᵇᵇᐅᑕᵚᠣ ᐅᵇᐅᔭᵚᓚᓚᐅᕝᣝᑦ ᠤᠤᕼᵈᵅ᠗ᵚᵇᒍᵇ ⴤᣙ᠗ 7 ᠤᠤᕼᵈᵅ᠗ᵚᵇᒍᑎᵇ ⴤᣙ᠗ ᐱᠤᕝᔭᑦ ᠤᠤᕼᵗ ᓚ⍅ᒷᵇdᵗ ᑎᒥᵇdᑎᠸᵅᠤᖷᵅ᠗ᵚᵇᒍᑎᵇ.
Can you provide further detail on what specific issues you were asked to provide comments on? Thank you, Madam Chairperson	ᑭᠯᠤᵇ ᐱᔭⴤᑎᵇᠸᵇᒍᑎᠤᵇdⴤ Ċᐃᵇdⴤ ⼂ᐃ‹‹ᵗ ᐃᠤᠤᵅᠤᵗ ᠣᵘᐊᐅᑎᕉᐅᵚᔭᐅᓚᐅᵇ‹? ᵚdᔭᠤᠤᖷᵇ, ᐃᵇᔭ⍅ᐅĊᵇ.
Chairperson: Thank you, Mr. Akoak. Ms. Keenan Bengts.	**ᐃᵇᔭ⍅ᐅĊᵇ** (ᒍᖇᐱᐅᒍᑦ): ᵚdᔭᠤᠣᖷᵇ, ᒥᵘ ⴤᵚdⴤᵇ. ᒥᵘ Ṗᠤᠤ ⼂ᐃᠤᵇᕝ.

Ms. Keenan Bengts: Thank you, Madam Chairperson. I think again I'm going to have to plead the lack of memory. I will make a list and get back to you on that one.

Chairperson: Thank you, Ms. Keenan Bengts. Mr. Akoak.

Mr. Akoak: In a different area, on March 13, 2015, the Government of Nunavut's new Privacy Management Manual was tabled in the Legislative Assembly. This manual was intended to be "used by all employees of the Government of Nunavut to successfully implement the privacy provisions of the *Access to Information and Protection of Privacy Act*."

I note that you did not have the opportunity to review this manual prior to your September 2015 appearance before the Standing Committee. Since that date, have you had the opportunity to review this manual in detail and, if so, can you indicate if you have any specific concerns with the content of this manual? Thank you, Madam Chairperson.

Chairperson: Thank you, Mr. Akoak. Ms. Keenan Bengts.

Ms. Keenan Bengts: Thank you, Madam Chairperson. Yes, I have. I must say that I was fairly impressed with it. Were there any specific things that jumped out at me? No. That's not to say that these sorts of manuals kind of show their worth in use, as opposed to reading them and say, "Yeah, that will work."

Problems sometimes come up when you look at the policy and you're faced with a particular situation that isn't covered in

ᑭᓇᐅᔭ <ᐃᐊᕝᕆ (ᑐᕼᐳᑎᒍᑦ): ᖁᔭᓐᓇᒦᒃ, ᐃᒃᓯᕙᐅᑕᖅ. ᐋ, ᑕᒫᓇ ᐳᐊᒍᕐᒐᒥᒃᖂ ᑎᑎᕋᖅᓴᖕᓄᑦ. ᑕᒃᑯᐊ ᑎᑎᕋᖅᐸᓪᓕᐊᖅᒃᒃ ᑎᑎᕋᖅᓴᖕᓄᑦ ᐅᑎᑯᒪ ᖅᑲᐅᔭᒃᑯᑎ ᖅᑲᐅᒃᑯᐊᖃᖅᕳᕆᑦ.

ᐃᒃᓯᕙᐅᑕᖅ: ᖁᔭᓐᓇᒦᒃ, ᒥᔅ ᑭᓇᐅ <ᐃᐊᕝᕆ. ᒥᔅᑕ ᐋᖁᐊᖅ.

ᐋᖁᐊᖅ (ᑐᕼᐳᑎᒍᑦ): ᐊᕆᐊᓄᑦ. ᒫᕐᓯ 13, 2015- ᒥᑎᓐᓄ ᓄᓇᕗᑦ ᒐᕙᒪᒃᑯᑦ ᓄᑖᑦ ᐅᖃᓕᒪᓄᑦ ᐅᖃᓕᒪᓪᓗᑦ ᒪᓕᓪᓕᐊᑐᖅᖃᖕᓗᑦ ᕼᖅᑭᑕᐅᐊᕐᐊᖅᒃᒃᕐᒪᖕᓗᑦ ᐊᒻᓗ ᑦᒃᑯᐊ ᐅᖃᓕᒪᓄᑦ ᐊᑐᖅᑕᐅᕐᕼᐳᑕᐅᐊᓄᖅᑐᑦ ᐃᔪᒫᓇᖕᒥᒪᓄᑦ ᓄᓇᕗᑦ ᒐᕙᒪᒃᑯᑦ ᐃᖅᑲᓇᐃᔭᖅᑎᖕᒥᒪᓄᑦ. ᐊᑐᓕᖅᑎᑕᐅᐁᓕᐊᕷᒪᖅᓯᓯᐊᕐᕕᓕᑦ ᐃᕝᒥᓄᑦ ᑲᖕᖂᓇᖅᑐᖁᑦ ᕼᐳᓲᕐᐳᕐᖅᑕᐅᐳᕈᓴᖕᒥᒪ.

ᑕᐃᒪᐊᒪ ᑎᑎᕋᖅᕘᒪᕷᖅᑉᕳᖅ ᐊᒻᓗ ᖅᑲᐅᐳᓕᐅᑎᖏᕷᒫᓕ ᑕᒪᓇᒍᖅ ᖅᑭᕷᖅᒪᒍᒪᐊᖅᒃᕳᓄᐊᕷᒪᕗ ᕼᑎᓄᖕᑎ 2015 ᑲᑎᒪᕕᕷᓕᑦ ᕼᕷᒪᓪᕷᖅᕼᖀᐊᖅᒃᒃᖑᑦᒪᐅᓄᑦᒍᓄᑦ.ᑕᐃᒪᖕᒪᓕᑦ ᑕᕐᕳᖕᒫᓕᑦ ᐅᑎᓄᕷᓕᓇ ᑕᐃᒃᑯᐊ ᐅᖃᓕᒪᓄᑦ ᕼᕿᕷᒪᒍᒪᐊᖅᒃᒥᒪᐅᐳᕿᒫᕷᑦ ᐊᒻᓗ ᕼᕿᕷᒪᒍᒪᐊᖅᒃᒥᒪᐅᐳᕐᕿᕳᖃᒃ ᕼᖃᒪᐃᑐᖕᒥᒃ ᐃᓄᓕᑎᖕᒥᓕᑦ ᐃᕆᒫᒎᖕᒃᕷᖁᕷᖕᒫᐳᒃ? ᖁᔭᓐᓇᒦᒃ, ᐃᒃᓯᕙᐅᑕᖅ.

ᐃᒃᓯᕙᐅᑕᖅ (ᑐᕼᐳᑎᒍᑦ): ᖁᔭᓐᓇᒦᒃ, ᒥᔅᑕ ᐋᖁᐊᖅ. ᒥᔅ ᑭᓇᐅ <ᐃᐊᕝᕆ.

ᑭᓇᐅᔭ <ᐃᐊᕝᕆ (ᑐᕼᐳᑎᒍᑦ): ᖁᔭᓐᓇᒦᒃ, ᐃᒃᓯᕙᐅᑕᖅ. ᐋ, ᕼᖃᒪᐃᔪᐊᒥᒪᖅᑭᕐᖅᑐᖅᒃᒃ ᐊᒻᓕ ᐊᖅᕼᐊᔪᖅ ᐅᐱᒍᕼᕗᑕᖅᑐᖕᕙ ᐅᖃᓕᕳᖅᑉᕳᖅ. ᐊᕆᕷᒃᖑᕷᒃᕷᕼᖓᑦ ᖀᓇᖅ ᐱᕷᕙᐊᓇᖅ ᑕᒃᑉᖂᓇᖅᐅᖅᒪᕼᒃᒫᕷᒃ. ᐊᖅᒃ, ᑕᒃᑉᖂᓇᖅᐅᓄᖕᒃᑐᖕᕼᕳᔪᒃᒍᑦ ᐱᕷᐊᓇ ᑕᒪᓕᐊᑐᖅ ᐅᖃᓕᕳᖅᑉᕳᖅ ᐊᑐᖅᑕᐅᕷᖀᑎᖕᓄᒍᑦ ᐱᕷᐊᓇ ᐊᖀᑎᖅᑯᐊᖅᕷᖕᕳᑦ, ᐅᖃᓕᕷᐳᓗᑐᐊᒫᓇᕷᕗᐳᑦ.

ᐃᕷᖃᒥᕼᖁᑦ ᐊᑐᐊᓕᓇᖕᒃ ᕼᖃᒪᐃᕐᒃᒡᓄ ᐊᒻᓗ ᐅᑯᐊ ᐅᖃᓕᕷᒃ ᑎᑎᕋᖅᑐᖕᒃ ᐊᑎᖕᕳᑦ ᑎᕿᑕᐅᕷᖁᕼᖅᑕᕼᕺᓕᒃ ᐱᕷᑦ ᐱᑎᐊᕷᒪᓕᕷᔪᕷᒫᕷᑦ ᐊᒻᓕᔪᖕᓄᑦ ᐅᖃᓕᕳᖅᕼᖅ

the manual. Those things are going to happen. It is also going to happen where there are going to be situations where the manual says to handle something in a particular way, and I would disagree with it because of the particular situation. Those are the things that I deal with on a day-to-day basis. I think for the most part, it's a really good guide. Is it perfect? Probably not, but it's a really good start. Thank you.

Chairperson: Thank you, Ms. Keenan Bengts. Mr. Akoak.

Mr. Akoak: Thank you, Madam Chairperson. I have two questions on this. Are you satisfied with the contents of the Government of Nunavut's new *Privacy Management Manual*? What specific changes, if any, would you recommend be made to the Government of Nunavut's *Privacy Management Manual*? Thank you, Madam Chairperson.

Chairperson: Thank you, Mr. Akoak. Ms. Keenan Bengts.

Ms. Keenan Bengts: Thank you, Madam Chairperson. Am I satisfied with the contents? I'd say, probably yes. I think it's thorough. It addresses a lot of the issues that come up regularly. Would I make any changes? Not right now. I think that over time as it's used, it's just like the Act, over time as we use the Act, things have come up and I've recommended change.

I think that probably will be the same with this policy manual. It's a good start, but it has to be a living organism. It has to change. It has to be kept up-to-date. It has to reflect the current reality. For now, I would say no there is nothing that

ᐃᒪᐃᓕᖕᖓᕗᑦ ᐅᓇ ᐃᓐᒪ ᑲᒪᒋᔭᐅᔨᓇᐊᕐᖅ, ᐊᑐᖅᑕᐅᐊᖗᕐᐸᕝᐸᑦ ᐊᖃᑎᖃᕐᕿᓇᖅᑐᖅ. ᑕᒪᒃᑯᐊ ᖃᐅᑕᒫᑦ-ᑭᐊᖅ ᑲᒪᒋᖃᑦᑕᖅᑕᒃᒃ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᑐᑭᓕᐊᑐᖅᖃᖕᓯᑎᐊᕈᑉᑕᒃᓂᓂᖅ ᐃᐴᖅᖃᒐᒻᒪᓕᓇᐊᔪᓛᒧᐊᖕᖅᑐᖗᓕ ᑭᔭᐊᓂ ᐱᒋᐊᖅᑎᖕᖃᐊᙰ᠎ᔪᖅ. ᖃᐅᔭᐊᓕᖅ.

ᐃᑫᔭᐅᐳ�Cᖅ (ᑐᖕᓯᐊᑎᔪᑦ): ᖃᐅᔭᐊᓕᖅ, ᒥᕐ ᑮᓇᐃ ᐸᐃᖖᒡ. ᒥᕐᑕᐊ ᐊᖅᑯᐊᖅ.

ᐊᖅᑯᐊᖅ (ᑐᖕᓯᐊᑎᔪᑦ): ᖃᐅᔭᐊᓕᖅ, ᐃᑫᔭᐅᐳᑦCᖅᖅ. ᒪᖅᑦᖖᖑᓂᖅ ᑖᐊ ᐊᒊᖅᐿ᠎ᓕᖅᖐᐊᕆᓇᐊᖅᑯᐸ. ᑕᐃᑲᑯᐊ ᓄᓇᕗᑦ ᒐᕈᐿᒃᐳᑮ ᓄᒅ ᐃᓯᒪᒡᓕ ᑐᖕᖕᓕᔪᖃᑐᐴ ᐊᐳᑲ᠎ᑕᐳᓴᖕᖅᑯᓂᖅᔭ ᐅᖃᓕᓕᔪᖖᖐᓂᖅᓂᒃ ᐱᐅᑦ᠎ᓕᐅᑦᖅᖕᒪᖅᑦᓂᖅᔭ? ᐊᒥᕐ᠎ᖅᓂᖅᖑᐿᖕᖅᑲᖕᖏᓂᖅᐿᖕᖅᓂᑖ ᖃᐃᓐᐳᑦ᠎ᑫᓇᒃᓂᖅᖃᖕᖑᑮᐿᓇ᠎ᖅ ᓄᓇᒥᖅᑦ ᓄᖕᖕᓐ᠎ᕃ᠎ᒃ ᐿ᠎ᓕᖓᒃ ᑐᖕᖕᓕᔪ᠎ᖅ ᐊᐳᑲ᠎ᑕᐳᓴᖕᖅ᠎ᖕᓂᒃ᠎ ᐅᖃᓕᓕᑦ ᐱᕐ᠎ᐳᑐᒃᓇ᠎ᓂᖅ? ᖃᐅᔭᐊᓕᖅ, ᐃᑫᔭᐅᐳᑮᖅᖅ.

ᐃᑫᔭᐅᐳᑦCᖅ (ᑐᖕᓯᐊᑎᔪᑦ): ᖃᐅᔭᐊᓕᖅ, ᒥᕐᑕᐊ ᐊᖅᑯᐊᖅ. ᒥᕐ ᑮᓇᐃ ᐸᐃᖖᒡ.

ᑮᓇᐃ ᐸᐃᖖᒡ (ᑐᖕᓯᐊᑎᔪᑦ): ᖃᐅᔭᐊᓕᖅ, ᐃᑫᔭᐅᐳᑦCᖅᖅ. ᐱᐅᑦᖐᑦᖖᖕ᠎ᒃᐿ᠎ᖅ? ᐄ, ᐱᐅᑦᖐᖅ᠎ᔪᕐᓕᐳᕐᐳᑦ᠎ᖅᖕᑲᖅᐱ ᐿ᠎ᓕᓕᖕᑮ᠎ᖕᑲᓂᖅᔭᖗᖕ ᐃ᠎ᓕᒐᑦ᠎ᖕᖕᑲᓕᐳᕐᖕᓕᑦ᠎ᒃ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐅᖃᖕᖕᓐᑖᑦ ᓴᖕᖅᖑᓕᓐᖕᑲᖕᓂᑦᑲ ᑕᐿ᠎ᖖᖕᑖ᠎ᒃᐳᑐᕐᖕ᠎ᓕ᠎ᓕᑦᖕ᠎ᒃᓕ᠎ᔭᖕᖐᐾᓇ᠎ᐳᖕᑮ᠎ᖅᖕ᠎ᑖᐳᑦ᠎ᖅᖕᓂᑦ᠎ᑫ᠎ᖅ᠎ᖕᖕᑖ᠎ᖕᑲ᠎ᖕᑲᖕ᠎ᓐᑖᖕᖕ᠎ᖕᑲᖕ᠎ᑲᖕ᠎ᔭᓐ᠎ᖕ᠎ᒃ᠎ᖖᖕᑮᒃᓕ᠎ᒃ᠎ᔭ᠎ᖕᖕᑖ᠎ᖕᑲᓕ᠎ᖕ᠎. ᐊᒥᕐ᠎ᖕᓂᖅ ᐱᕐ᠎ᐳᑐᕐᓕᐿ ᓛᓇ ᐊᒥᕐ᠎ᖕᑲᑐᖕᑖᕐ῕ᓕᖄᕐᐾᓇᖕᖅᑖ᠎ᖖᖕ ᐱᑦᖕ᠎ᖕᖖᖕ᠎ᒃᐾᖕ᠎ᓕᑦ᠎ᖕᖕᖕᑲ᠎ᖕᓕ ᓕᒃᖕ᠎ᔪᕐᖕ᠎ᑖ ᐄ, ᐊᒥᕐ᠎ᖕ᠎ᑮ᠎ᖕ ᑭ῕᠎ᖕᓐᖅ ᓴᖕᖅᖑᑖᖃ᠎ᖖᖕᑮ᠎ᑲ ᐊᐳᑦ᠎ᖅᖕ᠎ᖖ᠎ᖕᑖᐳᕐᑖ᠎ᖕᖅᐿ, ᐅᓇ ᐊᒥᕐ᠎ᖅᖕᑖᐳᑦ᠎ᐳᑦᖅ῕ᓐ, ᑕᐃᒪᐊᐳᑦ᠎ᐳᑐᖕᖅᖖᓐᑮ᠎ᖕ.

ᑕᐃᒪᐃᖖᑲᑐᖕᐾᐳ᠎ᖕ᠎ᐳᑐᑲᖕ᠎ᖕ᠎ᑖᐳᑮᕐᖕ᠎ᖕ᠎ᔭ῕ᖕᑐᖕᓐᖅ ᐅᕻᓇ ᐊᑐᐊᓕᖅᖅᖕ᠎ᒡ᠎ᖕᒡ. ᐱᐿ᠎ᐳᑲᖕ᠎ᖕᖕᑐᐾᖗᐿ᠎ᖕᓕ ᐿ᠎ᓕᒐᖕᖖᓇ᠎ᖕᖕᐿᐾᓇᖕᖕᓕᑦᖃ᠎ᖕᖕᑲᑲᕐᖕᖕ᠎ᖕᑖᖕᖕᐿᕐᖕᖕᑲᓕ᠎ᖕᖕᑲᐳᑦᑐᕐᖕᖕ᠎ᒡ ᒪᑯᐊ ᐱᕚᕐᑖ᠎ᐾᖗ ᐊᑐᖅᑕᐾᕚᖕ᠎ᑖᖗᖕ᠎ᑐ ᐅ᠎ᓗᒥᐳᑐᖕᐾᐳᖕ᠎ᒡ᠎ᖖ ᐊᖗ᠎ᒡᖅ᠎ᓕᑦᖃᖖᑖᖕᖕᑖ᠎ᒃᖃᖕᑲᖕᖕᖕᑖᖖ᠎ᑖᒃᖖ ᐊᖕᖖ᠎ᑖᖖ᠎ᑖᖕᖖᑲᑖᖕᖕ᠎ᖕᑖᖕᖕ᠎ᖕᑖᖕᖕᑮ᠎ᒃᖕ᠎ᒃ ᑕᒪᒃᑯᐊ ᐅᖃᓕᑖᓕᑦ. ᐄ, ᑕᐃᒃᑯᐊ ᖃᒌᖅᔭᐿᖖ᠎ᑮᖕᑖ᠎ᖕᑐᖕᖗᖕᑖᑐᖕᖖᖕᑲ ᐄ, ᐊᒥᕐ᠎ᖕᖕ᠎ᑐᖖᑖᓇᖖ᠎ᖕ᠎

would change. I think I'm fairly safe in saying that there is nothing in there that I disagree with in any significant way, but I think it does have to be kept up-to-date. Thank you.

Chairperson: Thank you, Ms. Keenan Bengts. Mr. Akoak.

Mr. Akoak: Thank you, Madam Chairperson. I just have three more questions. During your September 2015 appearance before the Standing Committee, you indicated that the Department of Family Services consulted you on issues related to information and privacy under the *Adoption Act* and the *Child and Family Services Act*. You also indicated that following these meetings, you had written lengthy correspondence to the department "outlining some of the suggestions that came out of that meeting and how we could proceed going forward." Can you indicate if you have received a response to that correspondence and, if so, did the department's response satisfy your concerns? Thank you, Madam Chairperson.

Chairperson: Thank you, Mr. Akoak. Ms. Keenan Bengts.

Ms. Keenan Bengts: Thank you, Madam Chairperson. I'm not sure that I would call it a response. What I did receive was a copy of their final report, which I think was earlier this year in the early months of 2016, which outlined the steps that they had taken to date and were continuing to take in terms of the exchange of information and the reason for the exchange of information.

I think one of the issues was that there was this legislation that said that the

ᐊᑭᖅᖅᑐᑦᑕᓈᓵᓗ ᑕᑯᓚᐅᖅᖅᑎᑐᖖᒍ ᑖᒃᑕᓂ ᑭᓴᐊᓂᑦᑲᐅᖅᖅ ᐅᑦᓗᒥᓪᑦ ᐊᐅᖖᒍᑎᑕᐅᖅᖅᓐᑐ ᐃᐊᐅᖅᑎᓐ ᐊᔪᖖᒍ. ᖅᒃᔳᑲ᷈ᓗᖅ.

ᐃᖃᔭᖅᑐᑕᖅ (ᑐᓵᔨᑎᒍᑦ): ᖅᒃᔳᑲ᷈ᓗᖅ, ᒥᔅ ᑭᓇ ᐸᐃᖖᔅ. ᒥᔅᑕ ᐊᖅᑯᐊᖅ.

ᐊᖅᑯᐊᖅ (ᑐᓵᔨᑎᒍᑦ): ᖅᒃᔳᑲ᷈ᓗᖅ, ᐃᖃᔭᖅᑐᑕᖅ. ᐱᖖᒍᔭᐊᓇᐅᑦᑲᔪᑦ ᐊᐱᖅᑯᑎᕆᓕᖅᖅᑲ. ᐅᕙ ᓯᑎᐱᕆ 2015-ᒥ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᐃᑦ ᓵᖅᓴᖓᑕᓂᓗᑎᑦ ᓇᓄᐊᐃᖅᔭᓚᐅᖅᔭᓕᖅᑲᑦ ᐃᓚᓐᓇ᷈ᑯᑦ ᐅᖅᑲᓪᑭᑎᓪᑲᐅᖅᓐᖅᓕᖅᖅᑐᑦ ᐱᖅᑖᐅᑦᓐ ᑐᖅᐅᓪᖅ᷈ᑎᑦ ᐃᓪᒥᔥᑐᓗ ᑲᖖᒍᑭᑕᐅᓴᐊᖅᖅᓐᖅᓂᑦ ᐊᑐᖅᖖᒍ ᑎᒍᑦᓂᓕᑦ ᒪᑲᓱᖅ ᐃᓪᖅ ᓯᐱᔥᓯᓂᖖᒍ ᐃᓚᑴᐱᔑᓂᖖᒍᓗ ᐱᓴᕐᑎᔅᒃᑯᑦ ᒪᑲᓱᖖᒍᑦ. ᑖᖅᑕ ᑲᑎᒪᑲᑎᖅᖅᑲᑕᑕᐅᖅᖅᓐᓗᑲᑦ ᐃᓚᓐᓇ᷈ᑯᖖᒍᑦ ᓰᕆᑲᓐᓂᖅ ᑎᑎᓴᓚᐅᖅᔭᓕᖅᑲᑦ ᖅᑲᓄᖅᔮᕈᑭᑦᓂᖅ ᓇᓄᐃᖅᓯᐃᑦᓗᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᓂᖖᒥ ᓴᖅᐱᓚᐅᖅᖅᑐᓂᖅ ᐊᒻᓗ ᖅᑲᓄᖅ ᓯᖅᐊᓛᐅᑦ᷈ᓕᓇᐊᔭᖅᑐᐃᑦᐊᖅᒐᓯᖅᖖᒥᑦ. ᓇᓄᐃᖅᔭᖅᖖᒃᖅᒃᐰᑦ ᑖᑴᐊ ᑎᑎᓴᓚᐅᖅᖅᓐᓗᑲᑦ ᐱᐅᔭᐃᑲᐅᖅᓕᖖᒥᖅᐰᑦ ᐊᒻᓗ ᐱᐅᔭᖖᒍᓂᒐᐰᑦ ᑖᑴᐊ ᐱᑭᑎᖖᒥᑦ ᓈᒻᒪᕆᑲᐅᖅᐱᐰᑦ? ᖅᒃᔳᑲ᷈ᓗᖅ, ᐃᖃᔭᖅᑲᖅ.

ᐃᖃᔭᖅᑐᑕᖅ (ᑐᓵᔨᑎᒍᑦ): ᖅᒃᔳᑲ᷈ᓗᖅ, ᒥᔅᑕ ᐊᖅᑯᐊᖅ. ᒥᔅ ᑭᓇ ᐸᐃᖖᔅ.

ᑭᓇ ᐸᐃᖖᔅ (ᑐᓵᔨᑎᒍᑦ): ᖅᒃᔳᑲ᷈ᓗᖅ, ᐃᖃᔭᖅᒃᖅ. ᐱᔪᑎᑦᓕᓗᔪᐊᖖᒃᖅᑕᕊ ᐅᓂᒃᒃᖅ ᐱᖖᒋᑎᕈᖅᔶᐅᑎᑦ ᐊᔮᑐᐃᒃᐊᕆᓇᑭᖅᑎᑦ 2016 ᐊᑐᓯᖅᖅᑎᖅᓘᕆᓕᐅᖅᑐᖅᖖᒥᓇ ᑖᑴᐊ ᑎᑎᖅᑲᑦ ᐱᓯᐅᖅᔭᓕᔥᖅ ᐊᒻᓗ ᓇᓄᐃᔭᐰᓘᐊᖅᑐᖅ ᑐᖖᒍᓯᖅᓯᖅᖅᔭᓕᑦᓗᑦ ᐊᑐᓯᖅᑎᐅᓯᖅᓯᖅᖅᑕᖖᒍᑦ ᑕᒪᖅ ᑖᐃᒪᐃᓕᖖᒥᕚᓂᖅ ᐊᒻᓗ ᑐᓯᐅᔥᓈᑦ ᑕᐅᖅᓕᖅᑕᐅᖅᖅᑲᑕᖅᖖᒍᕐᑦ ᐊᒥᖅᑲᖅᑕᐅᖅᖅᑲᑕᖖᒥᖅᓂᖅᐊ᷈ᖖᒃᓕᖅᑐᖅᑐ.

I think one of the issues was that there was this legislation that said that the

ᒪᑲᓱᖅᑕᖅᑲᓕᐅᖅᑐᖅᓂᖅ ᐅᖅᖅᑎᕊᖅ ᑖᑴᐊ ᐃᓚᓐᓇ᷈ᑯᑦ ᑐᓯᐅᖅᔭᑎᑲᑕᕆᐊᖅ ᐃᓄᐃᑦ

department had to share information with the Inuit organizations and the Inuit organizations really didn't know why they were receiving it or what to do with it. I think the discussions that have gone on have kind of clarified that for everyone a little bit. Now there are procedures in place for what happens or who gets the information and what's done with it when it's received. I think they're now working on how the Inuit organizations plan to use that information.

Yes, we're progressing. I didn't receive a response *per se*, but I did receive a copy of that final report and I'm satisfied with the direction that things are going. Thank you.

Chairperson: Thank you, Ms. Keenan Bengts. Mr. Akoak.

Mr. Akoak: Thank you, Madam Chairperson. With that, what specific recommendations have you provided to the Department of Family Services concerning this issue? Thank you, Madam Chairperson.

Chairperson: Thank you, Mr. Akoak. Ms. Keenan Bengts.

Ms. Keenan Bengts: Thank you, Madam Chairperson. You're testing my memory again, but I recall that I made recommendations about ensuring that there were agreements between the department and Inuit organizations about the storage and retention of these records and how and when they would be destroyed, retention and destruction protocols, and how to address the privacy to ensure that once the records had left the government's control, there were still controls in place to protect privacy and

ᑎᒡᕿᐅᖅᐱᖃᓂᖅ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐃᓄᐃᑦ ᑎᒡᕿᐅᖅᐱᓐᓗ ᖃᐅᔨᒪᒍᑦᖄᓂᐱᒃ ᓱᖕᒪᑦ ᑕᐃᒪᐃᒍᑎᓂᒃ ᐱᓐᑕᐅᖅᐸᒃᖃᕐᒪᖕᖔᑦ. Ćᐊᓇ ᑐᑭᓱᖅᒃᖢᑎᐊᒃᖃᓂᓄᐃᐅᖅᖃᒐᑦ ᓲᖕᒪᑦ ᑕᐃᓕᒐᖕᒋᖕᒐᖕᓂᒃ. ᒪᓕᒃᖕᓂᖕ ᐱᑦᒃᐃᐅᖅᑐᖅ ᕿᒃᑕᓄᐊᖅᑕᐅᕋᓇᒐᖕᓂᖕ ᑕᐃᒃᑕᐊ ᐅᓂᒃ� ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᖃᓄᐃᑕᐅᖅᑕᐅᖅᑕᕆᐊᖃᖕᓂᖕᓂᖕ. L̇ᐊᓇᒃᑕᐊ ᐱᓐᓯᐊᒃᖃᖅᕟᑎᐊᔾᖢᑕ ᖃᓄᖅ ᐃᓄᐃᑦ ᑎᒡᕿᐅᖅᐱᓐᒐ ᑐᓂᖡᐅᔾᒃᖢᒐᕐᒃ ᐸᐃᖃᓕᐅᖕᓂᑕ ᖃᓄᐃᑕᐅᖅᑕᐅᖅᑕᕆᖕᖓᐊᖅᖢᖕᒃᖕᖃᕟᖕᓂᖕ.

Ȧ, ᕆᒃᔾᑕᓇᒍᓇᖕᒃᑐᖕᒃᖢᖕᐅᖢᓇᖕᖃᖕ ᕆᕈᐊᓇ ᕆᖕᒃᑕᓂᖕᒃᖃᕐᓂᑦᑦ ᐅᓇᖃᖕᒍᓗᑕᐅᖅᑐᖅ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᑕᐃᒃᑕᐊ ᐱᓐᓯᐊᒃ<ᓂ<ᑕ ᖃᓄᐃᑎᓇᒐᖕᑦ. ᖅᑕᒃᖕᓇᖅᓄᖕ, ᐃ�'ᖅᐊᐅᑕᖕᖅᖕᖃ.

ᐃᑯᕆᐅᕈᑕᖕᖃ (ᑐᒃᓯᐸᖕ): ᖅᑕᖕᒃᖕᓇᖕᓃᖕᓃᖕ, ᒪᖕ ᕆᓇᖕᖃᐅ <ᐃᖕᑦ. ᒪᖕᑦ ᐊᖕᑦᖕ.

ᐊᖕᑦᖕ (ᑐᒃᓯᐸᖕ): ᖅᑕᖕᒃᖕᓇᖕᓃᖕᓃᖕ, ᐃᑯᕆᐅᕈᑕᖕᖃ. Ćᐊᓇᑦᖕᖅᖕᑦᓄᖕᒃᖢᒍ ᖃᓄᐃᒍᑎᓂᒃ ᐊᑐᒃᖅᖢᕐᑕᐅᓄᖅᖃᖕᒃᖁᖕ ᐃᓄᒃᑕᓇᖅᖕᒃᒐᖕᒐᒃ Ćᖕᑕᐊ ᐅᖅᑕᐅᕆᓇᖕᑕᖕᒃᖃᑎᖕ ᐱᖕᒐᑎᓂᖕᒃᖢᓇᖕᖅ? ᖅᑕᖕᒃᖕᓇᖕᓃᖕ, ᐃᑯᕆᐅᕈᑕᖕᖃ.

ᐃᑯᕆᐅᕈᑕᖕᖃ (ᑐᒃᓯᐸᖕ): ᖅᑕᖕᒃᖕᓇᖕᓃᖕ, ᒪᖕᑦ ᐊᖕᑦᖕ. ᒪᖕ ᕆᓇᖕᖃᐅ <ᐃᖕᑦ.

ᕆᓇᖕᖃᐅ <ᐃᖕᑦ (ᑐᒃᓯᐸᖕ): ᖅᑕᖕᒃᖕᓇᖕᓃᖕ, ᐃᑯᕆᐅᕈᑕᖕᖃ. Ȧ, ᕆᐅᒍᖕᓛᖕᓓᐃᖕᑑᖕᖢᖕᖅᖕᖃᐅᑕᖕᓐ. ᐃᖕᒃᑕᐅᔾᖕᒪ ᐊᑐᒃᖅᖢᕐᑕᐅᓄᖕᒃᖢᖕᖃᖕᓐ ᐊᖕᖕᒃᖕᑲᑎᖕᖕᓇᖕᓄᖕᑦ ᑎᒃᕐᖢᒫᓄᐊᖕᖢᖕᓃᖕᓂᖕᑦ ᒐᕙᒃᖕᑯᑦ ᐱᓐᓯᖕᖑᖕᓂᖕᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐃᓄᐃᑦ ᑎᒡᕿᐅᖅᐱᓂᖕ ᐱᖕᒐᑎᓂᖕᒃᖢᓄᖕᑦ ᑕᐃᒃᑕᐊ <ᐃᖕ<ᒃ ᑐᖕᒃᖢᖕᒃᑕᐅᔾᖕᒃᖕᖃᑕᖕᖅᖕᑐᖕ ᓇᖕᓃᑎᐅᖕᒃᖢᖕᖃᖕᓄᖕ ᓇᒍᖕ ᑐᖕᒃᖢᖕᒃᑕᐅᔾᖕᒃᑯᓇᖕᓃᖕᓂᐃᑕ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ <<ᑕᐅᖕᒃᖕᓂᖕᑦ ᐊᖕᑕᐅᖕᒃᖕᒐᖕᒃᖕᖓᖕᓄᖕᒃᖢ ᒪᓕᒃ. ᖃᓄᖕᒃᖢ ᐃᒥᖕᒃᖢᖕᑦ ᑐᖕᒃᓛᖕᖢᕿ ᖃᓄᖅᑐᖅᖢᑎᕐᖅᖕᑕᐅᐊᖕᒃᖢᖕᒃᖃᒃ ᓂᖕᒃᖢ ᑕᐃᒃᑕᐊ ᒪᓕᒃᖕᑯᑦ ᐱᔾᖢᓇᖕᖅᖕᑕᐅᖕᒃᖕᖃᕐᖕᑦ <ᐃᖕ<ᒃ ᓐᖕᑐᖕᑲᓄᖕᒪᖕᓄᖕᔾᖕᖕ

ᕆᓇᖕᓐᖕᒃᑕᐅᓇᖕᓃᖕᓃ<ᒃ ᐅᑐᖕᓇᖕᒃ ᒪᖕᑕᖕᓄᖕᒃ ᒪᖕᒃᖢᖕᑕ

those were the kinds of recommendations I made.

I'm satisfied that they have taken those into account and there are now policies and protocols in place, as I said, with respect to security and how the records are being maintained within the Inuit organizations. I think they're probably still working on retention, schedules, and that sort of thing, but I do know they're talking about it. Thank you.

Chairperson: Thank you, Ms. Keenan Bengts. Mr. Akoak.

Mr. Akoak: Thank you, Madam Chairperson. In its recent response to the Standing Committee's report on the Information and Privacy Commissioner's 2015 appearance, the government stated that the Department of Family Services is "continuing its work to develop the *Protocol for Handling Personal Information Provided to Third Parties Under the Adoption Act and the Child and Family Services Act*."

On June 7, 2016, the Minister of Family Services tabled his department's update on the government's response. In its update, the department indicated that it "will complete the Protocol for tabling during the 2017 Winter Sitting of the Legislative Assembly." In your view, is this timeline reasonable or unreasonable? That's it for me, Madam Chairperson.

Chairperson: Thank you, Mr. Akoak. Ms. Keenan Bengts.

Ms. Keenan Bengts: Thank you, Madam Chairperson. It's more of a political question than one that is in my kind of comfort zone. Do I think that it's important that these protocols be in place

ᖄᒃᑕᐅᔪᖁᓇᓱᖕᓂᖅ ᑭᖅᖄᓗ ᓴᑦᑲᖅᑐᓂᖕᓂᖅ.

ᑕᐃᒪᐃᑦᑑᓇᖕᓂᓄᖅ ᑕᒪᖃᑯᓄᖅ ᑐᔅᖅᓕᐋᓄᖅ ᓚᓕᖃᖅᑲᐅᖅᑐᔾᖅ ᐊᕐᖃᓇᖕᐊᑎᓪᖓᖃᑕᐅᕐᒥᓪᕐᓂᐊᖅᓯᖕᐊᖅᖐ ᑕᒪᖃᑯᐊ ᑎᑎᖅᖃᖅᑐᑎᕆᕇᐅᕐᕐᖅ ᖃᓄᖅᑕᖃᒃ ᖃᓄᖅ ᖃᓄᖅᑕ ᖏᒃᑕ ᐅᐅᐊᐅᕐᕐᓄ ᑎᒋᐅᒪᖓᔾᕇᓄᐅᐊᐅᕐ. ᐊᕙᔅᔾ ᐊᕙᖃᑕᐅᓴᐊᖅᖃᓯᖕᓄ ᐊᒃᖃᒫᖅᒌ ᑕᒪᖃᑯ ᐅᐅᒃᓯᖅᑲᖃᕐᖅᓯ ᐅᐅᓯ ᕿᐊ ᐅᖅᑲᐅᖅᓯᖅᖅᕐᕐᓯᐅᕙᓯᓄᖅ. ᕻᖅᖃᑕᕻᖅ.

ᐊᖃᑯᐊᐅᑕᒃ (ᑐᖕᑭᓄᖕᑯᖐ): ᕻᖃᓯᖅᖅᕐᕐ, ᒃᓄ ᖑᖅᖃᐅ ᕐᐅᖕᒃᐥ. ᒃᖅ᠋ᑯ ᐊᖅᖃᕐᖕ.

ᐊᖅᖃᕐᖕ (ᑐᖕᑭᓄᖕᑯᖐ): ᕻᖅᖃᓯᖅᖅᕐᕐ, ᐊᖃᑯᐊᐅᑕᖕᑰᖅ. ᖅᐅᖃᕈᔾᖃᐅᕐᕐᑑᖅ ᑲᖃᓚᕻᐥᐆ ᐅᖃᕐᖕᑕᖕᕻᖅᐆ ᑐᖅᑭᕻᔾᕗᑎᕋᓯᕐᓯᐅᖃᖅᖅᖅᖅᐅᒪᕐᒃᐅᖃᓯᖅ ᑲᕻᔾᐅ� 2015 ᑲᖕᑰᖃᕐᕿᖅ ᕻᖕᐆᕿᖕᓇᐅᕐᕐᒃᐆᕐ ᖄᕻᑯᖕᑰ ᐅᖅᑲᐅᖅᖅᐥᕐᕗᖅ ᐆᑎᕐᑭᖕᓇᖕᕿᓪᒡᐥ ᕐᓯ ᓚᖕᑯᖕᐥ ᐊᒫᔾᖕᕻᔾᕗ ᑐᖅᑭᕻᔾᖐᒃᐇ ᑕᖅᑲᐅᖕᕻᖅᔾᓇᐊᓯᖅ ᓚᓕᖃᖕᑰᖅ ᐊᖅᑭᕻᔾᓯᕗ ᕐᓯ ᐊᑐᖅᖑ ᐆᕻᕿᓯᖕᕿᓪᒡ ᓚᓕᖕᕐᕿ ᐊᕐᐆᐇ ᕻᒲᔾᕐᐇ ᐊᒐᕻᐆᓯᖅ ᐇᕻᖅᐆᖐ ᓚᓕᖕᖆ.

ᕇᖅᐅ 7, 2016-ᖕᑕᕿᖃ ᐆᑎᕐᑭᕻᕐᕻᖕᐇ ᕐᓯᐇᕐᕐᖕᐇ ᓚᓕᖃᐅᕐᕻᐇᖕᐆ ᕻᖕᐈᕿᓯᑎᕐᐅᖅᖐᕻᐇ ᓚᕻᖅᑯᖕᐇ ᕿᖕᒐᓇᓯᖕᕿᐄ ᐅᖃᔾᕻᐊᖕᑎᕐᐅᖅᐅᕗᕐ. ᐇᖃᕿᖕᕻᔾᑎᕐᐅᖅᖐᕻᓯᐇ ᐆᑎᕐᑭᖕᕿᐇᕐᖕᐇ ᐅᖅᑲᐅᖕᐅᖅᖐᕻᐇ ᕻᕻᕿᓯᖕᕇᕻᐇᓯᖕᕻᐇᖕᐆᖕᐄ ᕇᖅᑯᐅᖕᕻ ᓚᓕᖃᖕᕿᖕᐄ ᕻᒲᐆᖕᑕᖕᑰᖅ ᕻᖕᐈᕻᐆᖕᑰᖕᐄ 2017-ᕇ ᐅᕇᕻᐊᕻᐥ ᑲᖕᑰᖕᕇᕻᕿᖕᐥᕻ ᓚᓕᖃᕐᐅᖕᐆᕐ. ᕇᖅᑯᐊ ᕻᖐᐆᕿᖕᑲᐅᐆᐥᕻᐇᕿᕻᔾᕻᐇ ᕻᐈᕿᐊᕿᖕᐇ ᐅᖕᐅᖐᔾᕇᐆᕻᐇ ᐊᖐᒃ? ᕻᕻᐆᕿᖅ.

ᐊᖃᑯᐊᐅᑕᖕᑰᖅ (ᑐᖕᑭᓄᖕᑯᖐ): ᕻᕻᐆᕿᖅ, ᒃᓄ ᐊᖅᖃᕐᖕ. ᒃᓄ ᖑᖅᖃᐅ ᕐᐅᖕᒃᐥ.

ᖑᖅᖃᐅ ᕐᐅᖕᒃᐥ (ᑐᖕᑭᓄᖕᑯᖐ): ᕻᕻᐆᕿᖅ, ᐊᖃᑯᐊᐅᑕᖕᑰᖅ. ᓚᕻᖅᖑᖕᐇᕿᖕᐇ ᕇᖕᐇ ᑐᖅᐈᓯᖕᕻᖐᕻ ᕻᐊᕿᖐᕻᐥ, ᐊᒐᖕᖐᒐᕻᖕᐇᒐᓚ ᖅᐅᐆᕻᖐ ᕇᕻᒋᓪᖕᐅ. ᐄ, ᕇᖅᑯᐊ ᓚᓕᖃᐥ ᐊᕻᐅᕻᐆᕻᐅᕻᐅᖐᐅᖕᐇᕻᐆᕻ, ᐄ. ᐊᕻᖐ ᐇᕿᖕᐊᕿᕻᖐᕻᐆᕻᕐᖕᕿᖐᕻᐆᕻ ᑐᕻᕻᐅᓚᖐᐆᖐ. ᐄ. 2017

as soon as possible? Yes. Do I understand that these things take time? Yes. Is 2017 a reasonable length of time? I think it could probably be done sooner than that. I would like to see it done sooner than that. I guess that's as far as I could go. Would I like to see it be done sooner? Absolutely. Can it have been done sooner? It's not for me to answer. Thank you.

Chairperson: Thank you, Ms. Keenan Bengts. Next on my list is Mr. Okalik.

Mr. Okalik: Thank you, Madam Chairperson. My questions aren't directly on the annual report but more in the day-to-day practice that we encounter now and again.

In some ministers' offices when we contact them on behalf of constituents who have requested our assistance, they give us tasks and approval to represent them on their issues and then the minister's office comes back and says: "can you get your constituents to fill this form out?" that's very frustrating. A lot of times these requests are time sensitive and we don't have time to do those things so can you please assist us in informing those offices that as MLAs, we have a job to do and the information that is provided to us is approved already by the constituent tacitly? We are an oral society as Inuit so approval orally is the same as any approval so I would request your office's assistance in informing those ministerial offices, some of them, to please respect that. Thank you, Mr. Chairperson.

Chairperson (interpretation): Thank you, Mr. Okalik. (interpretation ends) Ms. Keenan Bengts, can you care to respond to that?

Ċªᓇ ᐱ�too᠋ᖅᑕᐅᐊᖅᓴᑕᐊᓕᔭᐅᓗᒍ ᓈᒻᒪᒃᐸ? ᑐᖃ᠋ᓯᓂᕐᒎᖅ ᐱ�too᠋ᖅᑕᐅᐊᖅᖂ᠋ᖅᑑᖅ. ᐃ ᑐᖃ᠋ᓯᓂᕐᒎᖅ ᐱ�too᠋ᖅᑕᐅᖢᖅᐸᑕ ᐱᐅᕐ᠋ᓂᖅᑕᕐᖅᑕᖅ. ᐱᔪᐊᒥ Cᵉᖁᖅ, Cᵉᖁᓕ ᑎᒃᓯᑎᓗᒍ ᐱᖃᖃ᠋ᖅᑕᐅᕐᖅᐊᒎᓇᖅᐸ? ᐃ, ᑕᐃᒪᐃᓕᒡᖢᑎᐅᕐᑕᓂᕐᐸᖅᐹᖅ.

ᐃᑉᔪᕐᕕᐅᑕᖅ (ᑐᖅᐱᑎᒍᕐᓕᖅ): ᖅᑦᕐᕿᖃᒐᐠᖅ, ᒥᕐ ᑮᑫᓇᖅ ᐸᐃᒃᓯᓕ. ᐊᑎᖅᑐᐊᕐᓂ, ᒥᕐᑕ ᐅᑲᓕᕐᖅ.

ᐅᑲᓕᕐᖅ: ᖅᑦᕐᕿᖃᒐᐠᖅ, ᐃᑉᔪᕐᕗᐃᑦᖅ. ᐊᑎᖅᑯᑎᕐᓯᓂᐊᖅᑕᓕ Ċªᓇ ᐊᖅᖁᒎᑕᖅᖅᕐᐸᐃᓕᔮᕐ ᑐᖅᖢᓚᐊᖅᑑᓗᑲᐊᖅ, ᐱᔪᐊᒥ ᖅᒑᑕᖅᖢᕐᐸᐃᑎᒃᐊᓂᕐ ᑐᖅᖢᓇᖅᖑᖅᑕᑐᔭᖅ ᐱᓚᓕᐊᒎᓂᕐ.

ᐃᓚᖓᐃᑦᕐ ᒥᓂᔅᑕᐃᕐ ᐊᑦᖃᕐᖑᑎᓂᕐ ᑐᖅᖢᐊᖅᓵᖅᓕᑕ ᖁᓚᖅᑐᖅᖑᑐᑕᕐ ᓂᕆᐊᖅᑎᕐᕿᖅ ᐃᓕᒪᖓ ᐃᑲᔪᖅᑕᐅᔭᓕᑎᔅᓗᑎᕐᖅ ᐊᖅᖏᖅᑕᐅᕿᑕᐊᖅᖃᖅᒡᕿᖅ ᖁᓚᖅᑐᐊᔫᕿᖅᒎᓂᐠ ᐱᖅᖔ᠋ᖅᒥᕐᖅ ᐱᒪᖢᑎᕐᖅ. ᒥᓂᔅᑕᐃᕐᐠ ᑎᑎᖅᖃᐠᖢᒥ ᐅᑎᖅᑐᑎᐠᖅ ᑕᐃᖅᑲᐊ ᓂᕆᐊᖅᑎᕐᕿᖅᓗᖅᓯᐃᕿᖅ, ᐃᐠᓇ ᐃᑲᔫᐊᒎᖅᖔᐠᖅ, ᖅᖔᐃᓪᐠᐅᑐᐊᐠᖅ, ᐊᔾᖢ Ċᖢᑕᕐ ᐱᕿᖅᑕᐠᔭᓘᖅᑕᖅ᠋ᕿᒎᖔᐠᖅ ᐱᓚᓕᖅᖢᐊᖅᖅᕐᖅᑕᐊᖅᖅᕿᐅᐠᖅ. ᐃᑲᔫᐊᐅᓂᕐᐠ ᐅᖅᖏᑎᕐᐠᒥᕐ ᑎᑎᖅᖃᐃᐠᖅ ᑐᐠᖢᑎᕐᒎᐠᖅ. ᓚᑕᖢᑕᐅᖅᖢᑎᖤᐃᖅᑐᐠ ᐃᖅᖃᓕᐃᔭᕐᖅᖢᖅᕿᖅᑕᐠᖅ, ᐱᓚᓕᐊᖅᖅᕿᖅᑕᐠᖅ ᑐᖅᖢᖤᐠᖅᐃᐠᖅ ᑐᕐᖢᐊᖅᔪᐠᖅ ᐅᖅᖏᑎᕐᒎᐠᖤ ᐊᖅᖏᖅᑕᐅᕿᕐᖅᔭᐃᖅᖤᖢᖅᕿᖢᐠᖅ ᑕᐊᖅᖤᖤᖔᐃᖅᖢᖅ ᖑᕐᖢᐊᖅᖔᖤᐃᐠᓯᐠᖅᖤᐃᖅᑐᑐᐊᖅ ᐊᔾᖢᖤ ᐅᖅᕿᔪᖅ ᐃᖢᔾᕿᖔᐠᖤᐠᖅ ᑎᑎᖅᖃᖅᔭᖢᐊᐱᔪᐠᖤ᠋ᖅᑎᖅᐊᖔᓂᐠᖅᑕᖅᐠ. ᐅᖅᑲᕐᖤᖢᑲᖤᐠᖤᐊᖅᑕᖅᕿᖅᕿᐠᖅ ᐅᖅᑕᖅᖤᖢᖅᐊᐠᖅᖤᐠᖅ ᐊᖅᖏᐠᖤᖤᐊᐠᖅᕿᖢᐊᐠᖤᐠᖅ ᐊᖅᐸᖤᑐᐃᖤᐠᖤᐠᖤᖤᖤ ᑎᑎᖅᖃᖅᔭᕐᖤᖤᖤᑎᐃᐠᖤ. ᑐᖅᐠᖔᖅᖤᐠᖤᖅᖤᖤᑲ ᐃᑲᔫᐊᖅᑕᐅᕐᖤᖤᖤᖤᖤᖤᖤᖤ ᑎᑎᖅᖔᖤᐊᐠᖤᖤᑎᖅᖤᐊᖔᖤᐊᖔᕿᖤᖤᐠ ᒥᕐᖢᐊᐊᐠᖅ ᑎᑎᖅᖃᐱᖤᖤᖤᖤᐠᖤᖅ ᑕᐊᖤᐊᐊᖤ ᐅᐸᓕᖤᐅᕐᖤᖤᖤᖤᖤᐠᖤ ᑕᓚᐊᖅ. ᖅᑦᖔᐊᐠᖤᖤᖅ, ᐃᑉᔪᕐᕗᐃᖅ.

ᐃᑉᔪᕐᕕᐅᑕᖅ (ᑐᖅᐱᑎᒍᕐᓕᖅ): ᒥᕐ ᐅᑲᓕᕐᖅ ᐸᐃᒃᓯᓕ,

ᓂᐊᓕᖔᐱᓚᖢ?

Ms. Keenan Bengts: Thank you, Madam Chairperson. I have in the past more than once provided, I'm not sure who, maybe John Quirke, with my interpretation of what the Act says with respect to that. I'm more than happy to provide you with a copy of that and I can also say that I understand the frustration.

This is not the first time that this has come up. It comes up in the Northwest Territories as well and in fact, speaking with Gary Dickson who was assisting me with my privacy audit this year and who was for many years an MLA in Alberta, he and I discussed this very issue as well and his frustrations with it so I do understand and the Act does have provisions for exactly what you're saying, that is MLAs should be able to get that information.

What I would say is, if and when possible, and I understand it's not possible all of the time, is just get your constituent to write his name on a consent form. Other then that, I can reiterate what I've provided as an opinion to the Legislative Assembly on several occasions and provide all of the sitting members with a copy of that if that's helpful. Thank you.

Chairperson: Thank you, Ms. Keenan Bengts. Perhaps you could address it to me and then I'll make sure, as the Chair, that everybody gets a copy. Mr. Okalik.

Mr. Okalik: Thank you, Madam Chairperson. Our job is to represent everyone and some of those constituents are illiterate. How can we say that the signed copy is a legally binding copy, for example? It can be quite challenging at times. I would ask that I think maybe

ᑭᓇᐅ ᐸᐃᖕᔅ (ᑐᓵᔨᐅᑎᒡ): ᖅᐅᔭᓐᓇᒦᒃ, ᐃᒃᓯᕙᐅᑕᖅ. ᑭᖑᓂᐊᑎᖕᓂ ᐊᑕᐅᓯᐊᖑᖕᑐᑐᑦᓈᔪᑦᓈᓕ ᑐᓂᓯᖃᑦᑕᖅᔪᓕᕐᓈ ᓇᓕᐊᖑᖃᐳᐊᖅᖅ. ᓂᑎᖅᓵᑎᔪᓗᓂᖃᖕᑲ ᔫᓐ ᖁᐊᒃᓗᒡ, ᑐᑭᓯᐊᒥᕐᓱᖕᑎᓂᖕᑕᒃᓄᒃ. ᖅᐅᖃᖅᑕᓐᖅᔪᖅᓈ ᑲᐃᒪᑐ ᐊᖅᕐᖕᓯᓂᑦ ᐱᕈᓚᑦᔪᓈ, ᐊᒻᓗᑐᑦᑕᕐᖅ ᐅᖃᑐᖓᒦᕐᔭᓈ ᔅᖅᑯ.

ᑖᓈ ᓴᖅᑎᑦᓴᓕᒦᓕᑦ ᑕᒪᓈ. ᓄᓇᑦᓂᐊᒥᑎ ᓴᖅᑭᔭᖅᒻᓈᒻᓕᑦ ᑕᒪᓈ ᐊᒻᓗ ᐅᖅᖃᑦᑎᖅᑉᖕ ᒥᐅᓐ ᓐᑉᓴ, ᑖᓈ ᐃᑲᕐᑎᐅᖅᓴᓕᑦ ᐅᖁᑎᐊᖅ ᑲᐃᔪᓇᖅᑐᒻᓇᖕᓱᓂᒡ ᖅᑲᐅᔭᖏᐊᓂᑦᓈ ᓇᐃᔭᐃᓐᒻᓄᖕ ᓚᒻᓇᖅᖅᓂᑐᔭᕐᓗᖕᓂ ᐊᑕᐅᓈᓗᒡ ᐃᐊᖕᓈᑎᒦ. ᐅᖅᐅᔭᕐᓗᓇᐅᖅᕐᔭᕐ ᑕᒪᓈ ᐱᔭᖅᑎᖕᓲᒡ ᐊᑦᑎᓯᖅᑕᖏᓂᖕᓈ. ᑕᐃᒪᓈᓐᒻᓄᖅ ᐱᓯᒐᖕᓯᖅᑎᑦ ᓴᖅᑯᐃᓐᖅᖅᑕᑕ ᑕᒪᓈ. ᑭᒥᐊᖅ ᓚᒻᓂᖅᒻᑐᑦ, ᑭᒥᐊᖅ ᑐᒃᒻᓐᖅᖅᑕᑕ ᐅᖅᐅᔨᓄᒻᓂᑦ ᓚᒻᓂᖕᑐᖅᑎᒃ ᑐᕆᓴᖕᓂᒡ ᑐᓂᔭᖅᖃᒻᑕᓈᖕᔪᖕᓗᖅᖅᑕᑕ.

ᐃᓕᒪᓂᒦ ᐅᖅᑭᔭᒻᖅᑐᓈ ᐊᕐᓱᖕᓐᑦᑕᖅᖅᓈ, ᐃᑖᖅ ᐊᕐᓱᐊᑐᔭᒻᓴᖅᒻᓕᑦ ᑭᒥᐊᖅ ᓂᕈᓂᖅᑎᕐᔭᑎ ᑖᓈ ᓂᑎᖅᓯᖕᓂᒡ ᐊᑎᖕᓯ ᐊᖅᕆᑎᖕᓈᒡ ᐃᓄᐊᒦ. ᐊᕐᐊᒡᒻ ᐅᖅᐸᖅᑎᔪᐊᖕᓂᒻ ᓕ ᐅᖅᖃᖕᖄᓇᑐᐊᖕᓇᒻᓗᖕᓈ ᐃᕐᒥᒻᔭᒻᓂᒻ ᐅᖅᐅᔨᓄᑕᖅᖃᒻᖅᑕᒥᒻ ᓚᒻᓄᑐᖅᓴᖕᓗ ᐅᓄᖅᕐᐃᖅᖅᑐᖅᓈ ᑖᒻᑯᓈ ᐅᖅᒻᖃᓲᖕᖄᓇᑐᐊᒻᑕᖅᓕᓈ ᓚᒻᓄᑐᖅᑎᓄᒻ ᑐᓂᖅᐅᓪᔭᖅᑐᑦ ᐊᔭᒻᓚᓂᒻ. ᐃᑲᕐᓱᖅᐸᖃᐃ. ᖅᑯᓇᒦᒃ.

ᐃᒃᓯᕙᐅᑕᖅ (ᑐᓵᔨᐅᑎᒡ): ᖅᐅᓇᒦᒃ, ᒥᔅ ᑭᓇᐅ ᐸᐃᖕᔅ. ᐃᐅᖅ ᐅᖄᓄᒡ ᑐᓂᓐᖅᐸᑦ ᐃᒃᓯᕙᐅᑕᒻᒡᓂᖕ ᑖᒡᑯᓈᖕᓈ ᑐᓇᑐᖅᑲᑐᐊᖅᐸᑐᖅ. ᒦᑕ ᐅᑲᓕᖅ.

ᐅᑲᓕᖅ (ᑐᓵᔨᐅᑎᒡ): ᖅᑯᓇᒦᒃ, ᐃᔭᖅᐅᑕᖅᓈᖅ. ᐃᖅᖃᓇᐃᔭᕐᑕ ᑭᒻᓯᖅᑐᐃᒻᓂᒡ ᐸᖅᑯᒥᓄᓂᒡ ᑭᒻᓯᖅᑐᐃᖃᓐᐊᖅᐸᒡᑕᐃ ᐃᓕᖕᓈ ᓂᕈᐊᖅᑎᕆᓕᔭᖏᒡ ᓂᑎᖅᐸᓇᖕᒦᒡ, ᖅᑲᓈᒡ ᐊᑎᓕᖅᓱᕐᔭᖏᒡ ᑖᒻᑯᐊ ᓚᒻᓄᑐᒃ, ᓚᒻᓄᑐᒡ ᓚᒻᓐᑎᖕᔭᒻᓈᒻ. ᑕᒪᓈ ᓚᒻᓄᑐᒡ ᐊᑐᖅᓗᓐᓄᒻᓂᑕᕆᔭᑐᖕᓈᖕᓂᒻ

ᐱᖅᓂᖅᒻᔭᑎᖅᒻᖃᑦᑕᒡᒻᒍ. ᐊᐸᒡ ᓚᒻᓄᑐᖅᑎᑐᖅᑯᒻ

because, as MLAs, we wouldn't be dealing with the issue if we didn't have the approval of the constituent in a lot of cases. I find that rather frustrating at times. Not all offices operate this way, but some ministerial offices are very frustrating that way. (interpretation) Thank you, Madam Chairperson.

Chairperson: Thank you, Mr. Okalik. I think that was more of a comment, unless you want to say anything further to that, Ms. Keenan Bengts.

Ms. Keenan Bengts: Thank you, Madam Chairperson. The only thing I would say is that hopefully my opinion on that issue will assist. Thank you.

Chairperson: Thank you, Ms. Keenan Bengts. Okay, who did I have next on my list? Mr. Mapsalak.

Mr. Mapsalak: Thank you, Madam Chairperson. I'm going to get into the municipalities. On page 20 of your 2015-16 annual report, you state that your office is "starting to receive more and more requests that involve municipal governments." How many requests involving municipal governments did you receive during the 2015-16 fiscal year? Thank you, Madam Chairperson.

Chairperson: Thank you, Mr. Mapsalak. Ms. Keenan Bengts.

Ms. Keenan Bengts: Thank you, Madam Chairperson. If my memory serves, there was one complaint dealing with a municipality and one issue, I'm trying to remember whether it was the Northwest Territories or here. I believe one issue came up through a news report that came to my attention, which raised concerns for me about privacy in a community at

ᐱ�'ᐊᑎᐅᐊᖏᖅ ᐱᓕᕆᐊᖅᖂᖅᓂᐊᑕᑦ ᐊᖅᑎᖅᑕᐅᕐᒫᖏ'ᑐᑦ ᓂᐅᐊᖅᑎᕐᑐᑎᖃᒐ. ᐆᓇ ᐱᖅᓇᐊᖅᑐᐊᖃᒐᖅ ᐱᕐᒐᐊᐊᑐᐊᒪᒐᕇᐊ'ᕐᑕᒐ ᑭᕐᐊᖅ ᐃᓇᐊᕐ Γᓇᑕᐃᑦ ᑎᑎᖃᐊᖅ ᐱᐊᖅᓇᐊᑐᐊᒪᒐ. 'ᑎᐊᓇᕆ, ᐃᐊᑐᐊᖅ.

ᐃᑭᐊᐊᑐᖅ (ᑐᐱᐊᑎᒐ): 'ᑎᐊᓇᕆ, Γᐊ ᐅᑭᓇᖅ. ᐆᓇ ᐃᖅᑐᐊᐊᑐᒐᐊᑎ ᐊᐱᖅᑐᐊᐊᑎᓇ. ᓇᑐᐊᑎᕐᕆᐊᖏᑐᖅ Γᐱ ᑭᓇᖅ ᐸᐱᖅᑎ.

ᑭᓇᖅ ᐸᐱᖅᑎ (ᑐᐱᐊᑎᒐ): 'ᑎᐊᓇᕆ, ᐃᑭᐊᐊᑐᖅ. ᐅᖅᑲᒐᖅᑐᖅ ᐃᓗᓇ ᐃᒐᖅᖃ ᓂᓇᐅᖃᒐᖅᖅ

ᐱᑐᐱᑎᒐ ᑕᒐᒐᖅ ᐃᑭᕐᓇᖅᑕ ᐅᖅᑐᐊᐅᒐᖅᖅ. 'ᑎᐊᓇᕆ.

ᐃᑭᐊᐊᑐᖅ (ᑐᐱᐊᑎᒐ): 'ᑎᐊᓇᕆ. ᑭᓇ ᑕᐃᐅ? Γᐊ ᐸᖅᑎ.

ᐸᖅᑎ (ᑐᐱᐊᑎᒐ): 'ᑎᐊᓇᕆ, ᐃᑭᐊᐊᑐᑎ. ᐅᐊᓇᖅᖃᐊ ᐆᐊᐅᐊᑐᐊᒐ ᐅᖅᖅᕆᖅᖃᒐ ᓇᖅᓇᐅᖏᖅ 20-ᓇ 2015-16 ᐊᖅᔪᑕᓇᖅᕆᐅᐊᑦ ᐅᐱᐊᒐ ᐅᖅᑕᐅᖅᐊᑦ ᑎᑎᖃᐱᕆᒐᖅ ᐅᐊᕐᐊᐊᑐᐊᒐᖅᒐ ᐅᖅᕆᐊᑎᖅᖅ ᐅᐊᑐᐊᑎᖏ ᐱᑎᑕᐅᐊᖅᐱᒐ 2015-16 ᑭᒐᐊᑕᐅᐊᒐᐅ ᐊᖅᔪᐊᓇ? 'ᑎᐊᓇᕆ, ᐃᑭᐊᐊᑐᖅ.

ᐃᑭᐊᐊᑐᖅ (ᑐᐱᐊᑎᒐ): 'ᑎᐊᓇᕆ, Γᐊ ᐸᖅᑎ. Γᐊ ᑭᓇ ᐸᐱᖅᑎ.

ᑭᓇ ᐸᐱᖅᑎ (ᑐᐱᐊᑎᒐ): 'ᑎᐊᓇᕆ, ᐃᑭᐊᐊᑐᖅ. ᐃᖅᖃᐅᐊᑎᑎᐊᔪᒪ ᐊᑕᐅᒐᑦ ᐅᐊᓇᐊᑐᐱᖃᐅᒐᐊᑦ ᐊᐱᖅᑕᐅᐊᑎᖅᖃᑎᐅᐊᑐᖅ ᓄᓇᑎᐊᒐ. ᐅᖅᐱᒐᐱᑎᔪᒪ ᐃᓇᖅᖅ ᑎᑭᖃᐅᖃᒐᕆᑕᖅ ᐱᐸᓇᖅᑕᑎᐊᑐᑦ ᖃᐃᑕᐅᐅᖅᑐᖅ ᐃᑎᒐᐅᑎᒐᓇᖅ ᑎᑭᖃᑎᐱᒐᖅ ᑲᐊᔪᓇᖅᑐᑎᓇᕆ ᓄᓇᖅᓇ ᑐᐊᖅᑐ. ᐅᖅᖃᒪᐊᖅᑐᔪᒪ ᓄᓇᐅᒐ ᒪᑎᐊᖅᖃᑐᐅᖅᑎ

the community level. I don't want to say for certain it was Nunavut, but where there was a situation in which a by-law officer decided that he is going to wear a body camera for all of his activities. It concerned me, so I wrote a letter to the municipality. I cannot, for the life of me, remember right now whether that was Nunavut or the Northwest Territories. Thank you.

Chairperson: Thank you, Ms. Keenan Bengts. Mr. Mapsalak.

Mr. Mapsalak: Thank you, Madam Chairperson. Also on page 20 of your 2015-16 annual report, you state that you "have seen no real progress in ensuring that municipalities are responsible for either access or privacy protection." Can you explain what specific steps you believe the government should take that will demonstrate its commitment to making progress in this area? Thank you, Madam Chairperson.

Chairperson: Thank you, Mr. Mapsalak. Ms. Keenan Bengts.

Ms. Keenan Bengts: Thank you, Madam Chairperson. I have to say that I believe that EIA has been fairly aggressive in trying to get voluntary buy-ins by municipalities. They haven't been successful in that. I think that it's probably time to move on to more direct measures in terms of either adding them as named public bodies under the current Act or creating new legislation that deals only with municipalities because there may be some differences in terms of how municipalities would address access or privacy issues.

At the very least, there has to be something that compels the communities

ᐊᖅᓵᓕᐅᐱᒍᖅᒪᕐᓚᖕᒍᓂ ᐊᖅᓵᓕᐅᓂᑲᕐᑲᕐᒃᕐᑲᓐᖓᐊᖅᓕ�

ᑫᖃᐅᓯᐅᖅᑲᐅᖅᑕᖅᑲᕐᑲᕐᖅᑲᓚᕐᑫ. ᐃᓯᒪᒍᒍᖅᑎᕐᓚᐅᖅᖑᖑ ᐃᓯᒪᒍᒍᖅᑎᕐᓚᕐᑲᖑ ᑎᑎᕐᖅᖅᐊᕐᖐᓗᖑᕐᑦ. ᐃᖅᑲᐅᓗᖑᐊᖕᕐᖅᑐᖕᐅ ᑫᖃᐅᓯᐅᔪᖕᓂᓚᖕᖐᖕᕐᑦ ᓄᖃᖕᐊᖕᒋᖕᖐᓯᓄᐅᖕᓂᓚᖕᖐᖕᕐᑦ ᓄᖃᖐᐊᖕᒋᖕᖐᓯᓚᖕᖐᖕᓚᖕᖐᓗᖐᖅᖐᕐᑦ. ᖅᑯᔭᖕᖐᕐᑕᖕᕐᑦᖕ. | |

the community level. I don't want to say for certain it was Nunavut, but where there was a situation in which a by-law officer decided that he is going to wear a body camera for all of his activities. It concerned me, so I wrote a letter to the municipality. I cannot, for the life of me, remember right now whether that was Nunavut or the Northwest Territories. Thank you.

Chairperson: Thank you, Ms. Keenan Bengts. Mr. Mapsalak.

Mr. Mapsalak: Thank you, Madam Chairperson. Also on page 20 of your 2015-16 annual report, you state that you "have seen no real progress in ensuring that municipalities are responsible for either access or privacy protection." Can you explain what specific steps you believe the government should take that will demonstrate its commitment to making progress in this area? Thank you, Madam Chairperson.

Chairperson: Thank you, Mr. Mapsalak. Ms. Keenan Bengts.

Ms. Keenan Bengts: Thank you, Madam Chairperson. I have to say that I believe that EIA has been fairly aggressive in trying to get voluntary buy-ins by municipalities. They haven't been successful in that. I think that it's probably time to move on to more direct measures in terms of either adding them as named public bodies under the current Act or creating new legislation that deals only with municipalities because there may be some differences in terms of how municipalities would address access or privacy issues.

At the very least, there has to be something that compels the communities

to create access and privacy policies that are consistent with the Act. It's a difficult thing for municipalities. I get that. They don't have the expertise on the one hand. On the other hand, they're public bodies that receive public monies, which spend public monies, which provide contracts to the locals, and the public has a right to know how that money is being used, how municipalities are undertaking their work on the access side. They also have responsibility to ensure that the information they hold about their citizens is held privately.

I get it that it's a hard thing to do, and it's another burden, but I think it's a necessary thing that needs to be done. I think that you'll have to ask the government representative tomorrow what they're doing. I think that it's probably a time for more aggressive action on their part. Thank you.

Chairperson: Thank you, Ms. Keenan Bengts. (interpretation) Mr. Mapsalak, any more?

Mr. Mapsalak: Thank you. In its recent response to the Standing Committee's report on your 2014 appearance, the Government of Nunavut stated that it is working towards amending the *Access to Information and Protection of Privacy Act* to have it apply to the territory's three largest municipalities. What do you think is a realistic timeframe to bring all municipal governments in Nunavut under the legislation? Thank you, Madam Chairperson.

Chairperson: Thank you, Mr. Mapsalak. Ms. Keenan Bengts.

Ms. Keenan Bengts: Thank you, Madam Chairperson. They will definitely have to

	ᑎᑎᖅᑲᖕᓂᒃ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᓴᐳᒻᒥᔭᐅᓂᖕᒪᖕᓄᑦ ᑎᑎᖅᑲᖅᑖᑎᑦ. ᑕᒻᒃᑯᐊ ᕼᐊᓪᓕᓗᐊᔭᖅ ᐅᕐᕿᔪᖕᖔᑦ ᓄᓇᓕᖕᓂ ᑲᐃᒪᓕᐅᔦᑦ ᐱᔾᓯᓂᖕᖕᑎᑐᖅᐸᖅᑲᑦᖓᓕᑕ ᐃᓪᓗᐊᑏ ᑲᐃᒪᖅᑯᑦ ᑎᒦᖅᑎᑎᖅᓚᑎᖕᓂᑯ. ᑲᐃᒪᖅᑯᕐᐅᖕ ᑭᓇᐅᔭᖅᖏᐅᖕ ᑐᓂᖅᐅᖅᖕᑲᐃᖅᑐᑦ. ᑭᓇᐅᔭᖕᖏᓂᑯᖕ ᐊᑐᖕᑲᑖᖅᑐᑦ ᑲᐃᒪᖅᑯᑦ. ᑳᑐᑦᖃᑎᑎᖕᑲᑖ ᓕᖕᑐᖕᓗ ᓄᓇᓕᖕᓂ ᓄᓇᖕᖅᖃᑐᖕᑦ. ᑕᖕᑲᖕᑯᐊ ᐱᔪᖕᓇᐅᑎᖕᖅᒪᑕ ᑐᖕᓯᐊᕐᖕᖕ ᖃᓄᖕ ᑭᓇᐅᔭᕐᐃᑦ ᐊᑐᖅᑲᐅᖕᖕᓇᖕᖕᒪᖕᑖᑦ. ᓄᓇᐃᖕᖕᓗ ᑲᐃᒪᖕᖕᕐᑦ ᖃᓄᖕ ᐊᑐᖕᖕᒪᖕᑖᑦ ᑎᒡᔪᖕᖕᒪᖕᑖᑦᖕᓗ ᑎᑎᖅᑲᖕᓂᒃ ᑐᖕᓯᖕᒻᖓᓂᒃ ᑲᒫᑏᐊᓂᐊᖕᖕᓇᖕᖕᒪᑕ ᑐᖕᓯᖕᒻᖓᓂᒃ ᑎᒡᒦᐊᖕᖕᓚᑕ ᐃᓄᖕᖕᒻᖃᒻᖏᒻᖕᑖᑦ ᑐᖕᓯᖕᒻᖓᖕᖓᒻᖏᖕᑖᓂᒃ.
	ᖃᓄᖕᖕᓚᑕᑦᑕᖕ ᐱᔪᖕᖕᓇᖕᖕᕿᑐᖕᓗᔪᐊᖕ ᐱᕐᐊᓂᒃ ᐱᑕᑎᖕᒥᒃ. ᐊᓚᓂᑭᓂᐊᖕᖕᓯᖕᖕᖕᒥᒃ ᑲᐃᒪᖅᑯᑦ ᖃᐅᖃᖃᖕᖕᑎᖕᖕᖕᑖᑦ ᖃᓄᐊᓯᕐᐃᖃᖕᖕᑖᑦ ᖃᐅᑦᐸᖕ. ᐊᒥᓕᖃ ᐱᓯᖕᖕᑖᑖᖕᖕᓯᖕᖕᑎᖕᑖᑦ ᐊᑐᓕᖕᖕᐃᖕᑐᐊᑎᖕᖕᓯᖕᖕᖕᒪᖕᑖᑦ ᑕᒡᑐᒡᖕᖕᓗ. ᖃᖕᓯᖕᒻᖓᒻᖕᑏᖕ.
ᐃᑭᒃᖃᐅᑕᖅ: ᖃᖕᓯᖕᒻᖓᒻᖕᑏ, ᒻᖕ ᑭᓇᐃ ᐸᐃᖕᖕᓯ. ᒷ ᒷᑕ ᒪᖕᓴᓕᖕᒃ.	
ᒪᖕᓴᓕᖕᒃ (ᑐᖕᓯᐊᑎᒡᖕ): ᖃᖕᓯᖕᒻᖓᒻᖕᑏ. ᒫᓇᖃᕐᖃᒡᐅᑎᐅᖅᑐᖕ ᖃᐅᔪᖕᓯᖕᒻᖓᒻᖕᓂᒃ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᓵᖕᖕᓗᑦ ᐅᖕᑏᖕᖕᓕᖕᖕᓄᖕ. 2014ᒥ ᓯᖕᓗᖕᐊᖕᑎᖕᖕᖕᓄᑏᖕ ᓄᓇᖕᕐᑦ ᑲᐃᒪᖕᑯᑎᖕᖕᕐᑦ ᐅᖕᑲᓕᐅᕐᓚᑕ ᐃᖃᖃᖕᐃᒻᖃᖕᖕᓚᑯᔭᖕᖕ ᐊᖕᖃᐸᖃᐊᖕᑖᖕᖕᓄᖕᒃ ᑎᒡᔪᖕᖕᖕᒡ ᑐᖕᓯᖕᒻᖓᓂᒃ ᓴᐳᒻᖕᓴᖃᖕᖃᖕᖕᖃᖕᖕᓗ ᑲᐊᔪᓇᖕᑐᖕᒃ ᓚᑎᓕᖃᖃᖕᓂᒃ ᐊᑐᖕᑲᑐᖃᖃᖃᖕᑖᕐᐃᒻᑖᑦ ᐱᖕᓕᐊᖕᑐᖕ ᐊᖕᑏᖕᖕᖕᑐᖕᕐᖕᑐᖕ ᓄᓇᖕᖕ ᑲᐃᒪᖅᑯᖕᖕᖕᓄᖕ ᐊᑐᖕᑲᑐᐊᖕᖕᓚᖕᓂᒃ. ᖃᖕᖓᕐᑐ ᑖᖕᓇ ᓯᖕᖕᑭᑐᖃᑭᑯᖕᑖᓚᖕᖃᖕᖕᐸ ᐃᒡᔪᖕᖕᓇᖕᖕᖕᒻᖕᖓᓂᒃ ᓄᓇᖃᖕᖕᖕ ᑲᐃᒪᖕᖕᖕᖕᖕᓄᑦ ᐊᑐᖕᑲᑐᓕᐊᖕᖕᓚᑦ ᑖᖕᓇ ᓚᑎᓕᖕ ᓄᓇᖕᕕᒥ? ᖃᖕᓯᖕᒻᖓᒻᖕᑏ.
ᐃᑭᒃᖃᐅᑕᖅ (ᑐᖕᓯᐊᑎᒡᖕ): ᖃᖕᓯᖕᒻᖓᒻᖕᑏ ᒷᑕ ᒪᖕᓴᓕᖕᒃ. ᒷ ᑭᓇᐃ ᐸᐃᖕᖕᓯ.	
ᑭᓇᐃ ᐸᐃᖕᖕᓯ (ᑐᖕᓯᐊᑎᒡᖕ): ᖃᖕᓯᖕᒻᖓᒻᖕᑏ, ᐃᑭᒃᖃᐅᑕᖅ. ᒷᖓ ᐱᐊᖕᖕᑎᐊᖃᖃᖃᖕᖕᖓᖕᖕᑐᖕ ᐱᖕᖕᑕᑎᖕᖕᖓᔪ	

be some lead up time because they're going to have to make sure that the necessary processes are in place. I would say probably a year is an appropriate time to give the municipality to get up and running and get training necessary. Thank you.

Chairperson: Thank you, Ms. Keenan Bengts. (interpretation) Is that it? (interpretation ends) Just before I go to the next name, I just want to ask a quick question, myself. I have often wondered about when you apply for a job, or you're the one interviewing and then you ask for references and somebody provides a reference. So that person who is interviewing you and may want you for the job is going to ask some personal information about your character, or about how you worked. Even though they give consent to do that, I am assuming they're giving consent by providing a name for somebody to call. Does the person providing that consent also have the right to find out what was said about them? I pose you that question. Thank you. Ms. Keenan Bengts.

Ms. Keenan Bengts: Thank you, Madam Chairperson. There is a provision in the Act, and I don't know exactly how it's worded, but it does protect that kind of information from disclosure. If I were the person giving the opinion, and I said to you, "No, I don't care who you tell. Go ahead, tell anybody." Then it's fine. If I say, "Look, I want to be completely honest with you, would you keep this quiet? This is between you and me." I think, in most cases, that assurance can be properly given and protected under the Act. Does that answer the question?

Chairperson: Thank you. I am just wondering if I was somebody, and I give

CLᵇdⲀ ΔᴺᘁᒣᓂⲀⳆⲀˢᗰⲀ ᓭᗱ ᑎᓯᖮⳆⲀⳆⲀᗰⲀ ⲀⳆⳁ ⲀᗱⳆⲀⳆⲀᗰⲀ ᓯᖮⳆⳁⳡᑎⳁ. Ⳇⳁᓂⳝᗰ ᖮⳆⳝⳝᒫⳆⳁ Ⲁᑁᖮ CⲀᑊ ⲀᑃⲀᗱⲀ ᖮᖮⳁⳆⳆⲀⳆⳁ ⲀᑃⲀᗱⲀⳆⳁᖮ ᖮᖮ ⳆⳁⳆⳁⳆⳁ Aᖮᑎⳁ ᑎ ᖮⳆⳁⳆⳁ ᖮⲀᗰⳁ. ᖮⳆⳆⳁⲀ.

ΔᑯⳆⳆⲀᑎⳆ (ᑎⳝⳆᑎᒧⳆ): ᖮⳆⳆⳁⲀ Γⳁ ᑊⳁ Ⲁᓭⳁ. ᑎⳆⳁⳆⲀⳆ? Ⲁᑎⳁ ⲀⳆⲀⲀ ⲀⳆⳁⳆⳁ ⲀᑎⳆⳁ ⲀⳆⳆᑎᖮᑃ ⳆⳁⳆ ⲀⳆⲀⳆ. ⲀⳆᒧⳆ ΔᖮⳆⲀⳆᗱⳆᗰⳁ ΔᖮⳆⲀⳆⳆⳁⳆ ⲀⳆⳆⳁᖮⳁⳆ ΔᖮⳆⲀⳆᗱⳆⳆⳆ? ᖮⳆⲀⳆ ᖮⳆᖮⳆⳆⳁⳆⳆⳁⳆ ⲀⳆᑁⳆ CⲀᑊⲀ ᖮⳆᖮⳆⳆⳆ ᑃⳆⳆⳆⳁ ΔᖮⳆⲀⳆᗱⳆⳆⳆ ⲀⳆⳆᖮⳆⳆⳆ ⲀⳆⳆᖮⳆᑎⳆⳆⲀⳆᒧ Ⲁᒧᑃ ᑎⳆⳁⳆⳆ ᑎⳆᖮⳆⳆ ᖮⲀⳆⳆⳆᖮ ᖮⳆⳆ ΔᖮⳆⲀⳆⳆⳆᑎⳆⳁᒪⳆᖮⳆᖮⳆⳆ. ⲀᖮᑎⳆⲀⳆᖮᑎⳆ ⳆⳆᑃⳆᑎ ⲀᒧᒪⳆⲀᖮ ⲀᖮⳆᖮⳆᒪᖮ. Ⲁᑎᒣ ᑃⳆⳆⳁ ᑎⳆ ΔᖮⲀ ⳆᑁᑃⳆⲀⳆⳆⳆⳆⳁⳆ ᖮⳆᖮⳆᒪⳆ ⳆⳆⳁᖮ. CⲀᑊⲀ ΔⳆ ⲀᖮᑎⲀⳆⳆ ᑎⳆⳆⳁᖮⳆⳆⳆⳆ? ᖮⳆ ⲀᖮᑃⳆⳆⲀᑎⳆⳆⳁᒪⳆᖮ CⲀᑊⲀ ⲀᑎⳆⳆⲀⳆᖮⳆⳆⳆ ᖮⳆᖮⳆⳆ. ᑃⳆⳆⳁᖮ ⲀᖮⳆᖮⳆᒧᖮⳆⳆ. ᖮⳆᖮⳆⲀⳆ. ᖮⳆᖮⳆⲀⳆ. Γⳁ ᑊⳁ Ⲁᓭⳁ.

ᑊⳁ Ⲁᓭⳁ (ᑎⳝⳆᑎᒧⳆ): ᖮⳆᖮⳆⲀⳆ, ΔᑯⳆⳆⲀᑎⳆ. ᒪᑃⳆ᙮Ⳇ᙮Ⳇᖮ ΔᒧⲀᖮ ᒪᑃⳆᖮ ΔᑃⳆ CⲀᒧⳆⳆⳆᑎⳆ ⲀⳆᖮᑃⲀᒧⲀⳆⳁᑃⳆᖮ ⲀⳆⲀᖮ ᖮⳆᒪᖮⳆⳆᖮⳆⳆ CⲀⲀᒪⲀᑃⳆᖮ ᑎⳆᖮⳆⳆⳆᖮ ᖮⳆⳆᖮⳆⳆ᙮Ⳇᖮ᙮ⳆᑃⲀⳆ. ⲀⳆⳆᒪ CⲀᑊⲀ ΔᖮⳆⲀⲀᖮᖮᒪᖮ ᑎⲀⳆᖮᑃᒪ, ᒪᒣⲀⲀᖮ ⲀⳆⳆᒧⳆᑃⳆ ΔᖮⲀ ᖮⳆᖮⳆᒪᒧⳆᑃ ᖮⳆᑃᑃⲀᑊⲀⳆᒧⳆ ⲀⳆᖮᑃⳆⳆᖮᑃⳆⲀᑎⳆᖮᑃⳆᒪ ᘁⳆᑃCⲀᒧᖮ᙮᙮ᑎⲀⳆᑃⳆ. ᖮⳆⳆⳆᖮ ᖮⳆᑃᖮⳆⳆᑃⳆᒪ ᖮⳆᖮⳆᑃᒪ CⳆᖮᖮᑃⳆᒧᒪ ᖮⳆᖮᖮⳆᖮ᙮ᖮCⲀᖮᒣ ᘁⳆᑯⳆⳆᖮ ᖮⳆᖮᑃᒪᖮᑃⳆⲀᖮⳆ ΔᒪⲀᑃⳆᑃⳆ ᖮⳆᖮᒪᑃ CⲀᑊⲀ ⲀᖮᑎᖮⳆᑃⳆᑃⲀᖮⳆᖮ ᖮⳆᒪᒣᑃⳆᑃⳆᑃⳆ ᒪᑃ᙮ᖮᑎⳆ CⲀᑊⲀ. ᖮᑃᖮᒪⲀᒧᖮⳆ᙮᙮ᖮᖮⲀ?

ΔᑯⳆⳆⲀᑎⳆ (ᑎⳝⳆᑎᒧⳆ): ᖮⳆᖮⳆⲀⳆ. Ⲁᖮᖮ᙮Ⳇᒧᖮ. CⲀᒪᑃ ΔᖮⲀ ᖮⳆᖮᖮᒪᖮ ᑃⳆᖮ

a reference and I said, "Oh, this person, you might not want to hire this person because they missed so much time or they're always late." Because I have heard that that might not be the best thing to be doing because somebody can come back and say, "What is it that you said about me?" So I am just wondering what you think about all of that. Can somebody access that information that was said about them or is that protected? Thank you. Ms. Keenan Bengts.

Ms. Keenan Bengts: Thank you, Madam Chairperson. No, it's protected. Under the Act, it's protected. Thank you.

Chairperson: Thank you. My next name is Mr. Joanasie.

Mr. Joanasie: Thank you, Madam Chairperson. I have a few other questions. The first one I'll ask is on the report to the Legislative Assembly during the review of the Nunavut Business Credit Corporation's annual report and corporate plans, the Standing Committee had recommended that the Nunavut Business Credit Corporation, "fully disclose the identities of recipients of loans and other financial assistance provided to the recipients". In the minister's response, the government indicated that at the time it wished to seek a formal review and ruling from you, the Information and Privacy Commissioner. Has the government come to you and said, "we would like to formally review with you, the disclosure of practices of the Nunavut Business Credit Corporation?" Thank you, Madam Chairperson.

Chairperson: Thank you, Mr. Joanasie. Ms. Keenan Bengts.

ᐃᖅᑲᓇᐃᔭᖅᑕᐅᒃᓴᕆᔭᖅᑎᓪᓗᒍ ᐅᖃᓪᒐᕆᔭᐅᖅᑐᖅ. ᐃᒡᓕᖅ ᐅᖅᑲᓯᓂᖅᐸᑦᑕ ᐃᖅᑲᓇᐃᔭᖅᑎᑕᐅᒍᓐᑲᖅᒐᓐᑲᑎᐃᑦ ᐱᔪᒪᖅᑯᓯᕆᓐᑲᑎᐃᑦ ᕿᔪᕇᖁᓐᓇᐅᕝᕌᓗᐃᑕ. ᐃᒪᓐᓇᐃᑖᕝᑕ ᑕᐃᒪᓐ ᖃᐅᔨᒪᔪᖅ ᐃᓄᖕᒥᖕ ᐃᖅᑲᓇᐃᔭᖅᑕᐅᒃᓴᖅᒍᒻᑯ? ᑕᐃᒪᓐᓇ ᐊᑲᐅᓐᓇᒍᓪᓗᒻᑲ ᐅᒃᑐᓪᑲᐅᔾᕙᓐᒍᕌᑕ ᖃᓄᖅ ᐅᖅᑲᓯᓂᓪᖁᐃ, ᑕᐃᒪᓐᓇ ᑐᓕᕐᒃᒋᒻᑯ. ᓐᑐᒪᕐᒋᔪᒪᖅᒋᐱᑕ ᖃᓄᖅ ᐅᖅᑲᐅᑎᐅᓂᓪᖁᐃᖁᑕ ᐅᕐᕀᔾᓐᒃᒍᖅ ᓯᑖᒐᔾᐱᕐ ᑳᓐᐊ? ᕿᔾᓐᓇᒻᑯ. ᒥᖕ ᐲᓐᐊ <ᐃᐊᖕᑲᐃ.

ᐲᓐᐊ <ᐃᐊᖕᑲᐃ (ᑐᓐᒃᐱᓐᑑᑯ): ᕿᔾᓐᓇᒻᑯ, ᐃᑲᕐᕡᐅᐟᕐᓕ. ᐃᒻᑲ, ᓴᐸᒌᔾᐱᕐᐊᖅ ᒪᑲᓈᖅᓐᑑᒃ. ᕿᔾᓐᓇᒻᑯ.

ᐃᑲᕐᕡᐅᐟᕐᓕᖅ (ᑐᓐᒃᐱᓐᑑᑯ): ᕿᔾᓐᓇᒻᑯ. ᐅᖅᑲᓐᕐᕐᓇᐊᓐᖅᒐᖅᕐᓐᒪ ᕿᔾᓐᕿᓐᒌᒻᒐ, ᒥᖕᑳ ᕐᐊᖕᔾ.

ᕐᐊᖕᔾ (ᑐᓐᒃᐱᓐᑑᑯ): ᕿᔾᓐᓇᒻᑯ, ᐃᑲᕐᕡᐅᐟᕐᓕᖅ. ᐊᐸᖅᓐᓐᑯᖅᐳᖅᕐᕙᖅᐅ ᐊᔅᓐᒋᕐᒐᖕᑯ. ᓱᕈᖔᕐᕐᐊᖅᕈᖅ ᐊᐸᖅᓐᒍᕐᒐᐊᖅᑖᕐ ᐅᓐᒃᐳᕐᕐᕐᓂ ᒪᑲᓐᑲᑐᖅᕐᖔᓗ ᕿᐸᕐᕐᕐᒌᐟᐅᕐᑐᒃᖔ ᓇᖕᕐᒌ ᐊᑐᖅᑐᐊᕐᐊᖕᑲ ᐅᓐᒃᐱᓐᐊᖕᕇᓐᖕ. ᐊᑐᓂᕐᒋᖕᕐᐅᖅᕐᒌᕐᓂ ᓇᖕᕐᒌ ᐊᑐᖅᑐᐊᕐᐊᖕᕇᒃ. ᓴᖅᑲᕈᐟᖕᑯᕐᓯᒋᑲᕆᖅᕐᒌ ᕿᖕᒌᒃᕐᒌᕐᐊ ᐊᑐᖅᑐᐊᖅᐟᐅᐊᐸᖅᑐᒃᑐ ᐲᓐᐊᐟᓐᒌᑦ ᐊᒻᓗ ᕿᐳᐟᒋᐊᖕᑯᖕᑯ ᓕᕅᓐᕐᕙᒃᑯᖕ ᐅᖅᑲᓐᐊᐅᖅᐟᓕᒃ, ᐃᒻᑲ ᑕᐃᒋᔾᒌᒐ ᐃᕐᒋᒐᔾᒌᓗᖕᒃᑯ ᑐᖕᐸᒃᑯᖕᒌᑯᖕ ᑐᓐᒃᐱᓐᕐᐃᓐᓂᓪᒌ ᒃᒍᖕᒐᖅᑐᑲᓐᒐᖅᒌᕐᒐᐸ ᒃᕀᕒᓐᒌᕐ ᑲᑐᒪ ᒌᐟᕐᓂᒃᑕ. ᐅᖅᑲᓐᓐᒌᕐᒌᕙᐃᑯ ᓕᕅᓐᕙᒃᑯᖕ ᐃᒪᓐᒌᐟᐊᐸᐅᖅᓕᒃ ᕿᖕᒌᒃᐊᖅᐟᓐᐟᓐᕙ ᑕᒃᒃᒍᖕᒐᖕᑯ ᓴᖅᑲᕈᐟᖕᑯᕐᕐᓂᓪᒌ ᐟᐟᖕᒃᐳᖕᒃ? ᕿᔾᓐᓇᒻᑯ, ᐃᑲᕐᕡᐅᐟᕐᓕᖅ.

ᐃᑲᕐᕡᐅᐟᕐᓕᖅ (ᑐᓐᒃᐱᓐᑑᑯ): ᕿᔾᓐᓇᒻᑯ, ᒥᖕᑳ ᕐᐊᖕᔾ. ᒥᖕ ᐲᓐᐊ <ᐃᐊᖕᑲᐃ.

Ms. Keenan Bengts: Thank you, Madam Chairperson. Not to my recollection. I will go back to my office and double check but I'm fairly certain they haven't asked me that question.	ᐱᓇᒃ ᐸᐃᖕᑦ (ᑐᓴᖅᑎᑎᔪᑦ): ᖁᔭᓐᓇᒦᒃ, ᐃᒃᓯᕙᐅᑖᖅ. ᐃᖅᑲᐅᒪᓕᕈᓐᓇᕈᑦᑐᖅ ᑎᑎᕋᕐᕕᐊᓗᐊᓄᑦ ᖃᐅᔨᓴᕋᔾᓴᑦᖁᔭᖅᐳᖓ ᐃᖅᑲᐅᒪᓗᐊᖅᐸᒻᓇᒃᑯᑦ ᐊᐱᕆᔭᐅᓴᒪᔪᖅᖐᖅᒪᓇᓪ. ᖁᔭᓐᓇᒦᒃ.
Chairperson: Thank you, Ms. Keenan Bengts. Interesting answer. Mr. Joanasie.	ᐃᒃᓯᕙᐅᑕᖅ (ᑐᓴᖅᑎᑎᔪᑦ): ᖁᔭᓐᓇᒦᒃ, ᒥ ᐱᓇᒃ ᐸᐃᖕᑦ, ᐋ, ᑐᓴᕐᒐᖃᖅᑐᖅ. ᒥᑦ ᔪᐊᓇᓯ.
Mr. Joanasie (interpretation): Thank you. With the government response such as that, would you be able to do a review with them on what needs to be done for them to move forward? We know that some of your recommendations have not been carried out. Perhaps you can come up with considerations with them to see what else needs to be done? Thank you.	ᔪᐊᓇᓯ: ᖁᔭᓐᓇᒦᒃ, ᐃᓯᕙᐅᑕᖅ. ᑕᐃᒫᒃ ᑭᐅᔭᐅᖅᔪᒪᑎᓪᓗᒍᑦ ᒐᕙᒪᒃᑯᑦ ᐱᐊᖃᕐᔭᖅᑐᑎᖃᐃ ᒪᓪᓗᒐᓪᓇᓪ ᖁᒋᖅᖃᓱᑎᑦᑐᒐᓱᓚᒪᔪᖅ ᐃᓱᒪᖅ ᖃᓄᐃᓚᐅᑎᐊᖅᑐᒻᓚᖕᓕᑦ ᓱᖑᒐᓗᑎᖕᓐᓇᓚᔭᖅᖃᕐᓇᐃᖁᐃ ᐊᑐᕐᖁᔭᐅᕆᓚᑐᓐ ᖃᐅᔨᓴᖁᑦ ᐊᑐᕐᖁᓯᐊᖅᖃᓐᓂᐱᑦ. ᕆᓐ ᐊᑐᖅᔪᓚᓐᒥᓚᒃ ᐊᒻᓗ ᐃᓱᒃ ᖃᓇᖅᑐᖃᑎᖅᑯᓯᓂᓱᓚᐊᖅᐱᕐᖃᐃ, ᓗᑐᐊᓐᓚᓯᔭᖅᑐᑎᖃ ᕆᓐ? ᖁᔭᓐᓇᒦᒃ, ᐃᓯᕙᐅᑕᖅ.
Chairperson (interpretation): Thank you, Mr. Joanasie. Ms. Keenan Bengts.	ᐃᒃᓯᕙᐅᑕᖅ: ᖁᔭᓐᓇᒦᒃ, ᒥᑦ ᔪᐊᓇᓯ. ᒥ ᐱᓇᒃ ᐸᐃᖕᑦ.
Ms. Keenan Bengts: Thank you, Madam Chairperson. I can say that after my appearance last year I went back and I looked more clearly at the legislation which establishes the Business Credit Corporation and the *Access to Information and Protection of Privacy Act*. There's nothing in those two pieces of legislation right now that prevents them from doing what you're asking be done, that is the disclosure of the name of the person who received funding and the amount of funding received and even the community. What the Business Credit Corporation is not allowed to do is to disclose information they receive from the applicant. So they can't disclose the information that's in an application form but a loan granted isn't information received so they can disclose that information should they choose to do so. It's all in the legal interpretation of the two Acts and I don't believe that there is anything in the two Acts read together	ᐱᓇᒃ ᐸᐃᖕᑦ (ᑐᓴᖅᑎᑎᔪᑦ): ᖁᔭᓐᓇᒦᒃ, ᐃᒃᓯᕙᐅᑖᖅ. ᐅᖃᑐᐊᖅᑐᖕᓚ ᐊᕐᕌᓂ ᑕᕐᕋᓂ ᓴᕐᕈᓴᓪᑎᕐᑐᑎᖕᓂᓐᓚ ᐅᑎᕐᐅᕋᒪ ᖁᒋᖅᐊᖃᓂᖅᑐᒍ. ᑕᐃᒪ ᒪᓕᒐᖅᑎᔪᑦ ᓇᓄᐊᖕᓂᕐᖁᖅᓯᒃᑯᑦ ᑕᐃᒃᑯᐊ ᐊᖅᐳᖅᔨᐊᔾᕈᑐᖕᓚᑦ ᐊᑐᖅᑐᐊᖁᕝᓂ ᓇᖕᒥᓂᖃᖅᑐᑦ ᐊᒻᓗ ᓴᖁᒥᓂᖁᑦ ᐃᓱᒻᔪᖕᓯᔪᑦ ᐃᓄᑦᖃᐊᖕᒥᖑᓐᑎᖕ ᑏᖅᑯᐊ ᐱᖕᔑᖅ ᓚᔾᑿ ᓄᖅᖃᓂᖅᔭᐅᖃᖅᑐᓚᖕ ᐊᐅᖅᖁᓂᖕᕐᓴᒐᖕ Ꮮᖁᓂᖕ ᓴᖅᐳᑎᓂᖕᕈᑦ ᐊᑎᖑᖃᓂᖕ ᓴᖅᐳᑎᓂᖕᕈᑦ ᐱᓇᐅᓴᖅᑎᑕᐅᕐᖁᖅ, ᖃᓯᕐᓇᓚ ᐱᓇᐅᓴᖅᑎᑕᐅᕐᒪᓚᕐᖁᖅ�, ᓇᓚᐊᓇᓇᓪᓚ ᓄᓇᖅᖅᓚᐅᖅᓚ. ᑕᐃᒪ Ꮮᖁᐊ ᓇᖕᒥᓂᖃᖅᑐᓯ ᐊᑐᖅᑐᐊᖅᑦ ᐊᓪᖑᐊᑎᐅᔭᖅᑐᓚᔾᕆᒪᑦ ᓴᖅᐳᖃᖅᑎᑎᔭᖕᓂᖅᑐᓚᔾᕆᑎᑕᐅᖕᕐᑎᓯ ᒑᕐᓯᑿᒃ ᑐᖁᖕᔭᖅᑎᓂᖕ. ᑕᐃᒪᓇ ᑕᐃᒪ ᓴᖅᐳᖃᓂᖕᕐᓚᒑᓂᖅᑦ ᑐᖁᖕᔭᐅᔭᖕᔾ ᐃᓯᖑᔪᒋᓴᖅ? ᑭᔾᕐᔮᓂ ᒑᖃ ᐊᑐᕐᑐᐊᓪᓚᑦᑐᖅᖑᑐᖅ ᑏᖅᑯᐊ ᑐᖁᖕᔭᖅᑎᓴᖕᖑ. ᑕᐃᒪ ᓴᖅᐳᑐᖑᓚᖅᓚᖕ ᓇᐅᑐᖕ ᓴᖅᐳᑐᓚᔾᕆᑦ ᐃᓯᒐᖃ Ꮮᓚᓚᔭᓕᔭᐅᕐᖁᓚᖃᒑᓕᔭᐃ ᐃᓯᖑ ᒪᓕᒐᖅᑎᔪᑦ ᑐᑎᖅᐅᖃᓂᖕᑎᖑ ᑕᐃᒪ ᐱᖕᔑᖁᖃᖕ Ꮮᖁᖓᖑᖃᕐᖁ ᐃᓱᒻᔪᖃ
	ᓄᖅᖃᓂᖕᑎᓯ ᐊᖃᖅᑎᑕᐅᖅᑯᑎᖅᑐᖅ ᑕᒻᑿᓂᖅᓚ ᑐᓴᑕᒥᓄ

that prevents the disclosure of that kind of basic information. Thank you.

Chairperson: Thank you, Ms. Keenan Bengts. We were wondering and that was an important issue to us. Mr. Joanasie.

Mr. Joanasie: Thank you. Now it's completely understandable and I interpreted it the way you interpreted it, I believe. I'd like to move onto another area and that's under the ATIPP Act.

Section 37 states that an applicant or third party may appeal a decision made by a head of a public body to the Nunavut Court of Justice. However, this section provides that the Information and Privacy Commissioner "is not a party to an appeal." You previously made recommendations that any amendments to the Act allow for the appeal of any decision made by a departmental head or public body to the courts.

In the government's most recent response to your report of 2015, the GN stated that its "next consultation with the Information and Privacy Commissioner will include the right of the Commissioner to appeal a decision to the Nunavut Court of Justice." Can you indicate if any consultation on this matter has taken place and, if so, what were the outcomes of the discussions? Thank you, Madam Chairperson.

Chairperson: Thank you, Mr. Joanasie. Ms. Keenan Bengts.

Ms. Keenan Bengts: Thank you, Madam Chairperson. We definitely have been talking about this issue. I don't think I would call it a formal consultation, but we have talked about it a lot on an informal basis about how it will look and

ᑐᕝᐳᓕᑎᖕᑎᓂᕐᒍᓗ. ᖅᑯᔭᖁᓕᖅ, ᐃᑲᕈᕐᐱᐳᑊᖅ.

ᐃᑲᕈᕐᐱᐳᑊᖅ (ᑐᕽᔪᑎᒍᑊ): ᖅᑯᔭᖁᓕᖅ, ᒥᑊ ᓚᓇᖅ ᐸᐃᖕᓴ. ᐊᓱᒪᒥᑲᐳᖅᖅᐟ, ᐊᓱᒪᓚᐳᖅᖅᐟ Ċᖁᓇ ᐳᕐᑎᖏᓂᓄᑊ ᐧᒪᓪᓇᐳᖅᒪᐟᒼ. ᒥᖕᑕ ᐧᑲᒃᖅᔾ.

ᐧᑲᒃᖅᔾ (ᑐᕽᔪᑎᒍᑊ): ᖅᑯᔭᖁᓕᖅ, ᐃᑲᕈᕐᐳᑊᖅ. ᐵ, ᑐᑭᔭᖅᑎᖅᔪᒼ ᑕᒪᖁᓇ, ᑐᑭᓕᐳᕐᒥᒃᑲᐟᑲᐳᖅ ᐃᓕᖅᑐᑊ. ᐱᔾᐊᓄᓕ ᒃᔾᒥᐧᐸᒪᒪ ᐧᔾᐧᓄᑊ.

ᑕᒧᒍᖕᒀ ᐧᑐᐊᖁᐁᐳᓂᖕᕐᓇᒍᓄᑊ ᓇᖕᐳᑎᖕᕐ 37-ᒥᑊ ᒪᑌᓂᖅᓄᑊ ᓇᓇᐁᖅᔾᔾᒪᖅᒥᑊ Ċᖑᐧ ᑐᕝᖅᐧᐳᑎᖕᑎᐳᖅᑐᑊ ᐳᖁᓂᐟᔾᑎᒃᔪᖁᓇᖅᒪᑊᑊ ᐧᖅᒃᕐᒃᐳᑎᐧᖧᓇᖕᒏᑊᑊ Ċᖅᒪᖕᕐ ᐃᖅᒃᖅᔪᐧᐧᒃᐧᑎᓇᒍᑊ. ᑕᐸᒪ ᒃᒥᔾᐳᐳᒡᑐᑎ ᐃᓚᐳᖅᒃᑲᖕᑎᖁᐧᖁᐟᑊ ᐳᖁᓂᑐᕽᖅᓂᕐᒍᑊ. ᑕᐸᒪ ᐧᑐᑊᖅᑐᖅᒃᑎᐳᖅᔾᒃᐧᐧᖧᐟᑊ Ċᖑᐧ ᐧᔾᐟᖧᓂᐧᖧᐳᑎᐟᔾᑊ, ᐃᓕᒥᐧᖧᐳᑎᐟᔾᑊ ᒪᑌᓂᖅᓄᑊ ᐳᖁᓂᑐᕽᓇᖅᒃᐳᑎᐟᔾᑊ, ᐳᖁᓂᑐᕽᓇᖅᒃᐳᑊᖅᒃᖅᐟᑊᑊ ᐧᖅᒃᕐᒃᐳᑎᐟᔾᑊ Ċᖅᑲᖕᐧᖈᑊ ᐧᖧᐧᒃᖅᐟᒀᒥᔾ ᐧᖅᒃᖅᕐᒃᖅᒃᒥᖅᔾᑊᑊ ᑎᒥᖅᒃᑎᖅᒃᐳᔾᒀᒥᔫᖕᒀᑊᑊ ᒪᐧᖲᒃᑲᖅᒪᒥᑊ ᐃᖅᒃᖅᔪᐧᐧᖅᒃᑎᒍᑊ.

ᑲᖅᐧᖈᒍᔾ ᑭᐳᖧᑎᐳᑎᐳᖅᒃᖅᒪᐟᑊ ᒪᐧᖲᒃᑲᐧᑊ ᑭᐳᖧᑎᐳᑎᐳᖅᒃᖅᒪᖕᒀ 2015 ᓄᓇᐳᑊ ᒪᐧᖲᒃᑲᖅᒪᖕᑎᐟᑊ ᐳᒃᖅᒃᔾᒪᖅᐳᖅᑎᖕᒣᑊᑊ ᑐᕽᖧᒃᒃᐳᐟᐟᖅᓂᐧᐧᐧᐟᖅᒀᑊᒪᖕᒃᑊᔾᒃᒃ ᒃᐧᐧᖲᓇᖅᑐᓂᑎᐧᖅᒃᑎᐳᑊᑊ ᒃᒥᔾᐳᐧᖲ ᐧᐧᖧᓇᖅᖃᐟᒃᖈᖁᖁ ᐳᖁᓂᐟᔾᑎᒃᔪᖁᓇᖅᒏᑎᒀ ᐃᖅᒃᖅᔪᐧᐧᐳᑊᒃᖅᒀᒀᑊᑊ. Ċᖑᐧᖲ ᖅᐸᖕᑊ ᐳᖅᕐᒃᑐᖅᒃᓂᕐᖁᖕᒣᑊ ᐳᖅᒃᒃᒃᖕᑎᐸᖕᓂᔾᖅᓇᖧ. ᖅᑯᔭᖁᓕᖅ, ᐃᑲᕈᕐᐳᑊᖅ.

ᐃᑲᕈᕐᐱᐳᑊᖅ (ᑐᕽᔪᑎᒍᑊ): ᖅᑯᔭᖁᓕᖅ, ᒥᖕᑕ ᐧᑲᒃᖅᔾ. ᒥᑊ ᐸᓇᖅ ᐸᐃᖕᓴ.

ᐸᓇᖅ ᐸᐃᖕᓴ (ᑐᕽᔪᑎᒍᑊ): ᖅᑯᔭᖁᓕᖅ, ᐃᑲᕈᕐᐳᑊᖅ. Ċᖁᓇ ᐳᖅᐳᔾᓂᖅᒃᖅᒪᒃᔾᒪᓪᑊᑐᖅᒃᖅᒪᖅᒀᑊ ᐃᒪᖁᓇ ᖅᒃᐳᔾᖁᔾᖈᓂᖅᑊᓪᓪᒃᖁᖕᒣᖅᒃᐟᐧᒀᖅᑊ ᐧᖅᒃᒃᐳᔾᖁ ᐳᔾᐧᖲ

ᐳᖅᐳᔾᓂᔾᒪᒪᒪᖕᒃᐟᐧᑊ ᖅᓄᖅᖅ ᑕᐳᑐᖅᒃᕽᖧᖕᒪᖈᒃᑊᑊ,

what the options are.

I can say that since I was last here, the Newfoundland and Labrador legislation has come into effect and I kind of like the way they do things there. I like the fact that the government is the one that has to take things to court if they don't like the recommendations made. That wasn't something I had thought of at the time.

Have there been ongoing discussions? The answer is yes. What has come of them? I think that's a question probably better answered by the government representatives' tomorrow, who know where they're at on that. Thank you.

Chairperson: Thank you, Ms. Keenan Bengts. (interpretation) Are you done? (interpretation ends) Okay. Next on my list is Mr. Alex Sammurtok.

Mr. Alexander Sammurtok (interpretation): Thank you, Madam Chairperson. (interpretation ends) On page 21 of your 2015-16 annual report, you indicate that you are concerned "that those who work in the GN are not yet fully aware of the obligations imposed on them to report breaches" and that "Every employee who deals in anyway with personal information should be receiving at least basic training about how to recognize a breach of privacy and what to do when a breach happens."

Testimony provided by government witnesses during its September 2015 appearance before this Standing Committee indicated that *Access to Information and Protection of Privacy Act* training is mandatory "For public bodies designating an ATIPP coordinator."

ᖃᓄᕐᓗ ᓇᓕᕐᖢᐱᕐᖅᑲᓕᖕᒌᑦ.

ᐅᖃᕈᒪᖅᑐᖕᓕᓇ ᑕᒫᓪ ᑭᒍᑦᓕᕋᖅᕌᒥ ᐅᕓᓕᒐᖅᑎᓐᓗᖕᒥ, ᓅᕓᓂᓪᒥ ᓘᐸᕌᒥ ᒪᓕᒐᖕᑏᑦ ᐊᑐᓕᖅᑎᑕᐅᖅᑮᓖᖅᑦ. ᑕᒫᓪ ᐱᐊᑐᑲᐸᕐᓕᓐ ᐃᑎᕐᖅᑰᐳᖕᑏᑦ ᑕᐅᕓᓂ. ᑕᐃᑰᑦᑕ ᑐᓕᓯᓂᓕᑰᑦ ᒪᕈᖅᑰᑦ ᐃᖅᑲᖅᑐᐃᕕᒡᖚᕋᖅᑦᓕᓕᒻ ᐊᑐᓕᕐᑞᑯᕓᓂ ᓕᐳᕐᓇ ᐊᓯᒣᓍᒃᔮᓕᒐᐳ ᐊᒻᐅᓇ ᑕᐃᕐᓕᓂ.

ᐅᖅᑳᑎᕐᖅᑲᖅᑦᓕᐊᐟᕋᖅᕌ ᖃᓄ ᕐᖅᑕᑐᖅᖅᓕᕖ, ᐊᒻᐅᓇ ᑭᐅᕝᐱᕙᑐᖅᑕᑎᕖᑕᑖᕝᑦᑰᖅ ᒪᕓᓕᒃᑌᑦ ᑭᓕᖅᑐᐱᕐᓕᒥᑦ ᖃᕐᕆᓪᖃ ᖃᕈᑎᕐᕖ ᑕᕐᖅᕿᓯᒪᕖ ᐊᒻᐅᓇ ᕿᑐᐱᕈᖅᑲᕐᓕᒃ ᐊᓪᒥᒪᖔᓕᕗᒃᓂᕈᕝ ᖃᕈᓕᖅᑐ ᐊᐱᓪᒥᖓ ᓕᖅᑐᓂᕿᖃ.

ᐃᑭᕐᖃᐳᑕᖅ (ᑐᑦᑿᑎᒍᑦ): ᕿᒍᖅᓕᒻ, ᒣ ᐳᕿᕝ ᕙᐊᕝᖚ. ᐊᑎᕐᑞᑐᕐᒥᓂ ᑭᕿᑦ-ᖃᖅᑐᕓᖃᑎᖅᖃᖅᑐᖅ.

ᐊᓕᑲᖅᓇᑐ ᓕᒍᖅᑐ: ᕿᒍᖅᓕᒻᑏᒥ, ᐃᑭᕐᖃᐳᑖᖃ.
(ᑐᑦᑿᑎᒍᑦ) ᒪᑦᐱᖅᑐᒡᐱᕖ 21, 2015-16 ᐊᕐᕷᑰᑕᒣᖅᕿᑐᖕᑌᑦ ᐅᖃᖅᑿᕈᒋᕓᖃᖃᑦ, ᐊᓕᓐᑯᑎᖅᑖᕓᓐᔮᒃᓇᖂᕖ ᑞᑰᑕᕓ ᐃᖅᑲᓇᐃᓯᖅᑰᑐ ᓄᐊᐃᑐ ᓕᔮᓕᖅᑰᑖᓕᓂᑦ ᖃᖅᑰᐱᓐᑞᕖ ᐃᐱᓂᕐᕝᖃᖅᑞᒻᕿᓕᖕᔮᕝᑏ ᑎᑎᕐᑞᓕᕈᖅᑀᖃ ᕐᓕᒃᕦᕗᑞᑯᕈᓯᖅᕌᕝ. ᑕᓪᑰᑕᓕ ᐃᖅᑲᓇᐃᓯᖅᑀᑰᓕᑦ ᖃᕐᒪᔮᓯᓂᓕᖅ ᐊᓕᒥᔮᕝᓇᖅᕝᖅ ᑐᕿᓕᕝᖅᕈᖅ ᐱᐱᓕᕐᖔᓕᕐᖅᕿᑰᕝ. ᐊᓕᕝᕖ ᐃᑕᕿᕝᐊᕐᑞᑐᐳᕝᑐᐱᕝᖔᕐᑞᕖᒥᖃ ᐊᓕᕝᕖ ᑎᕐᓕᐊᕐᑞᕿᖅᕈᕝᕝᖅ ᐊᓕᒥᔮᕝᓇᕈᒣ ᕐᕿᓕᕐᖅᑐᕐᔮ. ᖃᕿᕝᖃᑰᔮ ᐱᐊᖅᓕᕗᒨ.

ᑕᐃᑰᑕ ᒪᕓᓕᒃᑯᓐᕓᑦ ᕗᖃᕿᓂᕐᖃᑐᕝ ᕫᕗᖃᐱ 2015-ᖔᖅᑰᖚᖅ ᑞᑰᕓᓂ ᑕᕐᖂᐱᑞᕈᕝ ᕫᓂᕝᖃᓂ ᓇᕈᓕᐊᕐᑞᕿᑐᕈᖃᕝᑲ ᑕᓕᕐᖅᑰᔮ ᐊᑐᐃᓂᕐᖃᑞᕿᕝᖅ ᕫᕝᒣᕗᕐᖃᓕᕐᕗᓐᑞᓕᕈ ᐊᓕᒥᔮᕝᓇᕐᕐᔮ, ᑲᕝᖃᓕᕝᑐᕝᑰᕝ ᐱᑎᕐᑞᑕᕐᕿᕝᕫᕝᖃᕝᖅ ᒪᕗᑰᑖᕝᕿᕝᖃᕝᖃᕝᖃᔮᖅ ᓕᕗᕝᕈᕝ ᑎᕫᕝᑲᓕᕗᕝᖅᕈ.

Can you indicate if you have had the opportunity to review the *Access to Information and Protection of Privacy Act* training program that the Department of Executive and Intergovernmental Affairs provides to government employees and, if so, does this training program include information on breach notification requirements? Thank you, Madam Chairperson.

Chairperson: Thank you, Mr. Sammurtok. Ms. Keenan Bengts.

Ms. Keenan Bengts: Thank you, Madam Chairperson. I have, in fact, participated in some of those training sessions from time to time, but I'm not entirely sure that it's mandatory for all employees. I know that it's mandatory for the ATIPP coordinators. The question is: have I seen the materials? I haven't necessarily seen the materials. I participated in the training. Is it adequate? What I would like to see is some very basic training that's mandatory as part of any new employee's orientation. I don't think that happens. I stand to be corrected, and the government representatives' tomorrow can maybe correct me if I'm wrong. My understanding is that it's open to anybody who wants to take it, but it's not mandatory.

What I would like to see is something that is mandatory for all new government employees as part of their orientation. Many people don't even recognize what a breach of privacy is. For example, let's say somebody in Income Assistance in a small office sees on a computer that his neighbour or brother or somebody … . He happens to walk by somebody's computer and sees his brother's name on a screen, that's a privacy breach because he doesn't need to see that information

CLᵒᑫ ⊲ᑐᐃᵒᑫᖅᑦᓴ, ᒪᓕᐅᐃᑦ ⊲ᑐᐃᵒᑫᐅᔭᓂᐊᖅᓴᔪᒪᒥ ᑐᑭᓕᐊᖃᑦᑎᑕᐅᔪᑎ. ᐅᖅᐴᑫᖅᐱ ᖅᒥᓪᕐᑕᔭᐊᖅᓱᔪᐯᒐᔾ Ċᵇᑐ⊲ ⊲ᑐᐃᵒᑫᐅᑎᑕᐅᓯᕐᑉᓗᑦ ᐃᒥᒐᔾᖁᕐᒐᓪᕐᑦ ᑫᵒᒐᖅᑐᑦᓗ ᒪᓕᓪᓯᓂ ᐃᓂᒐᓂ⊲ᖅᑎᑎᓂᔾᓗ. Ċᵇᑐ⊲ ᒪᕿᒪ ᑭᑕᑐᓪᑎᐅ⊲ᑐᕐᑦᐅᕐ⊲ᒐ, ⊲ᖅᒋᖅᑳ ᑐᓅᐃᓴᔭ ᐸᓐᑎᖅᐊᖅᒐ ⊲ᑐᐃᵒᑫᐅᑎᖅᑦ ᓄᑫᖅ ᒪᕿᑫᑕᑦ ᐃᖅᖃᒐᐃᖅᑦᑎᓄᑦ. Cᗐᒪᵒᑫᐸᵒᒐ Ċᵒᑫ ᐃᓂᒐᓂᒐᖅᑎᑎᓂᓴᖅᑦ Cᒪᵇᑐᖅᖀᒃ ᑐᐹᒪᑎᓂᓱᔾᓗ ᐸᑦᖂᐃ⊲ᖅᑎᑕᐅᔭᓂ? ᖅᑯᕐᒐᖏ, ᐃᵇ⊀ᕐᐆᑦᖅ.

ᐃᵇ⊀ᕐᐆᑦᖅ (ᑐᕐᐢᑎᔾᓱᒐᖅ): ᖅᑯᕐᒐᖏ, ᒥᖅ ᓴᒪᔪᖅᑐᖅ. ᒥᖅ ᐱᒐᒪ <⊲ᐊᖅᖁᕝ.

ᐱᒐᒪ <⊲ᐊᖅᖁᕝ (ᑐᕐᐢᑎᔾᓱᒐᖅ): ᖅᑯᕐᒐᖏ, ᐃᵇ⊀ᕐᐆᑦᖅ. ᐃᓂᒐᑐᖅᑕᓂᖅᒥᑦᖅᔭᓄᖅᒃ, ᐃᓂᒐᑐᖅᑎᓂᔅᓂᔭᖅᒃᑫᖅᓱᒐᔾᓯᔅᓂᖅᖃ ᐃᓂᒐᓂ⊲ᖅᑎᑎᖏᖃ ᖅᑯᑎᖏᑦ ᑭᔾ⊲ᓂ ᖅᑫᐹᒐᑫᔾᔾᐋᒐᖅᑎᑐᖅᖁ ᒪᓂᖅᑐᕐᐱᓂ⊲ᖅᖂᔾᔾᒐᖅᖁᔾ ᐃᖅᖃᒐᐃᖅᑑᖃᖁᒪᒐᔾ. ᖅᑫᐹᒐᖅᔾᖁ ᒪᓂᖅᑐᕐᐱᓂ⊲ᑕᖅ Cᒪᵇᑐᒐᔾᖁ ᑐᑭᓯᐊᖅᖀᐺᒐᖁᒐ ⊲ᑐᐃᵒᑫᐅᑎᒐᖅᑐᓂᔾᓴ ᑐᖅᐅᒐᓂᖏ⊲ᖅ ᐃᒥᒐᔾᖁᖅᑐᓴᔾᓗ. Ċᵒᑫ ⊲ᐱᖅᑐᑎ, Cᐃᵇᑐ⊲ᓂ Cᑐᔾᐺᒐᖅᖂᵇ ᓄᓂᖅᑫᖅᑐᕐᖃᔾᒐ Cᑐᔾᒐᕐᔾᖁᒐᔾ⊲ᖀᐅᖅᑐ⊲ᖅᖂ ᐃᖅᖃᒐᐃᖅᑎᖅᑐᖅᓂᒐᖅᒐ ᐃᓂᒐᑐᖅᖅᑲᔾᓂᒐᔾᖂᔾ. ᐅᒐᔾᐺᖑ? Cᒪᒐ Cᑐᔾᒐᒐᖀᐅᖅ⊲ᓂᐺ ᐃᒥᒐᖅ ᐃᖅᖃᒐ⊲ᑎᑎᓂᖅᖀᖅᖂ⊲ ᐸᕐᐹᑐᑐᐺᒐᖅᖅ ᓂᔾᐺᑐᐃᵒᑫᖅ ᐃᖅᖃᒐᐃᖅᑐᐃᑎ ᓄᖃᖅ ᐃᖅᖃᒐᐃᖅᑐᑎᖅ Cᒪᒪᐃᖅᐺᒐᖀᖀᐅᖅᒐᑎᖀᔾᒪᒐᖅᖀᖂᐺᒐᑑ. Cᒪᒐᔾᒪᒐ ᐅᖅᐹᕐᔾᐺ⊲ᐺᒐᖅᖀᐅᖅᒐ ᒪᕿᑫᒐ⊲ᒐᑐᖀᐅᖃᒐᖅᒐᔾᒐᖀᑎᖅ ᖅᐹᐺ⊲ᔾᒐ Cᒪᒪᓱᒐᖃᒪᑎᖀᓂᖅ ᐅᖅᐴᒐ⊲ᖅᐺᒐ. ᐃ, ᑐᔾᐺᐹᖅᐺᒐ ᕿᒐᑐᐃᵒᑫᓂᖀᒐᔾ ᒪᑐᐃᵒᔾᕐᖂ ᐃᖅᖃᒐ⊲ᒪᒪᔾᖁᒐᔾ ᕿᔾᐺᒐ ᐺᕿᓂ⊲ᖅᖂᒐᖀᐅᖃᒐᖅᖀᖅᑲᖀᒐᖀ⊲ᖅ.

Cᐃᒪᒐᖅ Cᑐᔾᒐᓂᔾᖅᑐᖀᒐᖅᖂ ᐺᕿᓂ⊲ᖅᖃᒐᒐᖀᐅᖀᐅᔾᒐᕐᑐᒐᖀᐅᖅᒐ ᐺᕿᓂ⊲ᖅᖅᑲᖂᑐᖀᒐ ᖀᔾᔾᖀᓂᒐᔾ ᓄᒪᖀ ᒪᕿᑫᑫᖀᒐᖀᒐᖅ ᐃᖅᖃᒐᐃᖀᖀᐅᖀᑕᖀᑐᔾᖂ. ᐅᖀᖂᑐᔾᔾ ᔾᕿᐺᒐᖅᖀᖀᒃ ᖅᐹᐺᒐᖀ⊲ᐹᕐᒪᒐᑐᖀᐅᐺᔾᓂᖀᖂ. ᑐᕿᑕ⊲ᒐᔾ Cᒪᒐ ᓂᖅᕿᑎᖀᐅᖀᐺᒐᔾᑐᖀᒪᔾᖂᒐᔾᖀᒐ ᓄᒐᔾᒐᔾᒐᔾᖂ ᖅᖀᖃᔾᐺᖀᖂᖀᑐᖅ Cᒪᖀᒪ Ċᒐ ᓂᖅᔾᖂ⊲ᑐᔾ⊲ᒐᖀᔾᒐ ⊲ᖀᔾᔾᒐ⊲ᔾᖂᖀᒐᖀ ⊲ᓂᖀᑕᔾᖂᖀᒐᖀᒐᖀ ᐃᖀᒪᖀ ᖅᖀᖂᔾᐺᖀᖀᖂᐺ ᓂᖀ⊲ᑐᖀ ᖅᖂCᖀᔾᑎᖀᓂᐺ ⊲ᑎᖀᓂ Cᑐᖀ<<ᖀ Ċᒐᖀ C⁹ᓅ ᔾᔾᖀᖀᖂᖀᑑᖀ ᖀᒐᐺᒐᖀᑐᖀ⁹ᑐᖀᓂᖀᓂᒐᔾᖂ ᐃᖅᖃᒐᐃᖀᔾᔾᖀ⊲ᑑᖂ Cᑐᔾᖀᖀᒐᔾᖀᐹᖀ⊲ᒪᒐᖂᖀᒐ Ċᒐ. ᕿᔾᐺᒐ ᓂᔾᖀᓂᔾᐺᐹᖀᖀᒐᖀᑐᖀ⁹ᑑᖀᐺᒐᔾᒐᖀᑫᔾᒐᖀ ᓄᖀᖀᖂᖀ⁹ᑐᑎᖀᒐᖀ⁹ᑐᖀᐺᖀ

Cᑐᔾᒐᖀᖂᒐᔾᖂ. ᐃᖀᒪᖀ ᔾᕿᒐᖀ⁹⊲ᔾᖀᖀ⁹ᖀᖀᑎᖀᔾᒐᔾᖂ⊲ᖀᖀ

for doing his job. He happened to see it because it wasn't well protected when he was walking by. That's not a major breach, but it is a breach. I don't think a lot of people really recognize what a breach of privacy is. Even that basic training is to be able to recognize what a breach is.

I have only received seven breach notifications. We're all human. Every government employee is human. I can almost guarantee you that there are breaches of privacy every day, big ones, probably not. But there are breaches of privacy within government every day, and yet I have only received in two years, I think it's seven or eight, breach notifications. Those ones that I received were big and obvious, like really obvious. Unless you recognize a breach, you can't even assess whether it's a big one or a little one. So that's the kind of training I would like to see happening at the intake level. Thank you.

Chairperson: Thank you, Ms. Keenan Bengts. Mr. Sammurtok.

Mr. Sammurtok (interpretation): Thank you, Madam Chairperson. (interpretation ends) In your response you said that the training should be given to all new government employees. In your view, should the training be mandatory for all government employees? Thank you, Madam Chairperson.

Chairperson: Thank you, Mr. Sammurtok. Ms. Keenan Bengts.

Ms. Keenan Bengts: Thank you, Madam Chairperson. I guess I'm assuming that eventually that will mean everyone has training. Yes, I think basic baseline training on what access and privacy

ᑭᕆᐊᓂ �occᐃ∆ᓂᐅᐳᖕᒪᑦ. ᐃᒪᖕᐊ ᖃᐅᔾᒪᖕᑕᐅᕈᓐᓇᐅᒋᔮᖕᑐᓂ ᐃᑕᕐᖕᔭᓇᖕᒪᑦ ᓇ ᓕᐊᓂᐅᒪᐃᓕᖕᒋᖕ.

ᑐᓂᕈᐸᕐᐸᓕᕋᖕᒥᒃ 7-ᑐᐃᖕᓇᖕᓂᒃ ᓕᕐᐃᓂᖕᒐᒃ ᖃᐅᔭᖕᑳᐃᒪᐅᑎᓂᖕᒃ. ᐃᓄᒪᕐᒐᐃᖕᓇᐅᑉᑦ ᐊᔪᖕᓇᖕᑕ. ᓕᕐᒐᒃ ᐊᕐᖕᐳᓇᐃᕐᖕᓇᒪᓂ ᐃᓄᒪᕐᑐᐃᖕᓇᐅᕐᒋᕐᔭᐃᖕ. ᐅᖃᖕᓕᕐᔭᖕᒐᓕᖕᓇᓐᑐᖕᒪ ᖃᐅᑕᒪᑦ ᑲᖕᔪᐊᖕᑎᒐᐅᕐᓂᒃ ᓯᕆᐊᓂᖕᑕᖕᖃᖕᐸᕐᓕᒃ, ᐊᖕᕆᕗᑎᒃ ᐊᖕᑌᐅᖕᑝᖕᑐᖕ. ᑭᕆᐊᑕ ᓕᕐᒐᑕ ᐃᓘᐊᖕᓂ ᖃᐅᑕᒪᑦ ᑲᖕᔪᐊᖕᑎᒐᐅᕐᓂᒃ ᓕᕐᐃᓂᖕᑕᖕᒐᖕᐊᕐᑐᖕ ᒪᕐᐳᐃᖕᖂᖕᓂ ᖃᐃᔭᕐᐸᐅᕐᔭᖕᓐᐳᐃᖕᓂ ᐅᕐᐅᓂᖕ ᒪᕐᔨᖕᓂ, 7-ᓂᖕᓪᐳᕐᐊᖕ 8-ᓂᖕᓪᐳᕐᐊᖕ ᓕᕐᐃᓂᖕᔾᖕᓐᔾᓂᖕ. ᑖᖕᑯᐊᑕ ᐅᕿᓄᖕ ᐅᖃᐅᑕᐅᕐᓕ ᐊᖕᕆᕗᑎᖕᕐᐊᖕᔾᓐᕐᐊᖕᓕᖕ ᐅᕐᔭᓇᖕᑐᓕᓐᐅᓐᓂᖕᓕ. ᐃᒪᖕᐊ ᓕᕐᐃᓂᖕᒐᒃ ᖃᐅᔭᓇᖕᕐᑐᕐᐊᕐ∆ᖕᔾᓕ ᐊᖕᕆᓐᒐᖕᕐᖕᐸᖕᓕ ᒥᖕᓐᒐᖕᕐᖕᐸᒃ ᐅᖃᖕᕆᖕᔾᕐᓂ ᑭᕆᐊᑕ ᐃᓐᓇᓐᑎᓂᖕᒐᓐ ᑕᒪᖕᓇ ᑕᑯᕐᔾᑕᖕᕐᑕᒃ ᐃᖕᖃᐅᒪᒃ∆ᓂᖕᕐᖕᖂᓪ∆ᕗᒃ. ᖕᑯᖕᓇᖕᒥᖕ.

ᐃᖕᕆᖕᐸᐅᑕᖕ (ᑐᖕᔭᖕᑎᒍᖕ): ᖕᑯᖕᓇᖕᒥᖕ, ᒥᖕ ᑮᓇᓐ ᐸᐃᖕᖕᓯ. ᒥᖕᑦ ᓴᒧᖕᑐᖕ.

ᓴᒧᖕᑐᖕ (ᑐᖕᔭᖕᑎᒍᖕ): ᖕᑯᖕᓇᖕᒥᖕ, ᐃᖕᕆᖕᐸᐅᑕᖕ. ᑭᐅᕐᑎᒋᕐᓂ ᑖᖕᑯᓂᖕ ᐃᖕᖃᓇᐃᖕᑎᓂᖕ ᓄᕐᑕᓕᓂᖕ ᐃᓐᓇᓂᖕᕐᑎᐅᑐᓂᖕ. ᑭᕆᐊᑕ ᑕᐅᑐᕐᒃᖕᑯᖕ ᓄᓇᖕᑕ ᓕᖕᒃᑐᖕ ᐃᖕᖃᐅᒪ∆ᖕᕐᑎᓐᓪᓐᕐᓂᖕ ᐃᓐᓇᓐᐊᖕᑕᐅᑎᐅᑎᐅᕐᓕᓇᖕᑦ. ᖕᑯᖕᓇᖕᒥᖕ, ᐃᖕᕆᖕᐸᐅᑕᖕ.

ᐃᖕᕆᖕᐸᐅᑕᖕ (ᑐᖕᔭᖕᑎᒍᖕ): ᖕᑯᖕᓇᖕᒥᖕ, ᒥᖕᑦ ᓴᒧᖕᑐᖕ. ᒥᖕ ᑮᓇᓐ ᐸᐃᖕᖕᓯ.

ᑮᓇᓐ ᐸᐃᖕᖕᓯ (ᑐᖕᔭᖕᑎᒍᖕ): ᖕᑯᖕᓇᖕᒥᖕ, ᐃᖕᕆᖕᐸᐅᑕᖕ. ᐃᕐᒪᑐᐃᖕᖕᑐᖕᓕ, ᐸᐃ ᑖᑕᒪᖕᐊ ᐃᓐᓇᓂᖕᕐᓕᕐᐃᐃᖕᓇᐅᐸᓐᕐᖕᓇᖕᔾᐊᖕᑐᖕᕐᐳᕐᒃ. ᐃᒪᖕᐊ ᐱᕐᐊᕐᑕᐅᓐᓐᖕ ᒪᖕᐊ ᐊᑐᐃᖕᓇᖕᕐᕐᓐᔾᓂᖕᓪ ᑲᖕᔪᐊᖕᑐᓂᖕ

ᐃᒪᖕᔾᖕᓐᔾᓂᖕᓪᓐ ᑖᑯᓇᖕᐅ ᐃᓐᓇᓂᖕᑕᐅᔾᓐᔾᓂᖕᓕᖕ

legislation is should be mandatory for all government employees. Is that realistic? Maybe not. Maybe it could be nuanced a bit to say that to say that anyone who deals with personal information should have training.

Again, I realize that it may be a capacity issue in a small community. For a hire in a small community it may be difficult to get this training for this person. There should be a basic orientation package that includes, like I said, the basics. Thank you.

Chairperson: Thank you, Ms. Keenan Bengts. So we're going to the next name on the list. Mr. Shooyook.

Mr. Shooyook (interpretation): Thank you, Madam Chairperson. In short, I need some elaboration on page 28 in Family Services. This is a safety issue on the adoption of children. I remember in September 2015, when you were in front of the Standing Committee, you indicated that Family Services had talked to you about information and privacy issues concerning adoption legislation. What I would like to understand is: in your discussions with the Department of Family Services about the legislation we work with today, did they indicate if there were problems in working with it? Did they mention anything that needed to be changed in adoption issues? What did the Department of Family Services say to you when you asked for information? Thank you, Madam Chairperson.

Chairperson (interpretation): Thank you, Mr. Shooyook. Ms. Keenan Bengts.

Ms. Keenan Bengts: Thank you, Madam Chairperson. The issues that I discussed with Family Services were really based

ᐱ��may.ᑦᒐᕐᖃᕝᖃᑲᐃ ᐊ�codbᐅᖄᒃᑐᖅ. ᐃᓗᓪᓪ ᐊᖅᑭᒋᐊᖅᑕᐅᑲᓐᓂᕐᓗᓂ ᑭᒃᑐᑐᐊᕐᐱᐃ ᑲᓐᑕᓐᑕᓕᖃᒃᑕᖅᑐᐃ ᐃᓅᐃ ᒥᒃᓴᓄ ᐃᓂᐊᓐᐊᖃᑎᑐᐱᓐᐊᓱᓂ.

ᐅᖅᑲᑲᓂᔅᓗᖕᒐ ᐅᑭᓐᐱᕋᔪᐊᕋ ᐃᖅᑲᓇᐃᕐᖅ ᓄᓇᑦᕆᒍᓂᖃᖕᓂ ᑕᐂᓪ ᐃᓂᐊᓐᐊᑎᓐᓂᖅ ᐊᔪᔅᐊᕐᖅᑐᕐᖯᐅᔾᐊᒃᖃᖅ ᑭᓯᐊᓐ ᐃᖅᑲᓇᐃᕐᐊᕐᖅᑐᖃ ᐃᓂᐊᓐᐊᖅᐱᑎᖚᓂ ᐱᖅᐊᕐᐅᔾᐅᖅᑲᖃᑕᓐᐊᑐᐱᐊᑐᓚᔩᖅ ᐱᒃᐊᖅᑎᒪᖃ. ᖅᑐᕐᓂᒥᑯ.

ᐃᑲᕐᐱᕈᐅᑕᖅ (ᑐᕅᔨᐱᑐᔫ): ᖅᑐᕐᓂᓇᕆᑿ, ᒥᔅ ᑫᓇ ᐸᐃᖓᕝ. ᐊᑎᖅᑐᑎᓯᓂ, ᒥᔅ ᓱᔫᒃ.

ᓱᔫᖅ: ᖅᑐᕐᓂᓇᕆᑿ, ᐃᑲᕐᐱᕈᐅᑢᖅ. ᓇᐃᓈᖃᖅᑐᒥᒃ. ᑐᕆᔅᕂᒃᖃᓐᓴᒐᐆ ᑲᕐᖄᓇ ᐅᓂᒃᑲᓐᐊᒃᔪᕆᐳᕐᐊᖤ 28-ᒥᒃ ᐃᓄᑕᓐᓇᖓᕐᖮ ᒥᒃᓴᓄᐊᕐᖢᕆᒃ ᐊᐱᑎᓐᐊᖅᑐᕐᖑ. ᑲᕐᖄᒐ ᐱᖅᔩᑎᐱᖗᔪᖮ ᐊᑐᕐᓇᕐᖃᕐᑕᐅᑎᓗᓂᕐᖮ ᑐᕆᒄᖅᓄ ᑎᒍᐊᕐᓂᕐ ᐱᖅᑎᓂᕐᑐᔪ ᓯᐱᕐᓯᓄᐊᕐ. ᑲᑎᖅᐱᒥ ᐃᖅᑿᖅᑐᓇ ᓯᑎᑢ 2015 ᑲᑎᒪᔭᔾᕋᖢᐊᕐᐊᖅᑐᖮ ᑲᐱᑦᑕ ᖃᓐᑿᓐᓂ.ᔐᓂ ᐊᓄᐃᐅᒃᔭᓐᖅᑎᒃᑽᑝ ᐃᓂᐊᓐᐊᑎᒃᖬ ᐃᓂᐊᓐᖅ ᐅᖅᑲᑕᓐᖅᖃᓐᑐᓂᖅᔪᑢ ᐱᖅᑎᓂᕐᖅᓂᖮ ᑐᕅᐅᕐᖏᑎᓂᕐᓂᓚᖮ ᑲᓐᔪᖅᑐᑎᓂᕐᓂᔪᑢ ᐊᑐᖅᑐᒍ ᑎᒍᐊᕐᓂᔪᑢ ᒪᓐᐆᕐᖮ. ᑕᒃᐊ ᑐᕆᔅᕂᕋᖅ ᐅᖅᑯᕐᖃᑎᖅᖃᖅᑎᓄᓂ ᑲᐃᖬᕌᖢᕐᖮ ᐃᓂᐊᓐᖅᐊᒃᐊᓐᖮ ᑠᑲ ᒪᓐᐆᒑᖮᖮᔅ ᐅᓪᑐᒥ ᑠᑲᖅᐃ ᐃᓗᐊᖕᓄᕐᖬᒃᓱ. ᑠᑲ ᐊᑐᕐᖃᔪ ᐅᖅᑲᓐᓐᐅᖅᖫ ᑠᑲ ᐊᔾᐱᑎᐊᖅᓂᖕᓂᓂᕐᖮ ᑎᒍᐊᕐᓂᔪᑢ ᐊᑐᕐᖅᑲᑕᐅᑎᓂᕐᔪᑢ. ᑕᒪᑿ ᖃᓄᑐ ᑠᑲᖅᐃ ᐅᖅᐅᔅᖃᑎᓗᐅᖅᐱᑢ ᑠᑲᖅᐃ ᐃᓂᐊᓐᖅᐱᒃᖮ ᐅᖅᑲᓐᓐᑐᓂ? ᑕᒪᑐᒥᓗ ᑐᕆᔅᑎᕋᐆᕌᓐᖅᐱᓂᓚ? ᖅᑐᕐᓂᓇᕆᑿ, ᐃᑲᕐᐱᕈᐅᑢᖅ.

ᐃᑲᕐᐱᕈᐅᑢᖅ: ᖅᑐᕐᓇᕆᑿ, ᒥᔅ ᓱᔫᖅ. ᒥᔅ ᑫᓇ ᐸᐃᖓᕝ.

ᑫᓇ ᐸᐃᖓᕝ (ᑐᕅᔨᐱᑐᔫ): ᖅᑐᕐᓇᕆᑿ, ᐃᑲᕐᐱᕈᐅᑢᖅ. ᑠᕐᑝ ᐅᖅᑲᖅᐱᑎᑦᔪᑢᐃᑕᐳᕐᖅᑢ ᐃᓂᐊᓐᖅᐊᒃᑯᐊᓄ

ᑐᐊᓄᓰᖅ.ᑐᐊᑐᐅᖅᖭ ᒫᓇ ᒪᓐᓈᐳᔭᑢ ᑠᑲ

on the legislation as it exists. There are two pieces of legislation, the *Adoption Act* and the *Child and Family Services Act*, I think it is, which require notice to be given to the Inuit organizations when a child is apprehended under the child welfare system and when there's an adoption. We were working with existing legislation that said that some information, considerable information in some cases, had to be given to the Inuit organizations when either of these things happened.

I think there was confusion about what the Inuit organizations were doing with it or going to do with it or should be doing with it once they got it. Those weren't the issues that we were dealing with. I was dealing with the issues of the legislation says, "This has to happen," and how can we do it in the most privacy protective way possible and those were the issues I discussed with them. I did not discuss with them the purpose of the exchange of information or why the legislation is the way it is. We were focused only on "How can we comply with the legislation in the least privacy invasive way?"

That probably doesn't answer your question, but we just didn't deal with the why's behind it. Thank you.

Chairperson: Thank you, Ms. Keenan Bengts. Mr. Shooyook.

Mr. Shooyook (interpretation): Thank you, Madam Chairperson. I understand that. The reason why I'm asking this is that I understand there are two ways to adopt: you can adopt a newborn baby from the biological parents and then there is the foster child. If the parents are not taking proper care of their child, then Family Services can take that child away.

ᑎᒍᐊᕐᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᒪᓕᒐᖅ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐃᓄᓕᕆᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᐃᓕᖅᑯᓲᒃᑯᓪᓗ ᒪᓕᒐᕐᖏᑦ, ᑐᓴᖅᑎᑦᑎᓯᒪᔭᕆᐊᖃᕐᒪᑕ ᐃᓄᐃᑦ ᑎᒥᒃᑯᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᓱᕐᓗ ᓯᕐᔭᒐᒥᒃ ᑎᒍᔾᐅᔭᖅᑲᐳᑦ ᐊᖅᑲᖅᑕᐅᔭᖅᑲᐳᑦ ᑕᒪᑐᒧᖕᒃ ᐃᓄᓕᕆᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᐱᓕᕆᔾᔪᑎᐅᖅ ᑎᒍᐊᖅᑐᖅᑳᖅᑎᓗᒍᓗ. ᖃᓄᖅ ᒪᓕᒐᐅᕐᓂᖅᑐᖕ ᐱᓕᕆᔾᑎᖃᓕᐅᖅᑕᕈᒃ ᑐᓴᒃᓴᓐᔭᓂ ᐅᓇᖅᑐᒪᓕᓐᑦ ᐃᓂᖖᖓᓂᒃ ᑐᓂᔪᓪᓱᓐᐊᖅᑲᖅᑑᕐᒪᖓ ᐃᓄᐃᑦ ᑎᒥᒃᑯᖏᓐᓄᒃ ᓇᓕᐊᑐᐃᓐᓇᖅ ᑕᒪᓇ ᐊᑐᖅᑕᐅᑎᓪᓗᒍ.

ᑕᐃᒪᓕ ᓇᓗᓇᖅᑯᔾᔭᑎᖅᖃᒐᒥᐅᖅᓗᒍ ᑖᒃᑯᐊ ᐃᓄᐃᑦ ᑎᒥᒃᑯᖏᑦ ᖃᓄᖅ ᐊᑐᖅᑲᑦᑲᓪᖕ�{ᑲ} ᑕᒪᒃᑯᓂᖕᖓ ᑐᓴᖅᑕᐅᕞᓕᓐᐊᕙᓐ ᑎᒍᔾᐅᔾᓰᖓᒥᕝ. ᑎᒍᒪᐊᖅᑕᐅᓕᕐᒥᕝ ᑖᒃᑯᐊ ᑎ'ᓪᓇ ᑲᒥᓕᓕᐅᖅᑕᖅ. ᑲᒪᖅᑕᓪᑕᐅᑐᖕᓕᓐ ᒪᓕᒐᖅ ᐃᓗᖖᖓ ᐅᖅᖃᕐᒪᖕᒃ ᐃᓗᐊᓕᐳᓐᐊᖅᖃᕐᑎᓪᖕᖑᒪᖕᒃ ᖃᕙᓂᓂ ᓯᕈᒥᕐᔾᐊᓐᐳᖅᑯᔭᕐᓂᓐᖕ<ᖖᖐᒃᑦ ᐃᓪᒥ ᓪᖕᓚᖅᖕᕕᔭᕐᓂᒃ ᑖᒃᑯᐊ ᐅᖅᖃᑲᒥᔾᑐᒪᑎᓐᐅᖅ{ᖃ}ᓘᐊᒃ ᑭᓯᐊᓂ ᐅᖅᖃᒃᑎᒪᐅᕈᑦᐅᖅᖕᖄᖕᕝᒃᑕ ᐱᓯᕙᑎᔾᐅᕐᑮᓐᖕᖑᓐᐅᒃ ᒪᒃᑲ ᑐᓴᒃᓱᓐᐅᒃ ᐊᓯᖅᑲᓯᓕᔾᑯ ᑐᓂᓯᖅᑲᖕᖑᓕᕐᒃ ᑕᐅᑐᓪᖃᓕᐊᓕᐅᖅᖃᓕᓐ ᖃᓄᖅ ᒪᓕᒍᒪᔭᕝ<ᖖᐐᑦ ᒪᓕᒐᐃᑦ ᐃᓗᖖᖓ ᑲᖕᖑᓕᖅᑐᓂᒃ ᑐᓴᖅᑕᐅᑎᑎᖅᖃᐃᓕᓚᓐᑦ.

ᑭᐅᓯᐊᔾᑎᖕᖑᖅᖃᑦ ᑭᓯᐊᓂ ᖃᓄᐃᒪᓕᐅᖖᖑᓐᑦ ᐅᖅᖃᖃᕐᑎᖅᑕᐅᖕᖑᖃᖕᒃᑦ ᑕᐃᒃᑯᐊ. ᖃᔾᔭᖕᖑᖕᕝᒃ.

ᐃᑦᓯᕙᐅᑕᖅ (ᑐᓐᓯᐅᑎᒃ): ᖃᔾᔭᖕᖑᖕᕝᒃ, ᐳᖓ ᐸᐃᖑᒃᓯ. ᒥᑕ ᓲᔪᒃ.

ᓲᔪᒃ: ᖃᔾᔭᖕᖑᖕᕝᒃ, ᐃᑦᓯᕙᐅᑕᖅ. ᑐᑭᓯᔪᖕᖑᓇ. ᐅᓇ ᐊᓪᓕᖅᖃᑎᒐᐅᔭ ᑎᒍᐊᕐᓂᖅ ᐅᕿᖕᓚ ᑐᑭᓯᔾᓂᔭᒃᑯᑦ ᒪᖅᔨᐃᑦᖕᖑᖕᓚᒃ. ᐃᓄᓱᖅᖃᖅᑐᑦ ᑎᒍᐊᕐᓂᖅ ᓱᕈᓗ ᑖᒃᑯᐊ ᑎᒍᐊᖅᐸᐳᓪᖕᖑᑎᒃ ᐊᓇᓇᕐᔾᐳᔾᖑᓐ ᒄᒥᔾᔨᕐᓚᒃ ᑎᒍᐊᖅᑐᒃᑦ. ᐅᓇᖅᑕᐅᖅ ᐱᖅᑯ ᑎᒍᐊᖕᖑᐊᖅ, ᓱᖕᖐᓗ ᑲᒪᑎᐊᖕᖑᖕᕝ<ᑕ ᐃᓄᓕᕆᒃᑯᑦ ᐊᖅᖃᖅᓰᕙᖕᖑᓐᐅᖅᑦ ᑎᒍᐊᖕᖑᐊᓐᔾᐳᒃᓐᒃᓐᒃᓂᖅ.

ᖃᓄᖅ ᐅᓇ ᒄᓇ, ᑐᑭᓯᓐᑎᕆᐊᖅᑕᐅᖕᖑᓚ ᑎᒍᐊᕐᓂᖅ

Can you please help me understand if the work you're doing is towards infant adoption or foster children? Can you clarify which one you are actually working on? Thank you, Madam Chairperson.

Chairperson (interpretation): Thank you, Mr. Shooyook. Ms. Keenan Bengts.

Ms. Keenan Bengts: Thank you, Madam Chairperson. I almost feel like taking off my Information and Privacy Commissioner hat and putting on my old family law hat back on.

You're quite right. There are two kinds of adoptions and one is a departmental adoption where a child has been in care. I believe, if I'm not mistaken, that the legislation requires consultation with the Inuit organizations in either case. It doesn't matter whether it's a private adoption or a departmental adoption. In both cases, I believe that consultation is necessary. Thank you.

Chairperson: Thank you, Ms. Keenan Bengts. Mr. Shooyook, do you have any more questions? (interpretation ends) I don't believe I have any more names on my list. Ah, Mr. Qirngnuq.

Mr. Qirngnuq (interpretation): Thank you, Madam Chairperson. I thought I was becoming invisible; you kept passing me.

A question I wanted to ask was mentioned earlier and I want clarification on it and that's why I'm asking it again in regard to health and privacy, the health department dealing with private matters. In Nunavut and the NWT, people from Nunavut have to go for care in Alberta, Manitoba, and Ontario. Is their privacy legislation similar or the same as ours?

ᓄ�᷇ᑕᕊᐸᒡᒥᑉ ᐅᕐᗥᔭᖳᐆᒃ ᐊᖅᓴᖁᖅᐸᖃᑦᓯᓂᖅᒍᔾᗥ Ćᐊᓇ ᐊᖅᑭᕐᒐᖹᐲᐱᐅᑉ? ᑕᒪᒍᒡᓕ ᑐᑭᓯᑎᐊᖃᖟᓂᕐᖳᖅᐱᖎᓕ ᑐᑭᓯᐅᒪᑎᑎᐊᓴᕐᐊᖅᓯᑲ ᑕᒪᒍᒡᓕ ᐊᖅᓴᖅᓯᖅᐸᖃᑦᓯᓂᖅᒥᖮ ᑎᐅᐊᖳᔪᐊᖅᐸᖅᓂᖳᒡᖎ. ᖅᑲᓐᖅᖮ ᐅᕐ ᑐᔅᗪᖅᖳᑐᖅ ᑕᒪᒍᒡᓕ ᑐᑭᓯᑎᑎᐊᓕᐅᖳᖮᖎ. ᖅᖮᖬᖳᖮ, ᐃᑊᐸᖳᐅᑊᖮ.

ᐃᑊᐸᖳᐅᑊᖮ: ᖅᖮᖬᖳᖮ, ᒥᖮᑕ ᑲᐰᖮ. ᒥᖮ Ṗᖪᖝ ᐸᐃᖷᖱ.

Ṗᖪᖝ ᐸᐃᖷᖱ (ᑐᖰᎄᑎᔪᖮ): ᖅᖮᖬᖳᖮ, ᐃᑊᐸᖳᐅᑊᖮ. ᖮᖳᎄᖳᖅᑐᖮᓂᖱᖮᖮ ᑐᖰᐲᑊᖮᑎᖮᓂᖱᖮ ᖳᖮᖮ ᖂᖱᖳᖩ ᖂᖳᒥᖱᖳᖩᐃᖡᖮ.

ᖮᖮᗥᑎᖮ. ᒪᖮᗥᖮᖮᖮᖳᒪᖮᑕᖮ, ᑕᐰᒪᖮ ᑎᖳᖬᐲᖮᒪᖮᖱᗥᖮᖳᒪᖮᖳᒪᖮ ᖮᖮᒪᖬᖮᗥᑎᐆᖮᖳᑎᖮᑐᖮ ᐊᖈᖮᖟᖮᖳᖮᖮᖳᖮ. ᑕᐰᒪ ᐅᖮ ᒪᖮᖳᖮ ᖮᖮᖮᐊᖮᖮᖮᖳᗥᖳᒍ ᐃᖮᖮᖮ ᑎᖮᖮᖮᖮᖱᖮ ᖅᖮᖮᖮᖮᖮᖱᖮᖳᖮᖮᖮᖳᖮᖱᒪᖮᗥᖮᖳᒪᖮ ᓚᖈᖮᖮᖮ ᖮᖮᖮᖮᖮᖮᖮᖮ ᑎᖮᖮᖮᖮᖮᖮᖮᖮ ᐅᕐᗥᔭᖳᐆᖮ ᐃᖮᖮᖮᖮᖮᖮᖮ ᐊᖮᖮᖮᖮᖮᖮᖮᖮᖮᖮ ᑎᖮᖮᖮᖮᖮᖮᖮᖮᖮᖮᖮᖮᖮ. ᐃᖮᖮᖮ ᑎᖮᖮᖮᖮᖮᖮᖮ ᖅᖮᖮᖮᖮᖮᖮᖮᖮᖮᖮᖮᖮᖮᖮᖮ. ᖅᖮᖮᖮᖮᖮᖮ.

ᐃᑊᐸᖳᐅᑊᖮ (ᑐᖰᎄᑎᔪᖮ): ᖅᖮᖬᖳᖮ, ᒥᖮ Ṗᖪᖝ ᐸᐃᖷᖱ. ᒥᖮ ᖮᖳᖮ, ᖮᖮᖮ? (ᑐᖰᖮᖮᖮᑎᖮᑐᖮ) ᐊᑎᖮᖮᑎᖮᖮᖳᐰᖮᖢᖮᖳᒪ. ᐊᖮᖱᐃᖮᖮ, ᒥᖮ ᖅᖮᖮᖩᖮ,

ᖅᖮᖮᖩᖮ: ᖅᖮᖬᖳᖮ, ᐃᑊᐸᖳᐅᑊᖮ. ᑕᐅᑐᖮᖮᖱᐃᖮᖱᖮᖮᖩᖮᖮᖮᖮ ᐃᖮᒪᖮᖅᖮᖮᖮᖳᐰᖮᖳᖮ ᑐᖰᖮᖮᖬᖱᖮᐰᖮᖮᖩᖮᖮ ᖅᖮᖮᖮᖮᖮᐰᖮᖱᖮᖮᖮᖮᖮᖮᖮ.

ᐅᖮᖮᖩ ᐊᖮᖮᖮᖮᖮᖮᖮᖩᖮᖮᖮ ᑕᐃᖮᖬᐰ ᑕᐃᖮᖮᖮᖩᖮᖮ ᖱᖮᖳᖩᖮ. ᑕᐰᖮᖮ ᐅᖮᖮᐅᖮᖬᖮᖳᖮᖳᖮᖩᖮ ᐅᖮᖱᖮᖩᖮ ᑐᖰᖮᖮᖱᐊᖮᖱᖮᖬᖱᖮᖳᖮᖩ ᐊᖮᖮᖮᖮᑎᖮᖮᖮᐰᖮᖮᖮ. ᑕᐰᖮᖩᐰ ᐊᖮᖮᐰᖮᖮᑐᖮᖮᖩᖮ ᒥᖮᖱᖮᖮᖮᖩ Ćᖮᖩᐰ ᖮᖮᖬᖮᖳᖮᖮᖩᖮᖮᖩᖮᖮᖮ. Ćᖮᖩᐰᖮ ᖮᖮᖢᖮ, ᖮᖮᖱᐰᖮ, Ćᖮᖩᐰᖮᖬ ᑕĹᖮᖮᖮ ᖮᖮᖢᖮᖮᖢᖱᖮᖬᖮ ᐊᖮᖢᖮᖱᐰᖮᖮᖮᖩᖮ ᐊᖮᖱᖮᖮᐰ, ᐊᖮᑎᐊᖩᐰ, Ĺᖮᖰᖮ. Ćᖮᖩᐰᖮ ᖮᖮᖬᖮᖳᖮᖮᖩᖮᖱᖮ ᒪᖮᖬᖮᖩᑕᐃᖮ

Thank you, Madam Chairperson.

Chairperson: Thank you, Mr. Qirngnuq. Ms. Keenan Bengts.

Ms. Keenan Bengts: Thank you, Madam Chairperson. It's a really good question and one we struggle with all the time. Every jurisdiction but for Nunavut and BC, kind of. BC has legislation but not the same kind of legislation as everyone else. Every Canadian jurisdiction has health privacy legislation now except for Nunavut. Yukon's came into effect August 29 of this year. So they now have it too.

Is it exactly the same in each jurisdiction? No. Are there privacy protections in place for health information in each jurisdiction? Yes, except for Nunavut. I understand that there are contracts in place between Nunavut and other jurisdictions to which patients normally go. Ontario and the Northwest Territories have provisions with respect to privacy and personal health information. Let's say a patient from Cambridge Bay is sent to Yellowknife; the personal health information of that patient is created in the Northwest Territories that is in the Northwest Territories is going to be subject to the Northwest Territories' *Health Information Act*.

Yes, there is health privacy information in each jurisdiction. Can you survive without it, in terms of inter-jurisdictional exchange of information? Yes, but it's more difficult, because you have to have the privacy contracts in place.

One of the legitimately important reasons for health information legislation is to allow for that kind of exchange of health information between jurisdiction, not

ᐊ�wid␣ᑉᑭᐊᐸ? ᐸᢪᢪᢧᢱ ᐅᑭᢧᢧᐊᢅᒪᢪᢱᢧᢧᢱ. ᢺᒡᢪᢞᢁᢴ, ᐃᢪᢪᢲᐅᑕᢱ.

ᐃᢶᢪᢲᐅᑕᢱ (ᑐᢣᢢᢁᑐᢱ): ᢺᒡᢪᢞᢁᢴ ᒥᢱ ᢺᑬᢥᢁᢴ. ᒥᢪᢱ ᐱᢁᢙ ᐸᐃᢧᢱ.

ᐱᢁᢙ ᐸᐃᢧᢱ (ᑐᢣᢢᢁᑐᢱ): ᢺᒡᢪᢞᢁᢴ ᐃᢶᢪᢲᐅᑕᢱ. ᐃ, ᐊᐱᢺᒡᢁᢞᢁᐊᢚᢰᢱ ᢗᢁᢙ. ᢗᢁᢙ ᑐᢩᢪᢧᢰᢺᢪᐊᢧᢙᢱᢴᢱᢴ. ᢁᢙᢺᢞᢁᢪᢰᢙᢧᢱᑕᢱ ᢁᢙᢥᢞᢴ ᐃᢴᢤᢧᢺᢺᢪᢱᢧᢺᐅᢰ ᢪᢁᢁ ᢁᢞᢞᢁᢪᢧᢱᑕᢱᢪᢱ ᐊᢧᢢᢰᢱᢰᢰᢰᢤ ᢞᢀᢞᢧᢞᢣᢞᢁᢙᢱᢧᢥᢴᢱ ᐸᢪᢤᢁ ᢁᢁᢗᢁ ᢁᢞᢞᢁᢪᢧᢱᑕᢱ ᐃᢴᢤᢧᢺᢺᢪᢱᢧᢱᢁᢧᢱᢴᢪ ᢁᢤᢪᢧᢞᢴ ᢣᢰᢀ, ᐊᢰᢱ 29-ᢲᢴᢴᢙ ᢗᢺᢧᢁ ᐊᢪᢤᢴᢁᢴᢁ ᢞᢁᢞᢱᢱᢁᢞᢁᢁᢴᢧᢴᢴ.

ᐊᢺᢴᢞᢁᢙᢙᢪᢹ ᢁᢞᢞᢁᢪᢧᢱᑕᢁᢙᢴᢱ, ᐊᢲᢞᐃ ᢁᢪᢙ ᢣᢺᢺᢣᢁᢱᢴ ᐊᢱᢞᢁᢁᢤᢪᢷᐊᢴ ᢁᢀᢛᢙᢱᢴᢙᢱᢴ. ᐃᢴᢤᢧᢴ ᢁᢞᢞᢁᢪᢧᢱᑕᐊᢧᢰ. ᢁᢙᢾᢴ ᢁᢪᢙ ᢣᢱᢀᢱᢰᢧᢧᢧᢴᢱ ᢞᢙᢙ. ᑐᢩᢪᢷᢞᢱᢧᢴᢁᐃᢴᢤ ᢁᢩᢧᢞᢰᢱ ᢁᢞᢞᢁᢁᢤᢪᢷᢞᢁᢪᢴᢱᢲ ᢁᢙᢷ ᢞᢰᢞᢁᢤᢰᢱᢲ ᐊᢞᢞᢞᢱᢲᢴ ᐸᢺᢰᢱᢰᢱᢴ ᢁᢞᢞᢁᢪᢧᢱᑕᐊᐅᢪᢱ ᐊᢴᢁᐊᢷᢁᢴ ᢁᢞᢴᢪᢴᢲ ᢁᢁᢴᢺᢣᢤᢺᢪᢷᢞᢴᢱᢲ ᢁᢴᢱᢞᢱᢴᢁᢁᢰᢴᢴᢞ ᢝᢺᢁᢤᢺᢧᢱᢴᢱᢴ. ᢗᢞᢱᢁᢞᢁ ᐃᢁᢙᢱ ᢣᢺᢩᢁᢴᢴ ᑐᢩᢪᢺᢞᢁᢁᢧᢁᢀᢺᢣᢺᢴ ᢝᢙᢁᢁᢁᢙ ᐃᢴᢁᢴᢢᢴᢁᢪᢱᐅᢴ ᐊᢞᢞᢁᐊᢁᢞᢁᢴᢞᢱᢴ ᢺᢙᢁᐃᢙᢴ. ᢗᐃᢞᢙ ᢗᢲᢁᐊᢙ ᢁᢺᢺᢞᢁᢱᢴ ᐊᢞᢞᢁᐊᢁᢞᢁᢴᢞᢱᢣᢱᢞᢱᢞᢁᢴᢱᢴ ᢁᢙᢴᢪᐊᢺᢞ ᢁᢁᢴᢺᢞᢁᢪᢧᢱᢁᢞᢱᢴᢞ ᢁᢙᢴᢪᐊᢺᢴᢗ. ᢗᐃᢞᢙ ᢁᢙᢴᢪᐊᢴ ᢞᢁᢞᢺᢞᢁᢴ ᢞᢲᢁᢙᢞᐊᢺᢱᢩᢪᢴᢗᢴ.

ᢗᐃᢞᢙ ᐃ, ᐊᢞᢞᢞᢱᢲᢞᢁᢙᢱᢴᢱᢴ ᑐᢺᢞᢞᢺᢱ ᢁᢞᢞᢁᢪᢧᢱᑕᐊᢞᢞᢴᢞᢧᢲᢞ ᢣᢪᢞᢁᢞᢴᢴ ᐃᢞᢞ ᢗᐅᢺᢪᢺᐅᢞᐅᢩᢲᢺᢞᢞᢗᢲᐅᐊᢴᢞᢲᢁᢙᢴ ᐊᢪᐅᢞᢗᐃᢞᢞᢴᢺᢴᐅ? ᐃ, ᢞᢲᑐᢺᢴᢞᢞ ᐊᢴᢞᢞᢁᢀᢙᢁᑐᐊ ᢞᢞᢺᢴᢁᢴᢞ ᐊᢴᢞᢣᢞᢞᢁᢞ, ᐊᢴᢞᢞᢁᢙᢺᢞᢞᢁᢞ. ᢞᢞᢞᢺᢗᢁᐊᢴᢁᢴᢞᢞᢁᢴᢞᢴ.

ᐃᢞᢱᢞᢴᢞᢱᢴ ᢗᢺᢧ ᢣᐃᢞᢁᐊᢙᢴ ᢣᢺᢁᢁᢞᢣᐅᢱᢴ ᢣᢺᢁᢁᢴᢞᢁᢞᢣᐅᢴᢞ ᐊᢞᢞᐊᢁᢞᢞᢱᢲᢞᢁᢙᢱᢴᢱᢴ ᢞᢁᢞᢱᢞᐅᢞᢴᢞᢱᢣ ᢗᢺᢞᐃᢴᢲᐅᢞ ᢞᢁᢴᢞᢱᢴᢲᐅᢞ ᢁᢞᢞᢞᢁᢴᢺᢞᢞᢗᐅᐊᢴᢞᢁᐊᢴᢞᢴᢞᢗ,

only within the hospital, but between jurisdictions; not only from a health centre to a hospital, but from the telehealth doctor in Ontario talking about a patient in the Northwest Territories. The way health information travels is just mind boggling and difficult to follow. It's also important that they be able to exchange it.

I'm going on and on about the importance of health privacy legislation and all you really asked is it in every jurisdiction. The short answer is yes. The long answer is more this is why we need it here. Thank you.

ᑕᑯᐊᒃᓈᐅᑉᖃᑕᕐᑭᐊᕐᓃᑕᕙᐊᕐᐊᕐᒥ ᐊᒻ ᕙᐊᕐᐊ�‐ᕙᐊᓗᑐᐊᖖᐃᑎᐊᐁᕙᐊᕐ. ᐃᓕᖅ ᑐᕐᓈ ᐊᕐᑎᐊᕐᑎᒐᓈᖅ ᐅᖅᐅᕐᑭᖅᖃᑉ ᐅᑭᐅᖅ ᓄᐊᕐᕙᕐᐃᕐᑐᖅ ᐅᖅᐅᕐᑭᖑᓈᕙᐊᕐ ᖃᐋᓈ. ᑕᒃᐊ ᐊᓄᓅᖅᑳᖅ ᐃᐊᖅ ᖃᓄᓅᖅᑳᖅ ᓯᕝᕐᑕᕐᓂᓈ ᓴᐊᓗᑎᕙᕐᕐᐊᕐ ᕙᐊᕐᐊᖅᑐᑭᓄᒐ ᑕᐊᓗᐊᖅᓈᖅ.

ᐃᓂᖅ ᕙᐊᕐᐊᕐᖃᐊᓈᕐᓯᑐᓄᓈᕐᒧᒧ ᒪᓗᑭᕐᓈ ᐱᑖᒪᕙᒧᓄᒐ ᐅᖅᐅᕐᔾᐊᓄᓈᑲᕐ ᕹᐊ ᐊᒧᕐᑎᓈᕐ ᐃᓂᖃᕐ ᓂᖃᖅᑕᐅᖃᓄᓈᕐ ᑕᐊᒪᐊᓄᑐᓄᒧᒐᑕᐁᕐ? ᐄ, ᑕᒧᐊ ᐱᕐᔾᐱᕐᓄᒐ ᑕᕐᐁ ᑕᐊᒪᐊᓄᖅᖃᓈᕙᐊᕐᓄᕹᒐᕐᕐᖅ. ᖃᕙᐊᒡᕹ.

Chairperson: Thank you, Ms. Keenan Bengts. Mr. Qirngnuq.

ᐃᖃᕆᕙᐅᑕᖅ (ᑐᖃᐱᑎᒧᕐ): ᖃᕙᐊᒡᕹ, ᒥᕐ ᖃᕐᐊᓗᖅ.

Mr. Qirngnuq (interpretation): Thank you, Madam Chairperson. Yes, that makes it clearer now. I understand your response. That's good to hear. I don't have many questions. Some of my other questions were already addressed. Another question that was posed by my colleague, Mr. Shooyook touches on his previous question and also in your response about privacy legislation that were taken from the Europeans initially. We still follow the European way in Canada. Are you looking to the future to see what that the future of that will look like? To add Inuit Qaujimajatuqangit, Inuit's ways, to it is very important to the health department as well and the education and workers in general. Thank you, Madam Chairperson.

ᖃᕐᐊᓗᖅ: ᖃᕙᐊᒡᕹ, ᐃᖃᕆᕙᐅᑕᖅ. ᑕᐊᕐᑯᐊ ᐅᖅᐅᕐᓂᕐᐊᕐ ᑐᑭᖃᓄᖅᕹᕐᓗᕹᖃᕐᒡᑕ. ᑕᐊᕐᑯᐊᕐᓂ ᓯᕐᕹᐅᕹᕐ ᐅᖅᐅᕐᓂᕐᐊᕐ ᑐᑭᖃᓄᖅᒧᕐᕹᑕ ᖃᐊᓕᐊᖅᑐᖅ, ᑐᑉᕹᒐᖅᑐᖅᑳ. ᐃᒡᒥᕙᑤᑕᐅᖅ ᕹᒡᑐᕐᑐᒐᒥ ᐊᕙᐊᖅᑎᕐᕹᕐᓗᐊᕐᒐᓈᒪ ᑕᐃᑯᐊ ᐃᑕᕐᐅᕹᕐᐅᐊᒡᐁᕐ ᐅᕹᕐᐊᖅ ᐅᖅᐅᕐᑏᕐᑳᕐᓈᓀ. ᐅᐊ ᐊᕙᐊᖅᑕᐅᕹᒧᐅᐊᕐᕐᐅᖅ ᑳᕹᕐᓈ ᑲᐅᑎᐊᖅᕹᑭᐅᕹᕐᑭᕐ ᒥᕐᑏ ᕹᕐᒧᖅ ᐊᕙᐊᖅᑎᕐᕹᕐᕙ ᐃᓈᕐᒪᒐᔾ. ᑕᐃᕐᑯᐊᕐ ᐅᐊᕐᕹᕐᐊᕐ ᐊᕙᐊᖅᑎᕐᕹᕐᕹᕐ ᐃᒪᐊᕐ ᕹᐅᕐᕹᕐᓂᕐ ᑕᐊᕐᕹᕐᒪᕹ ᕹᐊᕐᕹᑐᐊ ᐋᐊᒡᕹᒧᐅᐊᕙᐊᕐᕐᒧᔾᒐ ᒪᒪᐅᕹᑲᕐ ᑕᐃᓈᖃᖅᑐᐊ ᐊᓂᐊᕐᐊᖃᕐᓂᐁᕐ. ᑳᕹᐊᕐᑐᖅ ᕹᕆᐊᖅᑳᒐᔾᖅᑐᖃ ᐊᕐᑐᑉᔾᕐᐁᕐ ᓈᕐ. ᖃᕙᐊᒡᕹ, ᐃᖃᕆᕙᐅᑕᖅ.

Chairperson: Ms. Keenan Bengts.

ᐃᖃᕆᕙᐅᑕᖅ (ᑐᖃᐱᑎᒧᕐ): ᒥ ᕹᒐᐊ ᐸᐃᒃ.

Ms. Keenan Bengts: Thank you, Madam Chairperson. The direction that any future legislation takes is obviously a policy matter for governments and for

ᕹᒐᐊ ᐸᐃᒃ (ᑐᖃᐱᑎᒧᕐ): ᖃᕙᐊᒡᕹ, ᐃᖃᕆᕙᐅᑕᖅ. ᑕᐊᓗ ᕹᕆᓈᕐᓈᕐᑎᕐᒐ ᖃᓄᖅ ᒪᓗᒐᐊᒧᐁᕐᐊᕐᐊᕐᕐᒡᕹᕙᕐ ᐊᑐᐊᓗᐅᕙᕐᐁᕐᓄᒐ ᒪᕙᒧᖅᒐᕹ ᐊᑐᐊᓗᐅᕹᕐᑭᖅᕹᕹᑭ

you guys to decide. It's certainly not for me to decide. Do I think that it's important to incorporate Inuit values into this legislation? Yes, to a degree. The problem is that this legislation deals with the modern world and commerce and identity theft and all of the dangers of dealing on a day-to-day basis with businesses on the internet and I'm afraid those two concepts might clash to a degree, the Inuit values and the modern day need for privacy legislation. So in the end, the direction that legislation goes is obviously a policy matter that has to be dealt with by this Legislative Assembly. It's not for me to say. Do I think that it's important to incorporate Inuit values to the extent that they can be? Yes, but we can't do that at the expense of putting Nunavummiut at risk for being taken advantage of in the electronic world we live in. Thank you.

Chairperson: Thank you very much, Ms. Keenan. I think in recognizing the clock, I think this has been a very productive afternoon and very informative and I'd like to invite you to say your closing comments.

Ms. Keenan Bengts: Thank you, Madam Chairperson. Time flies when you're having fun.

As always, I would like to thank the Legislative Assembly for your very pointed questions and your interest in what I do. It makes a lot of difference to me when I know I have the support and the backing of this Committee which I feel that I have in the work that I do and I look forward to keeping on doing the work that I love doing for the next few years on your behalf and we'll see you next year or maybe earlier than that if I get to appear before you to talk about my

ᑕᐃᒪᐃᑦᑑᖅᖃᑎᒋᔪᒪᖅᑐᖅ ᐃ�curvᔪᒻᓄᑦ. ᓇᓖᕐᓂᖅ ᐊᖅᐳᔪᒪ�e*ᖓᑎᑦ. ᐱᓐᓚᓐᐅᓯᒐᐅᕐᒡ ᐃᓄᐃᑦ ᐅᕼᕝᓐᓚᕐᖓᑦᕐᒡ ᒪᒉᖓᖖᓄᑦ ᐃᓚᓕᐅᐿᔾᐅᕐᐊᕐᑕ, ᐋ, ᐃᓚᒃᓘᔪᑦ. ᕿᔭᔨᓂ ᐊᑯᐸᖖᑦᓚᒧᐅᐎᑎᖅᐸᖀᖓᓕᒡᓕᑦ ᐅᓣᓚᖀᕝᑖᐿᖅᒧᐅᐿᑦᓄᐁ ᐃᕼᔭᕐᐎᔪᐤ ᖀᐅᐁᕤᐎᐽᕙᓈᕐᑖᐿᖃᐤᓚ ᐊᕼᓚ ᑕᐪᕕᖖ ᖀᐅᐁᕤᐎᐽᑕᐅᕐᑕᕙᐤᐿ ᑎᕐᓇᐸᔫᐹᐅᖅᑐᕐ ᖖᕐᓚᐿᕐᒧᐸᔨᓄᐎᕼᔫᐎᔪᕐᐂ. ᖀᐤᑖᖖᓚᐸ ᖖᕐᓚᖀᐹᐁᐿ ᖀᐁᔨᕙᐿᖅᕝᖖ ᒪᒁᐋᖖᖅᑖᕐᑖᐿᖖ ᐽᕝᐅᐿ ᒪᕙᔫᐳ ᐵᕐᖀᐿᐿᖅᖀᔨᕤᕐ ᐿᖀᖖᒂᐼᒂᐤᐵᐿ ᐊᑇᖖᕤᐿᒉᐺᕼᔫᐎᕏᕼᖀᐅ ᐃᓄᐁ ᐅᕝᕿᐺᕐᒧᐾ ᐿᐤᕐᖀᐿᐣᖖᕙᐺᕐ ᐿᐅᐺᐿᖀᐺᖅᖖᐺᖅ ᐿᐣᕐᐾᐅᐺᐿᕼᔫᐎᐺ ᐿᐿᖀᐺᐤᐿᐤᐺᖖᐺᐺᖀᖀᕏ.ᑕᐵᐿᐂ ᒪᒁᐿᐺᕐᕝ ᖖᕤᐴᐿᐾᐿᐵᐿᐽᐺᖀᕼᐆᐺ, ᐵᐤᕐ ᒪᕏᕤᐺᐽᕼᐆᐸᕐᐵᐹᕝᕥᕼᔫᖀ ᐵᐿᐵᕏᕼᐵᕐᐿᐵᖀᐺᐣ ᐵᕐᐿᐿᐺᐽᐺᖀ ᒪᕏᕤᐺᐽᕼᐆᐹᐿᕝ. ᐿᖀᖖᖖᐿᐺᐿᐽᕙᐵᕙᐹᕐᕙᐤᕐᖀ ᐱᓐᓚᐵᐽᐤᕐᕤᖀᕼᐽᐤᐹᐸ ᐃᓄᐁ ᐃᓚᖖᐴᕼᕐᕐᕤ ᐃᓚᓕᐅᐿᕐᐴᕙᕙᑏ. ᐋ, ᐱᐊᐴᐹᕼᐆᑏ, ᖀᕏᐺᐣ ᐃᓚᓕᐅᐿᕐᕤᐾᐿᐺᖖᕙᐵᖀ ᐱᐊᐴᐹᖖᐿᒧᕼᔫᖀ ᐵᕝᖀᐺᖖᖅᕐᐿᖅᐽᐸᐿᕐᕐ ᐃᓖᕐᕤ ᐵᐴᖀᐵᐺᐺᖖᐹᕼᖀᕤᕼᐽᐤᐹ ᐱᐊᐴᖖᕐᕤᐵᖀ ᖀᐤᕐᖀᐿᐿᐹᕤ ᐃᕼᔭᕐᐽᐤᕐᖖᐵᐺ. ᖀᐽᕤᐵᐹ.

ᐃᐹᔭᐿᐴᑕᖅ (ᑐᕼᐴᐅᑎᒧᐤ): ᖀᐽᕤᐵᐹᕐ, ᒣ ᖀᐵᐤ ᐺᐆᐹᕐ. ᔫᕼᐵᐤᔫᕝᖀ ᐥᐤᐵ ᑕᐴᐥᕼᐆᐵᐵᕐ ᐽᐵᕼ ᐵᒉᐾᐵᐣᐿᕐ ᖀᐽᐵᕝᖀ ᐱᐿᕼᐿᖖᐵᖅᕼᐆᐵᕝᖀᐺ. ᓇᐵᖀᐽᐋ ᒪᑐᕼᐺᕐᐵᕐᖖᕼᕙᐿᕤ ᐅᖀᐽᕤᖀᐺᐤᕐᐽᐴᕐ ᐱᐺᐿᖅᕤᖅ.

ᐺᐵᐤ ᐺᐆᐹᕐ (ᑐᕼᐴᐅᑎᒧᐤ): ᖀᐽᕤᐵᐹᕐ, ᐃᐹᔭᐿᐴᑕᐹᕐ. ᖀᐽᐵᓐᕙᐿᐴᕐᕼᐴᕼᔫᕤᐾ ᖀᐿᐵᐺᐵᖅᕤᐴᐆᐳᐿ.

ᒪᕏᕤᐺᐽᖖᕝ ᐥᐤᐵ ᒪᕏᕤᐺᐽᕐᕼᐵᐾ ᖀᐽᕤᐵᐹᖅᕏᕼᐺᕏ ᖀᔨᕙᐺᐵᖖᖀᕤᖀ ᑐᕼᐵᐺᐺᐿᐿᑐᕼᐿᐽᐵᕐᕤᖖᐵᐤ ᐵᐱᖖᐴᕐᕼᐵᐵᐺᕏᕼᐽ. ᑕᐿᐽᐵᕤ ᐅᕼᐵᐤᐴᕼ ᐃᐴᕐᕼᐵᖅᕤᐵᐾᐿᐆᐹᐿᕐ ᖀᐽᐵᕼᕼᐆᐽᕼᐴᐴᐿᐴᕐ ᐃᐴᕼᖖᐴᕼᑕᐽᑕᐿ ᐃᓖᕤᕐᕤᐾᐿᐵᐤ ᐺᐿᕼᐴᕼᐴᖀᐹᐿᕏᕤᐵᐤ. ᑕᐵᐿᐂᕤ ᐺᕝᕼᐵᐽᕏᕙᕏᐿᕼ ᐃᐿᖖᐴᐴᕤᐿᕙ ᖀᐵᐿᐵᐶᕙᐴᐽᐵ ᐵᕐᐽᐴᐵᕤᐤ ᖀᐽᕤᐵᐹᕝᖀ ᑕᐴᕕᖀᕐᐵᕼᐿᐽᐵᐵᐤ ᒪᕏᐴᐺᕝ. ᐵᕐᐽᐴ ᑕᐴᐺᕼᕼᕼᕝᕤᐺᕐ ᐴᐹᕼᐴᕼᐵᐽᐴᐺ ᔫᐺᐿᐺᖖᐴᒪᕤᐼᐽᕼᐴᕼᐴᕤ ᐺᐽᒉᐺᖅᐴᕤᐿᐴᕼᕙᔫᕤ

ᖀᐽᐴᕏᕼᐵᐽᕤ ᐅᐴᕼᐺᕼᕤᖀᑐᕐᐴᕤᐴᕤ

privacy audit.

Chairperson: Thank you very much and I'd like to thank you for coming in this afternoon and taking the time to talk to us about the reports and the activities that you've done. With that mind and just looking at the clock, I'd like to adjourn. Tomorrow we will be back at 9:00. Thank you.

>>*Committee adjourned at 16:53*

ᑕᑐᐃᵃ័ᓈᐧᕐᖃᕐᒍᑦ.

ᐃᑊᕿᐄᐅᑕᕐ (ᑐᝯᐱᑎᒍᖯ): ᖅᑯᐱᵃᓗᖕᕵᔪᙠᑎᖃᐅᕐᖃ ᖅᑲᐃᒍᣚᓇᕐᒡᕐᖃᐅᑲᐃᖬᖬ ᐅᵃᓄᖅᒥ ᐅᓂᕐᖯᔭᵃᓇᖬᑎᐊᖅᕎᖬᖬᓗ ᐅᓂᕐᖯᓇᐊᓄᒀᒪᖕᕈᵃᓄᖯ ᐊᒻᓗ ᐱᓯᓇᖯᑕᐅᕈᒪᓇᔥᖬ. ᐄᵃᓇ ᐃᕈᒪᖠᐅᑕᓇᐧᓗ ᐊᒻᓗ ᔤᑉᵃᖫᒃᕵᖅ ᑕᑯᔾᐧᒍ, ᓄᖅᑲᖅᑯᔾᐃᓇᑐᒪ ᖃᑲᐅᖬᖬᓗ ᐅᖬᖬᒥ ᐅᑎᖬᓇᖕᔪᖬ 9:00-ᒍᐧᖯᖬᖬ. ᖅᑯᐱᵃᓇᕎ.

>>ᓄᖅᑲᕐᑐᖬ *16:53ᒍᐧᖯᑎᖬᓗᒍ*